

**New England Fishery Management Council  
Holiday Inn by the Bay  
Portland, Maine  
January 31-February 2, 2006**

**MOTIONS**

**January 31, 2006**

**HABITAT**

1. Mr. Odlin moved and Mr. Ruhle seconded:  
that the Habitat Area of Particular Concern (HAPC) designation be moved to phase 2 of the omnibus EFH amendment.

The motion failed on a show of hands (4/12/0).

**HERRING**

2. Mr. Odlin moved and Mr. Salisbury seconded:  
to remove the mackerel set-aside provision from the Amendment 1 document.

The motion carried on a show of hands (14/1/1).

3. Ms. McGee moved and Mr. Hill seconded:  
that with regard to the sector allocation process: 1) the qualification period adopted in Amendment 1 to the herring plan will be used to establish sector allocations; 2) does not allow harvest of any sector allocation by non-sector members including non-limited access vessels; 3) limits the total percent of allocation to all sectors to 50% of the total allowable catch (TAC) for the area in which the sectors may operate

- 3a. Mr. Salisbury moved to substitute and Mr. Rice seconded:  
to delete the sector allocation process from the Amendment 1 document.

The motion to substitute carried on a show of hands (10/6/0).

- 3b. The substitute motion was voted:  
to delete the sector allocation process from the Amendment 1 document.

Yes – Avila, Cunningham, Pierce, Leary, Nelson, Odlin, Rice, Ruhle, Salisbury, Simpson  
No – Goethel, Hill, Kurkul, McGee, Lapointe, Pappalardo  
Abstention(s) -

The motion carried on a roll call vote (10/6/0).

4. Dr. Pierce moved and Mr. Ruhle seconded:  
that the Council modify the dates for qualification criteria for Areas 2 and 3 for the limited access directed permits and limited access incidental catch permits back to 1/1/88.

- 4a. Mr. Hill moved to substitute and Mr. Nelson seconded:  
that the Council modify the dates for qualification criteria for the limited access incidental catch permit back to 1/1/88.

The motion to substitute carried on a show of hands (9/6/1).

- 4b. The substitute motion was voted:  
that the Council modify the dates for qualification criteria for the limited access incidental catch permit back to 1/1/88.

The substitute motion carried on a show of hands (11/3/2).

5. Dr. Pierce moved and Mr. Hill seconded:  
that the Council approve Amendment 1, as modified this date, and submit the amendment and the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) to the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS).

Yes – Avila, Cunningham, Pierce, Goethel, Hill, Leary, McGee, Lapointe, Nelson, Pappalardo, Rice, Salisbury, Simpson

No – Odlin, Ruhle

Abstention(s) – Kurkul

The motion carried on a roll call vote (13/2/1).

## **February 1, 2006**

### **MAGNUSON-STEVENSON**

1. Mr. Hill moved and Mr. Cunningham seconded:  
that the Council send a letter to Congress supporting the principle that standards for the implementation of individual transfer quotas (ITQs) or other rights-based management plans be the same nationally.

Yes – Simpson, Salisbury, Ruhle, Pappalardo, Odlin, Nelson, Kurkul, McGee, Leary, Hill, Cunningham, Gibson

No – Rice, Lapointe, Goethel, Pierce, Avila

Abstention(s) –

The motion carried on a roll call vote (12/5/0).

## **GROUND FISH**

The following motions refer to the document entitled “Draft Multispecies Framework Adjustment 42 and Monkfish Framework Adjustment 3, Measures and Summary of Impacts dated February 1, 2006”

### **Recreational Measures - Section 5.1.3.9 – page 56**

2. Mr. Cunningham moved and Mr. Lapointe seconded:  
that the Council recommend Option 1 of the recreational measures as the preferred alternative. Recreational vessels (including party/charter) would be prohibited from possessing cod in the Gulf of Maine (GOM) Regulated Mesh Area (RMA) from November 1 to March 31, the minimum size would increase to 24”. Private recreational and party/charter vessels would be allowed to transit the restricted area (i.e. GOM RMA) with cod caught outside this area as long as all bait and hooks are removed from fishing rods, rods are stored on the deck (not in rod holders along the rail of the vessel) and all cod is stored in coolers or ice chests.
- 2a. The motion was perfected to read:  
that the Council recommend Option 1 of the recreational measures as the preferred alternative. Recreational vessels (including party/charter) would be prohibited from possessing cod in the GOM RMA from November 1 to March 31, the minimum size would increase to 24”. Private recreational and party/charter vessels would be allowed to transit the restricted area (i.e. GOM RMA) with cod caught outside this area as long as all bait and hooks are removed from fishing rods, rods are stored and all cod is stored in coolers or ice chests.

Yes – Avila, Gibson, Cunningham, Pierce, Goethel, Hill, Leary, McGee, Lapointe, Nelson, Odlin, Pappalardo, Rice, Ruhle, Salisbury, Simpson  
No –  
Abstention(s) – Kurkul

The motion carried on a roll call vote (16/0/1).

### **Section 5.1.3.9 – page 56**

3. Mr. Odlin moved and Mr. Salisbury seconded:  
that the Council choose Alternative E (modified), Section 5.1.3.11, for its major effort controls in Framework Adjustment 42.
- 3a. Dr. Pierce moved to amend and Mr. Nelson seconded:  
that the Council choose Alternative E (modified), Section 5.1.3.11, for its major effort controls in Framework Adjustment 42. Also, that the Council would substitute the industry’s TAC management option for Option E if plan development team (PDT) analysis of the option indicates it will achieve at least the same required mortality reductions as Option E. This analysis is to be completed before the April 4-6, 2006 Council meeting.
- 3b. Mr. Hill moved and Mr. Salisbury seconded:  
to table the previous motion until a time certain.

The motion to table carried on a show of hands (12/5/0).

- 3c. Mr. Goethel moved to substitute and Mr. Gibson seconded:  
that Option B2, as modified, be the preferred alternative in Framework Adjustment 42.

Yes - Avila, Gibson, Pierce, Goethel, Kurkul, Lapointe, Pappalardo, Rice, Ruhle, Salisbury, Simpson

No – Cunningham, Hill, Leary, McGee, Nelson, Odlin

Abstention(s) –

The motion to substitute carried on a roll call vote (11/6/0).

- 3d. Mr. Salisbury moved and Mr. Rice seconded:  
to reconsider the previous motion (#2c) to substitute.

The motion to reconsider failed on a show of hands (8/9/0).

- 3e. The main motion, as substituted, was voted:  
that Option B2, as modified, be the preferred alternative in Framework Adjustment 42.

Yes –Avila, Gibson, Pierce, Goethel, Hill, Leary, Kurkul, Lapointe, Nelson, Pappalardo, Rice, Ruhle, Simpson

No – Cunningham, McGee, Odlin, Salisbury

Abstention(s) -

The substitute motion carried on a roll call vote.

4. Mr. Odlin moved and Mr. Salisbury seconded:  
that the Council insert the following language into the previous alternative adopted “on species that have trip limits, that the Regional Administrator have the authority and responsibility to monitor the catch in relationship to the target TACs in the event that it can be projected, that we will not catch at least 90% of the target TAC in any given year and that the Regional Administrator will adjust the trip limits upwards as appropriate.”

The motion carried on a show of hands (12/5/0).

5. Ms. Kurkul moved and Mr. Salisbury seconded:  
that for Option B2, that the provisions as described in the differential DAS Options 1 and 2 be adopted for Option B2. Specifically the counting for day gillnet vessels in Section 5.1.3.1.1 with the exception that at 7.5 hours the 2:1 will become effective. For the issue of fishing in and out of the area, it would be as described in Section 5.1.3.5 and the monitoring requirements under Section 5.1.3.1.4.

The motion was withdrawn by its maker.

6. Ms. Kurkul moved and Mr. Cunningham seconded:  
if a vessel fishes in and out of the differential DAS areas, they are charged at the rate of 2:1 for the entire trip. The differential rate will not apply to vessels that are transiting the area to other fishing areas. Day gillnet vessels will be charged at a minimum of 15 hours for any trip that is 3 hours or more in length, up to and including 7.5 hours in length. For trips less than 3 hours in length or over 7.5 hours in length, day gillnet vessels will be charged DAS at the rate of 2:1.

6a. Mr. Odlin moved to amend and Mr. Ruhle seconded:  
that the 2:1 DAS differential counting will only apply when a vessel is fishing inside the differential DAS area. This option is only possible if a vessel has VMS.

The motion to amend failed on a show of hands (4/12/0).

6b. Mr. Goethel moved to amend and Mr. Ruhle seconded:  
when fishing in the Southern New England (SNE) DAS counting area, time is only counted differentially when actually in the area as recorded by vessel monitoring system (VMS).

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands (10/2/3).

6c. The main motion, as amended, was voted:  
if a vessel fishes in and out of the differential DAS areas, they are charged at the rate of 2:1 for the entire trip. The differential rate will not apply to vessels that are transiting the area to other fishing areas. Day gillnet vessels will be charged at a minimum of 15 hours for any trip that is 3 hours or more in length, up to and including 7.5 hours in length. For trips less than 3 hours in length or over 7.5 hours in length, day gillnet vessels will be charged DAS at the rate of 2:1. When fishing in the SNE DAS counting area time is only counted differentially when actually in the area as recorded by VMS.

The amended motion carried on a show of hands (14/2/1).

7. Mr. Cunningham moved and Mr. Odlin seconded:  
that the Council adopt a control date for the party/charter boat fleet when published in the *Federal Register*.

7a. The motion was perfected to read:  
that the Council adopt a control date for the multispecies party/charter permit when published in the *Federal Register*.

Yes – Salisbury, Ruhle, Rice, Pappalardo, Odlin, Lapointe, McGee, Hill, Pierce, Cunningham, Avila

No – Simpson, Leary, Goethel, Gibson

Abstention(s) – Nelson, Kurkul

The motion, as perfected, carried on a roll call vote (11/4/2).

8. It was agreed that tabled Motion 2a (see below) be brought forward for discussion and perfected: that the Council choose Alternative E (modified), Section 5.1.3.11, for its major effort controls in Framework Adjustment 42. Also, that the Council would substitute the industry's TAC management option for Option E if PDT analysis of the option indicates it will achieve at least the same required mortality reductions as Option E. This analysis is to be completed before the April 4-6, 2006 Council meeting.
- 8a. Dr. Pierce moved and Mr. Avila seconded: that the industry TAC management option be analyzed to determine if it will accomplish the required reductions in fishing mortality. If it accomplishes those reductions, it should be considered at the next Council meeting as an alternative to B2. The PDT should provide the analysis prior to the next Council meeting.

Yes – Avila, Cunningham, Pierce, Goethel, Hill, Leary, Nelson, Rice

No – Gibson, McGee, Kurkul, Lapointe, Odlin, Pappalardo, Ruhle, Salisbury, Simpson

Abstention(s) –

The motion failed on a roll call vote (8/9/0).

**Special management measures – Section 5.1.4.1 – page 59**

9. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee: that the Council recommend as the preferred alternative the CA1 hook gear haddock special access program (SAP) adjustment as in Section 5.1.4.1.

The motion carried on a show of hands (13/1/3).

**Section 5.1.4.2 – page 60**

10. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee: that the Council recommend as the preferred alternative the proposed changes to the US/CA haddock SAP in Section 5.1.4.2.

The motion carried on a show of hands (15/0/1).

**Category B (Regular) DAS Program – Section 5.1.4.3 – page 61**

11. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee: that the Council recommend that the Category B (regular) DAS program be renewed as outlined in Section 5.1.4.3.

*It was agreed that the previous motion was offered out of sequence and would be addressed later in the meeting.*

**Section 5.1.4.3.1 – page 61**

12. Mr. Cunningham moved and Mr. Odlin seconded: that the Council recommend as the preferred alternative the effort controls as written in Section 5.1.4.3.1.

**Incidental Catch TACS – Section 5.1.4.3.2 – page 62**

- 12a. Mr. Odlin moved to amend and Ms. Kurkul seconded:  
as to SNE/Mid-Atlantic yellowtail flounder and Cape Cod GOM yellowtail flounder, when the incidental catch total allowable catch is caught or can be projected to be caught, the areas listed (30' squares) where those species are predominately caught will be closed to the B DAS program. The areas listed are:  
SNE/Mid-Atlantic areas 70-73, 82-88, 101-103, 98-99  
Cape Cod/GOM areas 98, 114, 124, 125, 132, 133

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands (12/3/2).

- 12b. The main motion as amended:  
that the Council recommend as the preferred alternative the effort controls as written in Section 5.1.4.3.1. As to SNE/Mid-Atlantic yellowtail flounder and Cape Cod GOM yellowtail flounder, when the incidental catch total allowable catch is caught or can be projected to be caught, the areas listed (30' squares) where those species are predominately caught will be closed to the B DAS program. The areas listed are:  
SNE/Mid-Atlantic areas 70-73, 82-88, 101-103, 98-99  
Cape Cod/GOM areas 98, 114, 124, 125, 132, 133

The main motion, as amended, carried on a show of hands (16/0/1).

**Section 5.1.4.3.2 – page 62**

13. Mr. Cunningham moved and Mr. Odlin seconded:  
that the Council recommend that the incidental catch TACs, as modified by the previous motion, be adopted as the preferred alternative as written in Section 5.1.4.3.2 including Table 8 and Table 4.

The motion carried on a show of hands (14/0/1).

**Section 5.1.4.3.3 – page 64**

14. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee:  
that the Council recommend as the preferred alternative the catch limits as outlined in Section 5.1.4.3.3, paragraphs 1 and 2 and that Option 1 of paragraph 3 be recommended for Framework Adjustment 3 of the monkfish plan.

The motion carried on a show of hands (16/0/1).

**Section 5.1.4.3.4 – page 66**

15. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee:  
that the Council recommend that Option 1 be adopted as the preferred alternative for Section 5.1.4.3.4 gear requirements. Additional language as recommended by NOAA general counsel should be added at the end of Option 1 as follows: “The Council shall consider the recommendation and determine what standards if any shall be recommended to NMFS for implementation. Upon receipt of any recommendation, NMFS may implement the standards through a regulatory action consistent with the APA. If NMFS decides not to implement such recommendation, it must provide a written rationale to the Council of its decision.”

Yes – Gibson, Cunningham, Pierce, Hill, Leary, McGee, Kurkul, Lapointe, Nelson, Odlin, Pappalardo, Rice, Salisbury, Simpson

No – Avila, Goethel

Abstention(s) – Ruhle

The motion carried on a roll call vote (14/2/1).

**Sections 5.1.4.3.5 and 5.1.4.3.6 – page 67**

16. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee:  
that Section 5.1.4.3.5 (catch monitoring) and Section 5.1.4.3.6 (program monitoring) be adopted as the preferred alternatives as written.

The motion carried on a show of hands (17/0/0).

17. Mr. Cunningham moved and Mr. Lapointe seconded:  
that the Council recommend that the Category B (regular) DAS program be renewed as outlined in Section 5.1.4.3 as amended this date.

The motion carried on a show of hands (16/0/1).

**Section 5.1.5 – page 69**

18. Mr. Lapointe moved and Mr. Rice seconded:  
that the Council accept Section 5.1.5 and that the DAS leasing program be extended indefinitely.

Yes – Simpson, Salisbury, Ruhle, Rice, Pappalardo, Odlin, Nelson, Lapointe, Kurkul, McGee, Leary, Hill, Pierce, Cunningham, Gibson, Avila

No – Goethel

Abstention(s) –

The motion carried on a roll call vote (16/1/0).

**Section 5.1.6 – page 70**

19. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee:  
that the Council recommend that Section 5.1.6 fixed gear sector be adopted as the preferred alternative and that it include provisions that address implementation after May 1, 2006 as recommended in the NERO letter of January 25, 2006.

Yes – Pappalardo, Nelson, Kurkul, McGee, Hill, Pierce, Cunningham, Gibson, Leary

No – Simpson, Salisbury, Ruhle, Rice, Odlin, Goethel, Avila



Abstention(s) – Lapointe

The motion carried on a roll call vote (9/7/1).

**Section 5.1.2.1**

20. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee:  
that the Council recommend that Section 5.1.2.1 Georges Bank yellowtail flounder rebuilding strategy Option 2 adaptive strategy 1C be adopted as the preferred alternative.

The motion carried on a show of hands (11/0/0).

**Section 5.2.1 – page 70**

21. Mr. Odlin moved and Mr. Ruhle seconded:  
that the Council adopt Section 5.2.1 for VMS as written.

The motion carried on a show of hands (14/1/1).

**Section 5.2.2 - page 71**

22. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee:  
that the Council recommend that Section 5.2.2 haddock separator trawl or other authorized gear performance incentives be adopted as the preferred alternative.

The motion carried on a show of hands (13/3/1).

**Section 5.2.3 – page 71**

23. Dr. Pierce moved and Mr. Goethel seconded:  
that when fishing in the Georges Bank haddock stock area, vessels required to use a haddock separator trawl must use a minimum of 6.5 inch square mesh in the cod end.

Yes – Pierce, Goethel, Hill, Leary, McGee, Kurkul, Nelson

No – Avila, Gibson, Cunningham, Lapointe, Odlin, Pappalardo, Rice, Ruhle, Salisbury, Simpson

Abstention(s) -

The motion failed on a roll call vote (7/10/0).

**Section 5.2.4 - page 72**

24. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee:  
that the Council recommend that the changes to the haddock trip limit in Section 5.2.4 be adopted as the preferred alternative.

The motion carried on a show of hands (16/0/0).

February 2, 2006

**GROUND FISH (continued)**

**Section 5.2.5 - page 72**

1. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee:  
that the Council recommend that the US/CA resource sharing understanding measures in Section 5.2.5 be adopted as the preferred alternative, with the additional language that a vessel is prohibited from fishing in the Cape Cod/GOM and SNE/Mid-Atlantic yellowtail flounder stock area if it possesses yellowtail flounder in excess of the trip limit.

The motion carried on a show of hands (15/1/0).

**Section 5.2.6 - page 73**

2. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee:  
that the Council recommend that the DAS transfer program modifications as outlined in Section 5.2.6 be adopted as the preferred alternative with the additional language that for the hook gear permit category where vessels cannot change permit category from year to year under current regulations, vessels are prohibited from transferring their DAS to a vessel in a different permit category.

The motion carried on a show of hands (15/0/0).

**Section 5.2.7 - page 74**

3. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee:  
that the Council recommend the standard requirements for special management programs, Section 5.2.7, be adopted as the preferred alternative except that the DAS flipping provision will not apply to the CA1 hook gear haddock SAP

The motion carried on a show of hands (13/0/1).

**Section 5.2.8 - page 76**

4. Mr. Ruhle moved and Mr. Odlin seconded:  
that Section 5.2.8 be included in Framework Adjustment 42.

The motion failed on a show of hands with the chair breaking the tie (8/9/1).

**SNE/MA Regulated Mesh Area Trawl Mesh Regulation - Section 5.2.9**

5. Mr. Cunningham moved on behalf of the committee:  
that the Council recommend that the Council adopt a motion to allow the use of 6.5 inch diamond or 6.5 in square mesh in the SNE RMA.

The motion carried on a show of hands (14/0/1).

6. Ms. Kurkul moved and Mr. Ruhle seconded:  
that the Council revise the Georges Bank winter flounder trip limit to 5,000 lbs./trip.

The motion carried unanimously on a show of hands (16/0/0).

7. Mr. Salisbury moved Mr. Leary seconded:  
to increase the white hake trip limit to 1,000 lbs./day for vessels fishing outside of the blocks as described in Alternative B2 (modified).

The motion carried on a show of hands (12/4/0).

### **ECOSYSTEM**

8. Ms. McGee moved on behalf of the committee:  
that pollution is a serious problem and to elevate the synthesis of pollution studies on the Council's research priority list, the Council recognizes that this needs to be an inter-agency cooperative effort.

The motion carried on a show of hands (11/0/0).

9. Ms. McGee moved and Mr. Rice seconded:  
that the findings from the ecosystem pilot project and the SSC/SSAC be remanded to the Habitat/Ecosystems committee to be used as a starting point for development of a fishery ecosystem plan in 2007.

The motion carried on a show of hands (13/0/1).

### **RESEARCH STEERING**

Consensus item

### **SCALLOPS**

10. Mr. Hill moved and Mr. Lapointe seconded:  
that the Council approve draft scoping document for Amendment 11 (including specific policy guidance from the Council) to go out for public comment.

The motion carried on a show of hands (15/0/0).

11. Mr. Hill moved and Mr. Lapointe seconded:  
that the Council approve the establishment of a new ad hoc General Category advisory panel.

The motion carried on a show of hands.

12. Mr. Blount moved on behalf of the capacity committee:  
recommend that at the next Council meeting to begin the process of eliminating the prohibition on permit stacking in the limited access scallop fishery as soon as possible.

- 12a. Mr. Hill moved and Mr. Salisbury seconded:  
to table the previous motion.

The motion to table carried on a show of hands (15/0/2).

**PRIORITIES**

13. Mr. Blount moved and Mr. Lapointe seconded:  
to re-prioritize and put Monkfish as a priority action in 2006 and to remove Capacity as a priority.

The motion carried unanimously on a show of hands (16/0/0).

**GROUND FISH**

14. Mr. Cunningham and Ms. Kurkul seconded:  
that the Council take no action on the monkfish DAS issue.

The motion carried on a show of hands (14/0/2).

15. Mr. Salisbury moved and Mr. Rice seconded:  
to reconsider earlier Motion #7 which read “to increase the white hake trip limit to 1,000 lbs./day for vessels fishing outside of the blocks as described in Alternative B2 (modified)”

- 15a. Mr. Salisbury moved to substitute and Mr. Leary seconded:  
that for white hake the maximum per day trip limit (in 50 lb. increments) between 500 and 1,000 lbs. per day that meets the mortality objectives be adopted as the white hake trip limit throughout the management area.

The motion to substitute carried on a show of hands (15/0/0).

The substitute motion carried unanimously on a show of hands.

16. Mr. Cunningham moved and Mr. Salisbury seconded:  
to submit Framework Adjustment 42 to the Regional Administrator for approval.

- 16a. Mr. Ruhle moved to amend but it was not seconded:  
to allow fishing in the US/CA area with the separator trawl that on an observed trip, a vessel could use a 6” diamond to target haddock with adopted performance standards and that the Regional Administrator could cancel it at any time if abused.

- 16b. Mr. Pappalardo moved to amend and Mr. Avila seconded:  
that yellowtail flounder be added to the list of species in Section 5.2.5.1 which needs to be reported via VMS prior to leaving the eastern US/CA area and that all yellowtail flounder caught on the trip whether in or out of the eastern US/CA area will apply to the US/CA total allowable catch.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.

- 16c. The main motion, as amended, was voted:  
to submit Framework Adjustment 42 to the Regional Administrator for approval.

Yes – Cunningham, Goethel, Hill, Leary, McGee, Lapointe, McBane, Pappalardo, Rice, Salisbury, Simpson

No – Avila, Pierce, Odlin, Ruhle

Abstention(s) – Kurkul

The motion carried on a roll call vote (11/4/1).

17. Mr. Pappalardo moved and Mr. Avila seconded:  
that the PDT analyze the industry created “total allowable catch management option” as submitted. In addition, the PDT will analyze a “modified total allowable catch management option” that will apply the Georges Bank yellowtail management strategy to GOM cod in place of the inshore GOM cod cap program for the April council meeting.

The motion carried on a show of hands (8/6/0).

#### **OTHER BUSINESS**

18. Mr. Lapointe moved and Mr. Hill seconded:  
that the Council approve Framework Adjustment 3 to the monkfish plan.

The motion carried on a show of hands (12/0/1).

19. Mr. Lapointe moved and Dr. Pierce seconded:  
to separate Framework Adjustment 43 to the multispecies plan FMP from Amendment 1 to the herring FMP and submit Framework Adjustment 43 as expeditiously as possible so that bycatch measures for the herring fishery can be implemented for the 2006 summer fishery. [Intent: for Amendment 1 to be submitted as expeditiously as possible after Framework Adjustment 43 for implementation by the start of the 2007 fishing year]

The motion carried on a show of hands.

20. Mr. Hill moved and Mr. Lapointe seconded:  
that the Council approve the minutes from the November 15-17, 2005 Council meeting.

The motion carried on a show of hands (11/2/1).