

NEW ENGLAND FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

Habitat/Marine Protected Areas/Ecosystems

I. STATUS

Meetings:

Committee: The Committee met on September 17, 2007 at the Radisson Inn in Plymouth, MA to consider the Habitat PDT's recommendation for a Great South Channel HAPC to be included in Phase 1 of the EFH Omnibus Amendment 2. The Committee also received a presentation from the Gulf of Maine Mapping Initiative (GOMMI).

The next Committee meeting has not been scheduled at this time.

Habitat/MPA/Ecosystem Plan Development Team: The PDT met on August 15, 2007 in Narragansett, RI to develop a recommendation to the Committee for a Great South Channel HAPC as directed by the Council at the June 2007 Council meeting. The PDT also discussed the tasks and milestones for Phase 2 of the EFH Omnibus Amendment and heard a briefing from Dr. Jeremy Collie (PDT member) on a recent habitat research cruise findings.

The next PDT meeting has not been scheduled at this time.

Habitat/MPA/Ecosystem Advisory Panel: The Advisory Panel has not met since the last Council meeting.

The next Advisory Panel meeting has not been scheduled at this time.

II. COUNCIL ACTION

Consider approval of a Habitat Area of Particular Concern (HAPC) in the Great South Channel as part of Phase 1 of Essential Fish Habitat Omnibus Amendment 2

III. INFORMATION

1. PDT memo dated August 30, 2007 to Habitat Committee
2. NEFSC EFH Source Document for Atlantic Cod (2005)

13 September 2007

Mr. John Pappalardo, Chairman NEFMC
New England Fishery Management Council
50 Water Street, Mill 2
Newburyport, MA 01950
Phone: (978) 465-0492
Email: jpappalardo@nefmc.org, pfiorelli@nefmc.org



Dear Mr. Pappalardo and members of the NEFMC:

I am writing on behalf of the Conservation Law Foundation to urge you to take very seriously the need to recognize a major Habitat Areas of Particular Concern within the Great South Channel – both to aid the recovery of dwindling Atlantic Cod populations and in recognition of the high value of this habitat area for regional marine biological diversity and ecosystem health.

A number of proposals have been advanced for HAPC designation, most recently *Alternative 8 modified* recommended by the Program Development Team. The recommendation of the PDT is based on a considerable effort to examine not just current and historical distributions of juvenile cod, but also a careful analysis of a number of key habitat attributes known to be important for young cod and other species. This modified proposal is substantially reduced in areal extent over the original GSC proposal. We strongly support designation of a substantial part of the GSC as HAPC. While the modified proposal will not offer as much ecological benefit as the original, we feel that a very strong case has been advanced in support of *Alternative 8 modified* as presented in the 30 August 2007 memorandum from the Habitat Plan Development Team. We urge you to designate this alternative as HAPC without any further reductions in area or fragmentation.

While the list of criteria for HAPC designation includes juvenile cod EFH, it is also important to remember that there are other criteria including “importance of historic or current ecological function.” CLF recently completed a scientific analysis with WWF-Canada, and a large number of the region’s scientists, to identify areas of high ecological significance within the Gulf of Maine, Georges Bank and the Scotian Shelf. The historic and current ecological import of the Great South Channel is enormous. The GSC provides important habitat for many different components of the ecosystem including a diversity of whales, dolphins, and fish. Our analyses illustrated the role of this area for three endangered species of whales and for a variety of demersal fishes.

In May 2005, we submitted an HAPC proposal to the council (Crawford and Fleming). In that proposal we examine the distributions of juvenile fishes belonging to several over-fished stocks, and these analyses also pointed to the high ecological value of this area.

The economic value of the current fisheries in the GSC is admittedly high, but what is the value of the integrity of the ecosystem overall, or the present value of a restored Atlantic cod population that will only emerge if the juvenile fishes that grow in the habitats of the GSC are allowed to mature before moving on to other areas? We believe that the answers to these latter questions are self-evident and should be the basis for Council action. The Conservation Law Foundation strongly supports the designation of a substantial HAPC in the GSC. We urge you not to further reduce the scale of the HAPC and to resist pressure to consider alternatives based on smaller fragments of this important area.

Sincerely,

John D. Crawford, PhD
Senior Scientist



New England Fishery Management Council

50 WATER STREET | NEWBURYPORT, MASSACHUSETTS 01950 | PHONE 978 465 0492 | FAX 978 465 3116

John Pappalardo, *Chairman* | Paul J. Howard, *Executive Director*

TO: Habitat/MPA/Ecosystems Committee


FROM: Habitat Plan Development Team
Via Leslie-Ann McGee, Chair

DATE: August 30, 2007

RE: Great South Channel HAPC Alternative

The Habitat Plan Development Team met on August 15, 2007 in Narragansett, RI to discuss the directive by the Council *“to forward a modified HAPC Alternative 8 (Great South Channel) to include only areas that are juvenile cod EFH Alternative 3E to the PDT and Habitat/MPA/Ecosystems Committee for review and recommendation and report to the Council at its September Council meeting.”*


Map 1. Original Great South Channel HAPC (Alternative 8) with Proposed Juvenile Cod EFH




PDT Recommendation

The PDT recommends that the Council consider implementing a juvenile cod HAPC in the Great South Channel that includes a modified area within the Alternative 8 boundaries that overlap with the proposed juvenile cod EFH (Alternative 3E) and that is restricted by area north of 41° N. Lat., west of 69° W. Lon and south of 42° N. Lat.. The HAPC is further restricted by the large funnel-shaped bathymetric feature at the southern extreme of the Gulf of Maine between Georges Bank and Cape Cod, MA. Juvenile cod EFH in the area that is included in the HAPC is confined to the contiguous EFH included in the feature and the area immediately adjacent to Cape Cod. See maps below.


Map 2. PDT recommended Great South Channel HAPC (Alternative 8 modified)



Map 3. PDT recommended GSC HAPC and proposed new juvenile cod EFH



Map 4. PDT recommended GSC HAPC with proposed juvenile cod EFH, NMFS Scallop Survey and Bottom Trawl Survey catches of juvenile cod (1981-2006)



Background

The Great South Channel separates the western part of Georges Bank from Nantucket Shoals. Sediments in the Great South Channel include gravel pavement and mounds, some scattered boulders, sand with storm generated ripples, scattered shell and mussel beds. Tidal and storm currents may range from moderate to strong, depending upon location and storm activity (Valentine, pers. comm).

Alternative 8 (Great South Channel) is 4537 square nautical miles (nm²) in area. There are 80 life stages of 24 species with more than 1% of their currently defined EFH (status quo EFH) area inside this alternative and 35 life stages of 11 species which currently make up more than 50% of the Great South Channel Area alternative. With respect to Atlantic cod EFH, for which this alternative was developed, Alternative 8 contains 19% and 14% of the currently designated Atlantic cod EFH for juveniles and adults, respectively. Additionally, approximately 63% and 90% of the area is EFH for juvenile and adult Atlantic cod, respectively.

Alternative 8 (Great South Channel HAPC) alone generated over \$80 million in revenues, by far the highest of any HAPC and more than all other HAPCs combined. This importance is due to the presence of several major fisheries in the region. The channel was followed by the Jeffreys Ledge/Stellwagen Bank options (\$22 million for the NMS), and Hudson Canyon (nearly \$14 million). At the low end of the ranking were the seamount proposal and five (5) of canyon areas which encompassed less than \$50 thousand each. The combination of all canyon HAPCs areas yielded \$32 million when the North and South Canyons options were factored in.


Justification

As shown in this memo (text taken directly from Phase 1 DSEIS), the Great South Channel HAPC alternative (#8 as modified) meets all of the HAPC criteria set out in the EFH Final Rule. Note that the EFH Final Rule requires one of the criteria to be met in order for an area to be designated as an HAPC. Additionally, the modified Alternative 8 meets all of the Council preferences stated in the HAPC proposal document (see below). These are subjective discussions but are derived from an evaluation of the scientific and technical information available.


The Council has approved two HAPCs for two of the three main juvenile cod grounds in the Gulf of Maine and George's Bank (Northern Edge and Inshore Massachusetts Bay). Implementation of Alternative 8 would provide protection for the third area. The Great South Channel area has important geophysical features and is a hot spot for juvenile cod (see maps below). The PDT believes that the combination of the benthic features of the area, the high relative abundance of juvenile cod (like the two other high density areas in the region that have HAPC status) and the presence of EFH for multiple species, including juvenile cod EFH are

good reasons to designate this area as a HAPC. Does this area contain the type of habitats that promote the survivorship and sustainability of juvenile cod? Is it more important than other areas? These are the critical questions that the PDT believes are answered by the data shown and the discussion of the HAPC criteria included below and the analysis provided in the DSEIS for this alternative.


Map 5. Juvenile cod from NEFSC spring bottom trawl surveys (1968-2003, all years combined). Survey stations where juveniles were not found are not shown




**Map 6. Juvenile cod from NEFSC fall bottom trawl surveys (1968-2003, all years combined).
Survey stations where juveniles were not found are not shown**




Map 7. Spring juvenile cod from NEFSC Bottom Trawl Survey



Map 8. Fall juvenile cod from NEFSC Bottom Trawl Survey




Map 9. Juvenile cod in NEFSC Scallop Survey and 2007 Scallop VMS effort



This alternative proposes to designate the Great South Channel area as an HAPC for juvenile Atlantic cod (**Error! Reference source not found.**) in order to recognize the importance of the area for its high benthic productivity and hard bottom habitats, which provide structured benthic habitat and food resources for cod and other demersal-managed species.

Map 10. Original Alternative 8: Great South Channel Cod HAPC Alternative



HAPC CRITERIA ANALYSIS FROM DSEIS

Importance of Historic or Current Ecological Function

The Great South Channel is a large funnel-shaped bathymetric feature at the southern extreme of the Gulf of Maine between Georges Bank and Cape Cod, MA. The channel is bordered on the west by Cape Cod and Nantucket Shoals, and on the east by Georges Bank. The channel is generally deeper to the north and shallower to the south, where it narrows and rises to the continental shelf edge. To the north, the channel opens into several deepwater basins of the Gulf of Maine. The V-shaped 100-m isobath effectively delineates the steep drop-off from Nantucket Shoals and Georges Bank to the deeper basins.

The Great South Channel separates the western part of Georges Bank from Nantucket Shoals. Sediments in this region include gravel pavement and mounds, some scattered boulders, sand with storm generated ripples, scattered shell and mussel beds. Tidal and storm currents may range from moderate to strong, depending upon location and storm activity (Valentine, pers. comm.). The area west of the Great South Channel, known as Nantucket shoals is similar in nature to the central region of the bank. The Great South Channel HAPC has moderate levels of primary production, but is an area which supports a significant yield for a number of commercial species and has fairly high demersal fish biomass in the NEFSC Bottom Trawl Surveys. The demersal fishery is supported by a combination of vertical production descending from the surface layer; periodic horizontal advection from Georges Bank/Gulf of Maine region; and migration of fish populations from the regions to the northwest and southeast. Thus the diverse/productive epibenthic invertebrate populations and three dimensional, hard bottom habitat structure in this region provide excellent EFH for juvenile cod and other demersal target species. Additionally, studies conducted in other regions of the Gulf of Maine and elsewhere throughout the Northwest Atlantic have documented that these complex habitats increase the growth and survival of juvenile cod.

A source of valuable information on the location of important and sensitive habitats is the commercial fishing industry itself. While survey data provide the best means to identify the distribution and relative abundance of fish species, most currently available survey data contain little quantitative or qualitative information on the characteristics of important habitat. Commercial fishermen are one of the best available sources of qualitative, if not quantitative, information on the location and characteristics of habitat important to commercial fish species. Commercial fishermen are also a good source of information on the sensitivity to fishing gears and practices of various habitat types. The Great South Channel area is used by commercial fishermen and contains structurally complex gravel, cobble, and boulder habitat, which supports a wide array of emergent epifauna that juvenile cod rely on for food and shelter from predation. Within the area, many different types of habitats exist that are important to juvenile cod:

1. Deep water spots (45 - 75 fathoms): hard bottom with glacially deposited boulders which are fished for groundfish and include a greater diversity of species than shallow areas. Common

fishing area names in this region include: (1) East Southeast Ridge; (2) Figs; (3) Jim Dwyers Ridge; (4) The Sixty-sixes; and (5) Pimple Ridges.

2. Shallower waters (15 - 40 fathoms): Rock and gravel with benthic organisms such as horse mussels, sea "lemons" and sponges. Common fishing area names in this area include: (1) Lemons and (2) Mussels; (3) Crushed Shells; (4) East of Pollock Hole; (5) Codfish Grounds; (6) Big Mussels Cove; (7) Middle Rip; and (8) Pumpkins.

Sensitivity to Anthropogenic Stresses

As noted above, the Great South Channel area is used by commercial fishermen, and some parts of it have been closed to fishing since 1994. The distribution of biological habitats in the channel is of special interest to the New England Fishery Management Council. The area contains habitat features that are particularly sensitive, both in absolute terms and relative to other habitat types, like flat sand habitats, to the adverse effects associated with bottom trawling and scallop dredging.

Extent of Current or Future Development Stresses

The area faces threats from bottom trawling and scallop dredging, both of which occur throughout the proposed HAPC areas. According to Scallop Framework 16, nearly 50% of the projected scallop effort is expected to occur in the Great South Channel vicinity. Bottom-trawling is also extensive throughout juvenile cod EFH in areas west of the Great South Channel and in gravel habitats on Georges Bank. VTR Maps prepared for Groundfish Amendment 13 show similar effort concentrations in the area.

Rarity of the Habitat Type

The Great South Channel is a large funnel-shaped bathymetric feature at the southern extreme of the Gulf of Maine between Georges Bank and Cape Cod, MA. The channel is bordered on the west by Cape Cod and Nantucket Shoals, and on the east by Georges Bank. The channel is generally deeper to the north and shallower to the south, where it narrows and rises to the continental shelf edge. To the north, the channel opens into several deepwater basins of the Gulf of Maine. The V-shaped 100-m isobath effectively delineates the steep drop-off from Nantucket Shoals and Georges Bank to the deeper basins. There is a persistent thermal front, which roughly parallels the V-shaped 100-m isobath typically slightly south of that isobath in 60-70 m of water. The front divides stratified waters with warmer surface temperatures to the north of the front from tidally mixed waters with cooler surface temperatures over the shallower area south of the front. This area contains relatively rare structurally complex gravel, cobble, and boulder habitat, which supports a wide array of emergent epifauna that juvenile cod rely on for food and shelter from predation.

Table 1. Summary of Alternative 8 Suitability: HAPC Criteria and Council Preferences

HAPC Criteria (EFH Final Rule)	Criteria Met?	Discussion
<i>Importance of Historic or Current Ecological Function</i>	Yes	Contains structurally complex gravel, cobble, and boulder habitat, which supports a wide array of emergent epifauna that juvenile cod rely on for food and shelter from predation
<i>Sensitivity to Anthropogenic Stresses</i>	Yes	Contains habitat features that are particularly sensitive, to the adverse effects associated with bottom trawling and scallop dredging
<i>Extent of Current or Future Development Stresses</i>	Yes	Faces threats from bottom trawling and scallop dredging.
<i>Rarity of the Habitat Type</i>	Yes	Habitat type is rare in NE
Council Preferences	Preference Met?	Discussion
<i>Will improve the fisheries management in the EEZ</i>	Yes	Could improve understanding of importance of structurally complex areas for future fishery productivity.
<i>Include EFH designations for more than one Council-managed species</i>	Yes	Includes 80 life stages under the status quo EFH and 64 life stages under the preferred alternative EFH.
<i>Include juvenile cod EFH</i>	Yes	63% of the area is EFH for <u>juvenile</u> cod under status quo EFH and 47% of the areas is designated EFH for <u>juvenile</u> cod under the preferred alternative EFH. 90% of the area is EFH under <u>adult</u> cod under status quo EFH and 53% of the areas is designated EFH for <u>adult</u> cod under preferred alternative EFH.
<i>Meet more than one of the EFH Final Rule HAPC criteria</i>	Yes	Meets all criteria.

#2

Essential Fish Habitat Source Document:

**Atlantic Cod, *Gadus morhua*,
Life History and Habitat Characteristics,
2nd Edition**

R. Gregory Lough

*National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Fisheries Science Center,
Woods Hole Laboratory, 166 Water Street,
Woods Hole, MA 02543*

August 2005

PREFACE TO 2ND EDITION

Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
LIFE HISTORY	1
GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION	4
HABITAT CHARACTERISTICS	6
RESEARCH NEEDS	8
REFERENCES CITED	9
ADDITIONAL REFERENCES	15

Tables

Table 1. Age and length at 50% maturity for two stocks of Atlantic cod, <i>Gadus morhua</i>	20
Table 2. Food habits of Atlantic cod	21
Table 3. Minor diet items of Atlantic cod	23
Table 4. Distribution of life history stages of Atlantic cod in representative estuaries between Maine and Chesapeake Bay	25
Table 5. Summary of life history and habitat parameters for Atlantic cod	26

Figures

Figure 1. The Atlantic cod, <i>Gadus morhua</i> (from Goode 1884)	27
Figure 2. Distribution of Atlantic cod stocks in the North Atlantic showing principle spawning sites	28
Figure 3. Percent by weight of the major prey items in the diet of three size categories of Atlantic cod	29
Figure 4. Overall distribution and abundance of Atlantic cod in the northwest Atlantic Ocean	30
Figure 5. Distributions and abundances of Atlantic cod eggs collected during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys	31
Figure 6. Distributions and abundances of Atlantic cod eggs collected during GLOBEC Georges Bank ichthyoplankton surveys	35
Figure 7. Distributions and abundances of Atlantic cod larvae collected during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys	39
Figure 8. Distributions and abundances of Atlantic cod larvae collected during GLOBEC Georges Bank ichthyoplankton surveys	43
Figure 9. Topographic map of Georges Bank showing gravel distribution, from Valentine and Lough (1991)	48
Figure 10. Distribution and abundance of recently-settled juvenile cod on eastern Georges Bank in relation to sediments	49
Figure 11. Seasonal distributions and abundances of juvenile Atlantic cod collected during NEFSC bottom trawl surveys	52
Figure 12. Distribution and abundance of Atlantic cod along the coasts of Maine and New Hampshire	56
Figure 13. Length frequency plots for Atlantic cod caught along the Maine and New Hampshire coasts, by season/year	57
Figure 14. Regional catch-per-unit-effort of Atlantic cod caught along the Maine and New Hampshire coasts, by season/year	58
Figure 15. Seasonal distributions and abundances of juvenile Atlantic cod in Massachusetts coastal waters	59
Figure 16. Seasonal distributions and abundances of adult Atlantic cod collected during NEFSC bottom trawl surveys	61
Figure 17. Seasonal distributions and abundances of adult Atlantic cod in Massachusetts coastal waters	65
Figure 18. Monthly distributions of Atlantic cod eggs collected during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys relative to water column temperature and bottom depth	67
Figure 19. Distributions of Atlantic cod eggs collected during GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys relative to water column temperature	68

Figure 20. Distributions of Atlantic cod eggs collected during GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys relative to bottom depth.....	69
Figure 21. Monthly distributions of Atlantic cod larvae collected during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys relative to water column temperature and bottom depth.	70
Figure 22. Distributions of Atlantic cod larvae collected during GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys relative to water column temperature.....	71
Figure 23. Distributions of Atlantic cod larvae collected during GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys relative to bottom depth.....	72
Figure 24. Distributions of juvenile Atlantic cod and trawls from NEFSC bottom trawl surveys relative to bottom water temperature, depth, and salinity.....	73
Figure 25. Distributions of juvenile Atlantic cod and trawls in Massachusetts coastal waters relative to bottom water temperature and depth.	75
Figure 26. Distributions of adult Atlantic cod and trawls from NEFSC bottom trawl surveys relative to bottom water temperature, depth, and salinity.	77
Figure 27. Distributions of adult Atlantic cod and trawls in Massachusetts coastal waters relative to bottom water temperature and depth.	79

Appendices

Appendix 1. Table of habitat parameters for Atlantic cod, <i>Gadus morhua</i>	81
--	----

INTRODUCTION

The Atlantic cod (Figure 1) is a demersal gadoid distributed in the northwest Atlantic Ocean from Greenland to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina (Figure 2). Densities are highest off Newfoundland, in the Gulf of St. Lawrence and on the Scotian Shelf, while in U.S. waters, densities are highest on Georges Bank and the western Gulf of Maine. The Georges Bank cod stock is the most southerly cod stock in the world (Wise 1958). Atlantic cod are managed as two stocks in American waters: (1) Gulf of Maine and (2) Georges Bank and southward (Mayo 1995). Little interchange occurs between the two areas. Cod occurs from nearshore areas to depths exceeding 400 m (rarely). The greatest concentrations off the northeast coast of the U.S. are on rough bottoms in waters between 10 and 150 m and at temperatures between 0 and 10°C.

A regular pattern of migrations, associated with reproduction and seasonal temperature change, has been observed in the Newfoundland stock (Rose 1993). Here, huge schools of cod leave wintering areas in deep oceanic waters and follow tongues of deep, relatively warm, oceanic waters ("highways") across the shelf to summer feeding areas nearshore. They then move northward along the Newfoundland coast in late summer, and eventually return to wintering areas. Spawning occurs in dense concentrations (> 1 fish/m³) as they begin this mass movement, with multiple pairs of spawning fish observed in "columns" above the mass. As this huge mass of fish migrates inshore, it periodically encounters important prey aggregations (e.g., capelin and shrimp) and disperses. The mass is led by the largest size class (or "scouts") and the smallest fish are found at the rear. Fahay (NOAA Fisheries, NEFSC, James J. Howard Marine Sciences Laboratory, Highlands, NJ, pers. comm.) postulates that the youngest learn the route from the oldest, and that loss of the largest fish (through fishery pressure directed at them) could result in changes in this migration pattern. Similar changes have been observed in Norwegian herring stocks, but observations of such migrations are lacking in the two U.S. stocks. Off New England, Atlantic cod typically move into coastal waters during the fall and then retreat into deeper waters during spring. Another seasonal movement occurs in the Great South Channel area where they move southwesterly during autumn, spend the winter in southern New England and the Mid-Atlantic coast, and then return in the spring.

Atlantic cod attain ages of 20 years. Most enter fisheries at ages 2-5. They can grow to lengths of 130 cm and weights of 25-35 kg and average 26 cm by the end of their first year. Median age at sexual maturity is 1.7-2.3 years at lengths between 32 and 41 cm (O'Brien *et al.* 1993). Fecundity is high and a large female may produce between 3 and 9 million eggs. Spawning occurs near bottom during winter and early spring,

usually in water temperatures between 5 and 7°C. Eggs are pelagic and drift for 2-3 weeks before hatching. The larvae are also pelagic until they reach 4-6 cm in about 3 months, when they descend to the bottom. Further details of the life history of Atlantic cod are summarized in the Final EIS for Amendment 5 (NEFMC 1993) for the multispecies complex, and certain data are updated in Amendment 7, Vol. I of the Multispecies FMP (NEFMC 1996); see also Amendment 13, Vol. II of the Multispecies FMP (NEFMC 2003). Generalizations contained in those summaries suffice to describe most biological and life history traits of cod occurring off the northeastern coast of the U.S. This document examines dietary requirements and expands somewhat on spawning patterns, distributions and habitat characteristics of four life history stages (eggs, larvae, juveniles, adults).

This document is mostly concerned with the northwest Atlantic stocks. New research applications have involved the development of circulation models to simulate the potential transport pathways of eggs and larvae from spawning sites under realistic conditions, and the use of genetic markers to identify stocks and potential intermixing. Also, there is a considerable body of literature on hypotheses for the collapse of the northern Atlantic cod off Newfoundland by the early 1990's. While not immediately relevant to the Georges Bank/Gulf of Maine stocks, they explore the interplay of over-fishing and environmental change, the relative contributions of inshore and offshore stocks, and the causes and effects of the contraction of spawning stock. Since December 1994 there has been a year-round closure to commercial fishing of a large part of Georges Bank to rebuild the spawning stock. Fortunately, a major field program (U.S. GLOBEC) conducted monthly (January-July) ichthyoplankton surveys on Georges Bank from 1995 to 1999. The resulting cod egg and larval data are presented in the same format so that a comparison can be made with the prior Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment and Prediction (MARMAP) ichthyoplankton survey data from 1977-1987.

LIFE HISTORY

EGGS

Atlantic cod eggs are pelagic, buoyant, spherical, and transparent. Their diameter ranges from 1.2-1.7 mm. The chorion is smooth (unsculptured) and the yolk is homogeneous. There are no oil globules and the perivitelline space is narrow (Fahay 1983; Markle and Frost 1985). Hatching occurs after 8 to 60 days in varying temperatures (Hardy 1978) and averages 2-3 weeks in typical spring conditions (Lough *et al.* 1989).

Temperature, more than season, also exerts the most influence on egg and hatchling sizes (Miller *et al.* 1995).

LARVAE

Larvae hatch at sizes between 3.3 and 5.7 mm, with pigmented eyes, but unformed mouth parts. The body is long and tapering and the vent opens laterally on the finfold, rather than at its margin. The preanus length is < 50% of the total length. Characteristic pigment includes pairs of bars on the dorsal and ventral edges of the body and individual melanophores under the notochord tip. Pollock (*Pollachius virens*) larvae are similar, but have five primary caudal rays on the superior hypural; Atlantic cod larvae have four (Fahay 1983). Some studies have found increased growth rates with warmer temperatures (e.g., Laurence 1978); others have correlated enhanced growth with concentrations of zooplankton prey (Suthers *et al.* 1989). Several studies have described developing larvae drifting in a clockwise pattern around Georges Bank with high concentrations over the southern flank at depths between 50 and 100 m (e.g., Lough *et al.* 1989; Lough and Manning 2001). Larvae occur from near-surface to depths of 75 m, and larvae move deeper with growth (Hardy 1978; Lough and Potter 1993).

JUVENILES

Transformation to the juvenile stage occurs at sizes greater than 20 mm, when all fin rays are formed (Fahay 1983). Descent from the water column to bottom habitats occurs at sizes of 2.5-6 cm (Fahay 1983; Lough *et al.* 1989) or < 7 cm (Bailey 1975). Most remain on the bottom after this descent, and there is no evidence of a subsequent, diel, vertical migration (Bailey 1975). Coloration during this initial descent mimics the substrate, reducing predation (Lough *et al.* 1989). By the end of their first year, juvenile cod reach a mean length of 26 cm (Penttila and Gifford 1976).

ADULTS

Adults are heavy-bodied and have a large head, blunt snout and a distinct barbel under the lower jaw tip. Color varies, but usually includes many small spots and a pale lateral line. Color can change depending on bottom habitats. There are three distinct dorsal fins and two distinct anal fins. Vertebrae number 50-59 and fin ray counts are: D₁: 13-16; D₂: 19-24; D₃: 18-21; A₁: 20-

24; A₂: 17-22. Size averages 2.3-3.6 kg and the largest recorded was 95.9 kg (Scott and Scott 1988). They tend to move in schools, usually on the bottom, although they may also occur in the water column.

REPRODUCTION

Both size and age at maturity have declined in recent decades, likely in response to the fishery harvesting older and larger fish, or to a general decline in stock biomass due to intense exploitation. In a Scotian Shelf study (Beacham 1983), the median age at maturity declined about 50% between 1959 (when age at 50% maturity was 5.4 years in males and 6.3 years in females) and 1979 (when age at 50% maturity was 2.8 years in both sexes). Median lengths at maturity declined from 51 to 39 cm in males and 54 to 42 cm in females. This "smaller and younger at maturity" trend continued between 1972 and 1995 in all zones between Georges Bank and Labrador (Trippel *et al.* 1997). As of 1994, in U.S. waters, sexual maturity was reached at ages between 1.7 and 2.3 years (median) and lengths between 32 and 41 cm (average) (O'Brien *et al.* 1993). Presently (2000-2002), age and length at maturity have increased slightly for both Georges Bank and Gulf of Maine stocks (O'Brien 1999). Age and length at 50% maturity for Georges Bank and Gulf of Maine stocks are shown in Table 1. Gulf of Maine cod attain sexual maturity at a later age than Georges Bank cod which is related to differences in growth rates between the two stocks. The recently developed maturation reaction-norm analyses (Barot *et al.* 2004a, b) also indicated a shift towards lower ages and sizes of maturation for Georges Bank and Gulf of Maine cod stocks. The trend for Georges Bank cod to mature earlier than the Gulf of Maine cod was thought to be due mostly to environmental differences. Georges Bank is a highly productive and warmer shallow bank compared to the deeper Gulf of Maine. The reaction-norm approach supports the hypothesis that the Georges Bank and Gulf of Maine cod stocks have changed genetically in response to fishing.

On Georges Bank, an analysis of the MARMAP ichthyoplankton data set indicates that 60% of spawning occurs between February 23 and April 6, based on the abundance of Stage III eggs, back-calculated to spawning date. Ninety percent occurs between mid-November and mid-May, with a median date of mid-March (Colton *et al.* 1979; Page *et al.* 1998). Spawning begins along the southern flank of Georges Bank and progresses toward the north and west. It ends latest in the year on the eastern side of the bank. Historically, cod have spawned on both eastern and western Georges Bank. During the MARMAP period (1978-1987), spawning could either be split between eastern and western Georges Bank, or occur

predominantly on one side or the other (Lough *et al.* 2002). Composite egg distributions indicate that the most intense spawning activity occurs on the Northeast Peak of Georges Bank (Page *et al.* 1998). Data from the more recent U.S. GLOBEC Georges Bank surveys (1995-1999) also indicated peak spawning occurs during the February-March period and mostly on the Northeast Peak (Mountain *et al.* 2003). The results of the present compilation of egg distributions indicate that most spawning occurs not only on the Northeast Peak of Georges Bank, but also around the perimeter of the Gulf of Maine, and over the inner half of the continental shelf off southern New England. It occurs year-round, with a peak in winter and spring. Peak spawning is related to environmental conditions. It is delayed until spring when winters are severe and peaks in winter when they are mild (Smith *et al.* 1979; Smith *et al.* 1981). Spawning peaks in April on Browns Bank (Hurley and Campana 1989). Within the Gulf of Maine, cod generally spawn throughout the winter and early spring in most locations, but the period of peak spawning varies depending on location (Schroeder 1930). In general, spawning occurs later in the year in the more northerly regions. Within Massachusetts Bay, Fish (1928) reported peak spawning activity during January and February. Bigelow and Welsh (1924) noted that north of Cape Ann, Massachusetts, most spawning occurred between February and April and further north, between Cape Elizabeth and Mt. Desert Island, Maine, the peak spawning period was between March and May. It has also been noted that cod spawning occurs mostly at night and may be crepuscular (Klein-MacPhee 2002). Reproduction also occurs in nearshore areas, such as Beverly-Salem Harbor, MA, where eggs are found November through July (with a peak in April) at temperatures between -2 and 20°C (Elliott *et al.* 1979).

Hanke *et al.* (2000) recently summarized all the available ichthyoplankton survey data from the Scotian Shelf, eastern Gulf of Maine, and the Bay of Fundy region, from 1975-1997, and provided evidence for a spring and fall spawning, but with regional differences. In March-April spawning was observed off southwestern Nova Scotia including Browns Bank, Georges Bank, and the Emerald/Western/Sable Island Bank area. Spawning occurs again in November and December on Georges Bank and the entire Nova Scotia coast, west of Grand Manan and on Western/Sable Island/ Banquereau Bank.

Ames (2004) characterized the Gulf of Maine historical Atlantic cod fishing and spawning grounds during the 1920's when stocks were high, compared with our present day knowledge. Four subpopulations were identified: Bay of Fundy, Downeast, Midcoast, and Western, each with 3-6 spawning components. Inshore cod feeding grounds were generally rocky bottoms along the 100 m isobath. Spawning occurred in channels and basins bordering the rocky, shallow, historic fishing grounds. Compared with recent survey

data of cod eggs (Berrien and Sibunka 1999), it appears that more than half of the historic spawning grounds are inactive and show no evidence of spawning. Ames cites three factors that contributed to the collapse of the spawning components: (1) directed fishing with otter trawls and gillnets on coastal spawning aggregations, (2) pollution of coastal nursery grounds, and (3) destruction of anadromous forage stock by the construction of dams.

FOOD HABITS

The Atlantic cod has a varied diet. Reported food items vary by life history stage and study area (Table 2). The most frequently observed food items from the 1973-2001 NEFSC food habits database [see Link and Almeida (2000) for details on methodology] for cod ≤ 50 cm were crustaceans; cod ≥ 51 cm ate mostly fishes (Table 3; Figure 3). A comprehensive analysis and summary of cod trophic patterns on the northeastern U.S. continental shelf has been made by Link and Garrison (2002) based on a 25-year time series from the NEFSC food habits database. Early juveniles consumed more pelagic than benthic invertebrates, medium cod consumed benthic invertebrates and fish, and larger cod consumed larger amounts of fish. Cannibalism increased with size. Diets shifted significantly over 3 decades concurrent with shifts in forage species. Cod are opportunistic feeders, preferring sand lance, *Cancer* crabs, and herring.

In another study, leading fish (also known as "scouts") at the head of migrating shoals were larger, were more successful in feeding on preferred prey (fishes and pelagic invertebrates), and had a more varied diet than those following, which tended to feed mostly on benthic invertebrates (Deblois and Rose 1996). Although cannibalism is not often reported to occur in this species, recent studies suggest the importance of habitat segregation of Age 1 cod from older year classes in order to avoid it (Gotceitas *et al.* 1997).

PREDATION AND MORTALITY

Yolk sac larvae are vulnerable to zooplankton predators including *Aurelia*, *Thysanoessa*, and *Euchaeta* (Bailey 1984). Planktivorous fish can be important predators of larval fish, especially Atlantic herring and Atlantic mackerel as they migrate northward in the spring and overlap with patches of larvae on the southern flank of Georges Bank (Garrison *et al.* 2000). Juvenile cod are preyed upon by many piscivorous fish, such as dogfish, silver hake, larger cod, and sculpin (Edwards and Bowman 1979).

Because of their large size, adults have few enemies other than large sharks. Young stages, however, are preyed upon by spiny dogfish, winter skate, silver hake, sea raven, squid (northern shortfin), Atlantic halibut, fourspot flounder, and adult cod.

MIGRATION

In the middle part of their range, cod are non-migratory in the strictest sense, only undertaking minor seasonal movements in reaction to changing temperatures. At the extremes of their range, however, cod migrate annually (see Introduction). In the extreme northern region (east coast of Labrador) cod are only present during summer and early fall. In the Middle Atlantic Bight as far south as Chesapeake Bay, cod only occur during winter and spring and retreat north and east to Nantucket Shoals as shallow waters in the southern part of the Bight exceed 20°C (Heyerdahl and Livingstone 1982).

STOCK STRUCTURE

Several stocks have been recognized in Canadian and U.S. waters. In U.S. waters three (or four) stocks occur: (1) in the Gulf of Maine, north of Provincetown; (2) on Georges Bank; (3) in southern New England, south and west of Nantucket Shoals; and (4) along the Middle Atlantic Bight, although the latter three intermingle. In U.S. waters, cod are managed as two stocks, the Gulf of Maine stock, and the Georges Bank and southward stock (Mayo 1995). The inshore Gulf of Maine stock appears to be relatively distinct from the offshore cod stocks on the banks of the Scotian Shelf and Georges Bank based on tagging studies (McKenzie 1956; Wise 1963; Hunt *et al.* 1999) and parasitic copepods (Sherman and Wise 1961). Although there is some mixing of the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank stocks, their life history parameters, growth, and maturity, are basically different (Pentilla and Gifford 1976, Serchuck *et al.* 1994, O'Brien 1999). Part of the difference in growth between stocks may be attributed to genetic variations (Imsland and Jónsdóttir 2003). General conclusions by Imsland and Jónsdóttir (2003) from basin-scale genetic studies suggest that distinct subpopulations occur between most inshore and offshore areas, and among offshore areas themselves, and that the likelihood of inshore spawning stock contributing to offshore recovery is low (Beacham *et al.* 2002). Recent genetic studies by Lage *et al.* (2004) suggest that Nantucket Shoals cod are distinct from Georges Bank cod. Whereas Lage *et al.* (2004) did not find any significant genetic differences between Georges Bank and Browns Bank, Ruzzante *et al.* (1998)

did. The degree of stock separation may be related to the isolation of spawning locations and times, and different circulation patterns. On Georges Bank, a clockwise gyre circulation pattern tends to retain and isolate the eggs and larvae spawned there (Lough and Bolz 1989; Werner *et al.* 1993; Lough and Manning 2001). Model simulations by Page *et al.* (1999) suggest that cod spawning occurs in areas and times of the year that have the longest residence times (> 35 days). However, advective losses can occur sporadically off the northeast peak and southern flank of Georges Bank (Lough *et al.* 1994). While significant numbers of larvae can be advected across the Great South Channel to Nantucket shoals, the southwest residual flow in the Nantucket Shoals area would tend to keep the early life stages from returning to Georges Bank. However, based on biophysical modeling of a related species, haddock, by Brickman (2003), there is a high probability of significant crossover events from Browns Bank to Georges Bank by two pathways, directly across the Northeast Channel, and from the Gulf of Maine. While crossover events are episodic in nature (Smith *et al.* 2003), the study indicates that Browns Bank can be a significant source of larvae for Georges Bank cod stocks, and similarly, Western Bank can be an upstream source for larvae found on Browns Bank.

GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION

Atlantic cod in the northwest Atlantic are distributed from Cape Chidley, Labrador to Cape Henry, VA (Figure 4). The areas of highest abundance are in Canadian waters and include the eastern coast of Labrador south of Cape Harrison, off eastern Newfoundland, the Flemish Cap, the Grand Bank, the Gulf of St. Lawrence, and the Scotian Shelf.

The estuarine occurrences of early life history stages between Maine and the Chesapeake Bay are shown in Table 4. These are expressed as relative abundance characterizations, based on the observations of biologists working in each of the systems listed, but they are not quantitative measurements and should be considered as presence or absence indicators only. Despite these limitations, it is apparent that no early life history stages are commonly collected south of Buzzards Bay, and north of there they are uncommon in systems comprised mostly of low salinity zones.

EGGS

During MARMAP sampling between the Gulf of Maine and Cape Hatteras, 1978-1987, eggs were distributed throughout the study area, with centers of

abundance in western Gulf of Maine, Georges Bank, and southern New England waters (Berrien and Sibunka 1999). Although they occurred year-round, densities were much lower during August and September. Maximum average densities of eggs occurred during March on Georges Bank. A downward trend in abundance was observed between 1979 and 1987 in this study area (Berrien and Sibunka 1999). Monthly distribution maps presented here (Figure 5) pertain to the same MARMAP collections. In general, eggs were most dense on the Northeast Peak of Georges Bank and around the perimeter of the Gulf of Maine, as well as lower densities in southern New England waters (Figure 5). Monthly densities peaked in March-April, declined through the summer, and began to increase again in the fall. Note the relative lack of sampling in the Gulf of Maine during March, when densities might be expected to be high.

Model simulations for the MARMAP years (Lough *et al.* 2002) show how variable spawning within a bank gyre system can have different consequences for transport and survival of eggs and larvae. Particles released from the Northeast Peak usually had higher retention than releases from western Georges Bank; however, the western Georges Bank releases could contribute significantly to retention, especially during the winter period when there is wind loss of particles from the Northeast Peak.

O'Brien *et al.* (2003) found a significant correlation between egg abundance and spawning stock biomass for Georges Bank cod. While there was considerable variability in egg survival that was unrelated to recruitment, there was a strong positive correlation between the abundance of small and large larvae and 0-group juveniles and that of recruits at age 1 (Lough *et al.* 2002). Variability in egg survival could be explained partially by the age diversity of repeat spawners, bottom temperature, and the spatial distribution of the eggs (O'Brien *et al.* 2003). The proportion of egg mortality that can be attributed to egg quality, advective loss, or predation has not been quantified.

During the GLOBEC years, 1995-1999, when sampling was only conducted from January through July on Georges Bank, the composite egg plots (Figure 6) show eggs to be broadly distributed across Georges Bank with higher concentrations on the eastern part, peaking in February and March. However, the station abundance estimates were about an order of magnitude lower than during the MARMAP period.

LARVAE AND PELAGIC JUVENILES

Larvae also occurred in MARMAP samples year-round. They were most abundant in March-May over Georges Bank and southern New England (Figure 7),

although sampling was light during March in the Gulf of Maine. Few larvae were collected between August and October.

During the GLOBEC years, 1995-1999, when sampling was only conducted from January through July on Georges Bank, the composite larval plots (Figure 8) show larvae to be wide spread across the Bank from January through May. Highest station abundance occurred along the deeper flank waters during March and April, but at about an order of magnitude lower than observed during the MARMAP period.

Prior to settling to the bottom in early summer, pelagic juveniles (20-50 mm) are broadly distributed over the entire Georges Bank (Lough *et al.* 1989).

JUVENILES

By July, juvenile pelagic fish on Georges Bank have reached a length of 4-6 cm and become more associated with the bottom and begin the changeover to a demersal feeding life style. Submersible studies on eastern Georges Bank (Lough *et al.* 1989) have observed from data collected during five years (1984-1987, 1989) that the recently-settled juveniles are widely dispersed over the Bank and are present on a range of sediment types from sand to gravelly sand to gravel pavement (Figure 9). However, by late July and August, the juveniles are present predominantly on the gravel pavement habitat on the northeastern part of the Bank and are absent from the sandy bottoms (Figure 10). The gravel pavement extends along the northern edge and Northeast Peak for 150 km and covers an area of more than 3000 km². The gravel habitat appears to favor the survival of recently-settled juveniles through predator avoidance and/or increased food availability associated with the frontal system. Several studies have stressed the importance of cobble substrates over finer grained bottoms after settlement (e.g., Bigelow and Schroeder 1953; Colton 1978; Klein-MacPhee 2002). By day, the young cod remain on the bottom, but at night they rise several meters into the water column and drift in the tidal current while feeding. During late summer, as the juveniles continue to grow, they are carried to the east and southeast in the residual bottom current, and by fall they are more widely dispersed and are no longer confined to the gravel pavement habitat. When predators are present, juvenile cod take refuge in a wide variety of complex substrates and vegetation and their diel activity patterns reported in the literature vary considerably (Keats *et al.* 1987; Keats 1990; Keats and Steele 1992; Gotceitas and Brown 1993; Gotceitas *et al.* 1994, 1995, 1997; Gregory and Anderson 1997a, b; Grant and Brown 1998a, b; Lindholm *et al.* 1999; Laurel *et al.* 2003, 2004). Nearshore nurseries (including grass beds) may be significantly more

important to survival of juveniles than offshore habitats (for examples, see studies cited in Appendix 1: Juveniles).

The distribution of older juveniles (≤ 34 cm TL) from the NEFSC bottom trawl surveys closely matches that of spawning activity, with centers of abundance on Georges Bank and the western part of the Gulf of Maine [Figure 11; note that winter and summer distributions are presented as presence data only, precluding a discussion of abundances, for details see Reid *et al.* (1999). Also see the distribution of immature Atlantic cod, < 37 cm, resulting from NEFSC bottom trawl survey cruises, 1968-1986 in Wigley and Gabriel (1991)]. In winter they are concentrated near Massachusetts Bay, on the Northeast Peak of Georges Bank, and in southern New England near the 50 m isobath. During spring trawl surveys, densities are highest in the area north and south of Cape Ann, Massachusetts. During summer, juveniles are mostly found along the western shore of the Gulf of Maine, but also occur on the Northeast Peak of Georges Bank, on Browns Bank, and along the 50 m isobath south of Cape Cod. Large numbers of juveniles are concentrated around Cape Ann/Massachusetts Bay and south/southeast of Cape Cod in the fall.

The distributions and abundances of Atlantic cod along the coasts of Maine and New Hampshire, based on spring and fall 2000-2004 Maine-New Hampshire inshore groundfish surveys (Sherman *et al.* 2005), are shown in Figure 12. Cod were patchy in occurrence, and the majority were juveniles (Figure 13). Cod abundance was low compared to some of the other species in the survey, with a slight increase in numbers in the spring of 2004 (Figure 14), although Sherman *et al.* (2005) state that no real trends can be seen in overall abundance.

The spring and fall 1978-2003 Massachusetts inshore trawl surveys [Figure 15; see Reid *et al.* (1999) for details] show that in the spring, very high numbers are found in Massachusetts Bay, with large numbers of juveniles also found north of Cape Ann, on the outside of Cape Cod, and near Martha's Vineyard. High concentrations are also found in Massachusetts Bay and Nantucket Sound. In the fall, large numbers occur around Cape Ann and throughout Cape Cod Bay, but they are absent in Nantucket Sound.

Very few juvenile cod were collected during 1990-1996 trawl surveys of Narragansett Bay undertaken by the Rhode Island Division of Fish and Wildlife. See below for cod occurrences in Long Island Sound and Hudson-Raritan Estuary/Sandy Hook Bay.

ADULTS

NEFSC bottom trawl surveys (Figure 16; again, winter and summer distributions are presented as

presence data only, precluding a discussion of abundances) show that during winter adults are scattered over Georges Bank, southern New England, and the central/northern Mid-Atlantic Bight, as well as in the western part of the Gulf of Maine. In spring, densities are highest in the western part of the Gulf of Maine (Massachusetts Bay and Cape Ann), south of Cape Cod, and especially on the Northeast Peak of Georges Bank, with additional collections made throughout southern New England and the central/northern part of the nearshore Middle Atlantic Bight. During summer, adult cod are concentrated along the coastal Gulf of Maine and south/southeast of Cape Cod and the Northeast Peak of Georges Bank, as well as being scattered throughout the Gulf and on Browns Banks; they are mostly absent from southern New England and south. In the fall, the highest densities are again found in the western part of the Gulf of Maine (Massachusetts Bay and Cape Ann), south of Cape Cod near the Great South Channel, and on the Northeast Peak/northern edge of Georges Bank; adults are generally absent south of southern New England.

The distributions of the adults in both the spring and fall 1978-2003 Massachusetts inshore trawl surveys are shown in Figure 17. More adults are caught in the spring, where they occur abundantly around Cape Ann, in Massachusetts Bay, and around the tip and eastern side of Cape Cod. Most of the adults caught during the fall are restricted to south of Cape Ann and the tip of Cape Cod.

Only one adult cod was collected in a survey of Narragansett Bay by the Rhode Island Division of Fish and Wildlife, 1990-1996. Cod do not regularly occur in Long Island Sound. In a survey of that body of water by the State of Connecticut, 1992-1997, only three (unmeasured) cod were collected, all near the eastern end of the sound, during the spring, at temperatures of 9-10°C. A NEFSC trawl survey of the Hudson-Raritan Estuary/Sandy Hook Bay during 1992-1997 collected only two cod, both during winter.

HABITAT CHARACTERISTICS

The results of a literature review directed at habitat requirements of four life history stages of Atlantic cod are presented in Appendix 1 and a synthesis of some of those data are presented in Table 5. These tables include data from U.S. (and certain non-U.S.) western Atlantic stocks, but exclude data from the eastern Atlantic. Data from Canadian waters were included only if the results could reasonably be applied to U.S. stocks. Specifics of some Canadian studies (e.g., distribution relative to temperatures within a distinct region) were not included since they have little applicability to U.S. waters.

In general, distributions of young stages of Atlantic cod tend to be restricted to the vicinity of major spawning centers. With increasing age, they tend to be more widely distributed and occur in deeper, colder and more saline water (Tremblay and Sinclair 1985).

Regime shifts, or rapid, large-scale changes in fish populations and other communities in marine ecosystems, are driven by both environmental forcing and fishing (Rothschild and Shannon 2004). Latitudinal shifts for groundfish in the Gulf of Maine have been observed in response to temperature changes (Mountain and Murawski 1992). Growth of cod depends on temperature, and mean bottom temperature accounts for most of the observed differences in growth rates in Atlantic cod stocks (Brander 1995). Physiologically, growth performance of cod is optimal near 10°C (Pörtner *et al.* 2001), so that global warming would lead to a northward shift in populations. Temperature also affects maturation and spawning times (Hutchings and Myers 1994). Changes in spawning times and locations have different consequences for recruitment. Temperature and salinity records for the Gulf of Maine/Scotian Shelf region show alternating cold and warm periods which can be broadly related to the NAO index (Werner *et al.* 1999). The cooling of the shelf waters in the 1960s was largely due to the increased flow of the Labrador Slope water penetrating into the shelf. From the 1970s to the mid-1990s, there has been a general, but more variable, warming on the shelf. Scotian Shelf cold and warm anomalies generally precede those in Georges Bank by 2-3 years. More recently in 1997-1998, cold and fresh Labrador Slope water has been observed to again be penetrating the Scotian Shelf and Gulf of Maine. All cod stocks in this region declined by the late 1960s with the intensive fishery effort, and in the 1970s all stocks showed some improved recruitment, but declined to very low levels in the early 1990s. Failure of these cod stocks to recover despite restricted fishing since the mid-1990s has been termed “the cod recruitment dilemma” and Swain and Sinclair (2000) have implicated the increased abundance of herring and mackerel in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence to have had a negative effect on cod recruitment by preying on and competing with the young stages. Choi *et al.* (2004) continues on the theme of the alternation of the pelagic and demersal species but shows how interacting factors may have led to the restructuring of the Scotian Shelf ecosystem over the past four decades. While ground fish have historically dominated the Scotian Shelf, their continual removal has allowed the pelagic biomass to increase since the mid-1980s at the same time that environmental conditions began to favor the pelagics (increased water-column stratification) and limit the demersals (cold bottom water). The present “pelagic regime” appears to have decoupled the benthic-pelagic systems where less energy is passing from primary production to benthic prey for the demersals.

EGGS

An analysis of nearly 50 years of trawl data in Canadian waters concluded that spawning rarely occurs beyond the continental shelf, but rather occurs near where eggs and larvae are likely to be retained (Hutchings *et al.* 1993). These authors concluded that inshore spawning populations contribute more to recruitment than those farther offshore. In MARMAP sampling between 1979 and 1987, eggs were collected from virtually all depths sampled, but primarily from depths < 100 m (Berrien and Sibunka 1999; see also Figure 18). Many reports describe eggs occurring in the upper 10 m of the water column, although spring rainfalls can lower the salinity and they will then sink to lower depths. Although eggs are collected in a wide range of temperatures and salinities, several studies have found optimum conditions for incubation, hatching and development, depending on study site (Table 5).

Data from the NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys and the GLOBEC Georges Bank survey were used to determine the relationships between cod egg abundances and bottom depth or water column temperature. During the MARMAP surveys from January to July, eggs were mostly collected at temperatures of 4-8°C (Figure 18). From August thru November, they were found at higher temperatures of approximately 9-14°C, while in December they were caught at temperature range of 6-12°C. Most eggs were found over a depth range of 30-110 m, occurring in the shallower end of the range from October thru January (Figure 18). During the GLOBEC Georges Bank survey from January to July, the majority of eggs were found in a narrow temperature range of about 4-8°C (Figure 19). Their depth range on Georges Bank during that same period was centered around 70-90 m (Figure 20).

A lab study by Laurence and Rogers (1976) found that egg mortality was independent of temperature, but that mortality increased at lower salinities within the range of 26-36 ppt.

LARVAE AND PELAGIC JUVENILES

Several studies have found increased recruitment success when dispersion of larvae from spawning areas by currents is reduced (Cong *et al.* 1996). Although larvae have been collected from a wide range of temperatures, most are found in temperatures < 8°C, although growth rates may be enhanced in warmer temperatures (e.g., Lawrence 1978) and one study found no increased mortality when larvae were exposed to higher temperatures (Iversen and Danielssen 1984). Larvae can survive undercooling to -1.8°C but if in direct contact with ice they froze at -1.36°C (Valerio *et*

al. 1992). When larvae are 3-8 days old, they are positively phototactic and are reported to occur from the surface to 75 m depths, moving deeper in the water column as they grow older (Hardy 1978, Lough and Potter 1993).

During the MARMAP surveys (Figure 21), larvae were mostly found in a temperature range of about 4-5°C from February to March, at temperatures of 5-6°C in April, 6-8°C in May, and 7-9°C in June, and 8-9°C in July. Thereafter they were found in increasingly warmer temperatures of about 9-11°C thru November; in December they were caught mostly at temperatures of 8-11°C and in January they were found in lower temperatures of about 4-8°C. The majority of larvae were found over a depth range of 30-70 m throughout the MARMAP survey period (Figure 21). During the GLOBEC Georges Bank survey most larvae were caught at temperatures of 4-6°C from February to April and 6-7°C from May to June (Figure 22). Most were found at depths of 50-70 m in February, 70-90 m in March and April, 70 m in May, and 210 m in June (Figure 23).

JUVENILES

The substrate preferences of juvenile cod have already been discussed under Geographic Distribution.

The spring and fall distributions of juvenile Atlantic cod relative to bottom water temperature, depth, and salinity based on NEFSC bottom trawl surveys from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras are shown in Figure 24. In spring, they were found in waters between 2-10°C, with the majority at 4-5°C. During that season they were found over a depth range of 11-300 m, with most spread between about 21-120 m. Juveniles were found at salinities between 31-35 ppt, with almost all of them found between 32-33 ppt. During autumn, juveniles were found over a temperature range of 3-17°C, with most spread between about 8-10°C. During this time, they were found over depths ranging from 11-400 m; the majority were spread over depths roughly from 31-120 m. They were found at salinities ranging from about 31-35 ppt, with the majority between 32-33 ppt.

The spring and autumn distributions of juvenile Atlantic cod in Massachusetts coastal waters relative to bottom water temperature and depth based on Massachusetts inshore trawl surveys are shown in Figure 25. During the spring, juveniles were found in waters ranging from 2-16°C with the majority spread between about 5-12°C. Their depth range was from 6-85 m, with the majority between about 6-25 m. In the autumn they were found in temperatures ranging from 5-18°C, with most spread between 6-10°C. Juveniles were found over a depth range of 6-85 m, with the majority found between about 16-65 m.

ADULTS

Adult cod are typically found on or near the bottom along rocky slopes and ledges. They prefer depths between 40 and 130 m, but are sometimes found in midwater. Cod rarely occur deeper than 200 m. Larger individuals remain closer to the bottom in deeper water, and many move to offshore banks during summer (Hardy 1978; Cohen *et al.* 1990). Several studies have ascertained a preference by adult cod for coarse sediments over finer mud and silt (Scott 1982b). They engage in diel vertical migrations, where they make forays off the bottom and into the water column at night (several studies; e.g., Beamish 1966). Cod can occur in temperatures from near freezing to 20°C, and are usually found in temperatures < 10°C, except during fall when they can occur in warmer temperatures. Larger fish are generally found in colder waters (Cohen *et al.* 1990).

The spring and fall distributions of adult Atlantic cod relative to bottom water temperature, depth, and salinity based on NEFSC bottom trawl surveys from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras are shown in Figure 26. In spring, they were found in waters between 2-12°C, with the majority at 4-6°C. They were found over a depth range of 1-300 m. Adults were found at salinities between 30-35 ppt, with > 50% at 33 ppt. During autumn, adults were found over a temperature range of 3-17°C, with the majority spread between 6-11°C. During this season they were found over depths ranging from 11-400 m. They were found at salinities ranging from about 31-35 ppt, with the majority between 32-34 ppt.

The spring and autumn distributions of adult Atlantic cod in Massachusetts coastal waters relative to bottom water temperature and depth based on Massachusetts inshore trawl surveys are shown in Figure 27. During the spring, adults were found in waters ranging from 1-14°C, with the majority spread between 4-8°C. Their depth range was from 6-85 m, with the majority < 56 m. In the autumn they were found in temperatures ranging from 4-13°C, with most spread between 7-10°C and at 12°C. Adults were found over a depth range of 26-85 m, with peaks between 51-65 m.

RESEARCH NEEDS

Essential fish habitat is defined as those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding or growth to maturity. Our knowledge of habitat requirements of Atlantic cod is scant beyond the distribution and relative abundance levels (EFH tiers 1 and 2). Scientists have only recently begun to investigate the early settlement stage and its associated

substrate preferences (Lough *et al.* 1989) and the importance of certain bottom habitat types to the survival of young-of-the-year (e.g., Tupper and Boutilier 1995). Associated with these studies are those equating bottom habitats with the avoidance of predation, including cannibalism (e.g., Gotceitas *et al.* 1997) or the importance of habitat segregation between year classes (e.g., Fraser *et al.* 1996). These kinds of studies are essential to improving our understanding of the importance of habitat at tiers 3 and 4 (effects of habitat variables on growth and/or survival). However, recent studies have documented regime shifts on decadal time scales over large areas of the continental shelf as a result of complex interaction of environmental factors and biological processes. Ecosystem based studies such as Choi *et al.* (2004), with continued monitoring of the environment, are needed to better understand the long-term changes in stocks.

REFERENCES CITED

- Ames, E.P. 2004. Atlantic cod stock structure in the Gulf of Maine. *Fisheries* 29: 10-28.
- Anderson, J.T. and B. de Young. 1995. Application of a one-dimensional model to vertical distributions of cod eggs on the northeastern Newfoundland Shelf. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 52: 1978-1989.
- Bailey, K.M. 1984. Comparison of laboratory rates of predation on five species of marine fish larvae by three planktonic invertebrates: effects of larval size on vulnerability. *Mar. Biol.* 79: 303-309.
- Bailey, R.S. 1975. Observations on diel behaviour patterns of North Sea gadoids in the pelagic phase. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U.K.* 55: 133-142.
- Bainbridge, V. and B.J. McKay. 1968. The feeding of cod and redfish larvae. *Int. Comm. Northwest Atl. Fish. (ICNAF) Spec. Pub. No. 7*: 187-218.
- Barot, S., M. Heino, L. O'Brien, and U. Dieckmann. 2004a. Estimating reaction norms for age and size at maturation when age at first reproduction is unknown. *Evol. Ecol. Res.* 6: 659-678.
- Barot, S., M. Heino, L. O'Brien, and U. Dieckmann. 2004b. Long-term trend in the maturation reaction norm of two cod stocks. *Ecol. Appl.* 14: 1257-1271.
- Beacham, T.D. 1983. Growth and maturity of Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence. *Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 1142: 1-31.
- Beacham, T.D., J. Bratty, K.M. Miller, K.D. Le, and R.E. Withler. 2002. Multiple stock structure of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) off Newfoundland and Labrador determined from genetic variation. *Fish. Res.* 59: 650-665.
- Beamish, F. 1966. Vertical migration by demersal fish in the northwest Atlantic. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 23: 109-139.
- Berrien, P. and J. Sibunka. 1999. Distribution patterns of fish eggs in the United States northeast continental shelf ecosystem, 1977-1987. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS 145. 310 p.
- Bigelow, H.B. and W.C. Schroeder. 1953. Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Fish. Bull. 53. 577 p.
- Bigelow, H.B. and W.W. Welsh. 1924. Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. *Bull. U.S. Bur. Fish.* 40 (1).
- Bonnet, D.D. 1939. Mortality of the cod egg in relation to temperature. *Biol. Bull. Woods Hole* 76: 428-441.
- Bowman, R.E. 1975. Food habits of Atlantic cod, haddock, and silver hake in the northwest Atlantic, 1969-1972. U.S. Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., Northeast Fish. Cent. Lab. Ref. 75-1. 53 p.
- Brander, K. 1994. Spawning and life history information for North Atlantic cod stocks. *ICES Coop. Res. Rep.* 25. 150 p.
- Brander, K. 1995. The effects of temperature on growth of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.). *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 52: 1-10.
- Brander, K. and P.C.F. Hurley. 1992. Distribution of early-stage Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*), haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*), and witch flounder (*Glyptocephalus cynoglossus*) eggs on the Scotian Shelf: a reappraisal of evidence on the coupling of cod spawning and plankton production. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 49: 238-251.
- Casas, J.M. and J. Paz. 1994. Diet of Flemish Cap cod with particular reference to predation on redfish: 1988-93. Northwest Atl. Fish. Organ. (NAFO) Sci. Counc. Res. Doc. No. 94-24. 21 p.
- Casas, J.M., F. Saborido and J. Paz. 1991. Cod predation upon the juvenile redfish in the Flemish Cap. *Bol. Inst. Esp. Oceanogr.* 7(1): 45-58.
- Choi, J.S., K.T. Frank, W.C. Leggett, and K. Drinkwater. 2004. Transition to an alternate state in a continental shelf ecosystem. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 61: 505-510.
- Clark, D.S. and J.M. Green. 1990. Activity and movement patterns of juvenile Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, in Conception Bay, Newfoundland, as determined by sonic telemetry. *Can. J. Zool.* 68: 1434-1442.
- Cohen, D.M., T. Inada, T. Iwamoto and N. Scialabba. 1990. FAO species catalogue. Vol. 10. Gadiform fishes of the world (Order Gadiformes). An annotated and illustrated catalogue of cods, hakes, grenadiers and other gadiform fishes known to date. FAO Fisheries Synopsis No. 125, Vol. 10. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome, Italy. 442 p.

- Colton, J.B. 1978. Principal spawning areas and seasons of the Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the Gulf of Maine and Middle Atlantic Bight. U.S. Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., Northeast Fish. Cent. Woods Hole Lab. Ref. No. 78-66. 5 p.
- Colton, J.B., Jr., W.G. Smith, A.W. Kendall, Jr., P.L. Berrien, and M.P. Fahay. 1979. Principal spawning areas and times of marine fishes, Cape Sable to Cape Hatteras. Fish. Bull. (U.S.) 76: 911-915.
- Colvocoresses, J.A. and J.A. Musick. 1984. Species associations and community composition of Middle Atlantic Bight continental shelf demersal fishes. Fish. Bull. (U.S.) 82: 295-313.
- Cong, L., J. Sheng, and K.T. Thompson. 1996. A retrospective study of particle retention on the outer banks of the Scotian Shelf 1956-1993. Can. Tech. Rep. Hydrogr. Ocean Sci. No. 170. 140 p.
- Deblois, E.M. and G.A. Rose. 1996. Cross-shoal variability in the feeding habits of migrating Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). Oecologia 108: 192-196.
- Edwards, R.L. and R.E. Bowman. 1979. Food consumed by continental shelf fishes. In: Clepper, H., editor. Predator-prey systems in fish communities and their role in fisheries management. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington, D.C. p. 387-406.
- Elliott, E.M., D. Jimenez, C.O. Anderson, Jr., and D.J. Brown. 1979. Ichthyoplankton abundance and distribution in Beverly-Salem Harbor, March 1975 through February 1977. Mass. Div. Mar. Fish. 174 p.
- Fahay, M.P. 1983. Guide to the early stages of marine fishes occurring in the western North Atlantic Ocean, Cape Hatteras to the southern Scotian Shelf. J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci. 4: 1-423.
- Fahay, M.P., P.L. Berrien, D.L. Johnson, and W.W. Morse. 1999. Essential fish habitat source document: Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, life history and habitat characteristics. NOAA Tech. Mem. NMFS-NE-124. 41 p.
- Fish, C.J. 1928. Production and distribution of cod eggs in Massachusetts Bay in 1924 and 1925. Bull. U.S. Bur. Fish. 43 (2): 253-296.
- Fraser, S., V. Gotceitas, and J.A. Brown. 1996. Interactions between age-classes of Atlantic cod and their distribution among bottom substrates. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 53: 305-314.
- Garrison, L.P., W. Michaels, J.S. Link, and M.J. Fogarty. 2000. Predation risk on larval gadids by pelagic fish in the Georges Bank ecosystem. I. Spatial overlap associated with hydrographic features. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 57: 2455-2469.
- Goode, G.B. 1884. The fisheries and fishery industries of the United States. Section I: Natural history of useful aquatic animals. Govt. Print. Office, Washington, DC. Plates.
- Gotceitas, V. and J.A. Brown. 1993. Substrate selection by juvenile Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*): effects of predation risk. Oecologia 93: 31-37.
- Gotceitas, V., J.A. Brown, and S. Mercer. 1994. Laboratory investigations on substrate use by juvenile Atlantic cod. In: Stevenson, D., Braasch, E., editors Gulf of Maine habitat: Workshop proceedings. RARGOM Report 94-2. Regional Marine Research Program for the Gulf of Maine and Regional Association for Research on the Gulf of Maine. Hanover, NH. p. 92-96.
- Gotceitas, V., S. Fraser, and J.A. Brown. 1995. Habitat use by juvenile Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the presence of an actively foraging and non-foraging predator. Mar. Biol. 123: 421-430.
- Gotceitas, V., S. Fraser, and J.A. Brown. 1997. Use of eelgrass beds (*Zostera marina*) by juvenile Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 54: 1306-1319.
- Grant, S.M. and J.A. Brown. 1998a. Diel foraging cycles and interactions among juvenile Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) at a nearshore site in Newfoundland. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 55: 1307-1316.
- Grant, S.M. and J.A. Brown. 1998b. Nearshore settlement and localized populations of age 0 Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in shallow coastal waters of Newfoundland. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 55: 1317-1327.
- Gregory, R.S. and J.T. Anderson. 1997a. Substrate selection and use of protective cover by juvenile Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* in inshore waters of Newfoundland. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 146: 9-20.
- Gregory, R.S. and J.T. Anderson. 1997b. Distribution of juvenile Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) relative to available habitat in Placentia Bay, Newfoundland. Northwest Atl. Fish. Organ. (NAFO) Sci. Coun. Stud. 29: 3-12.
- Hacunda, J.S. 1981. Trophic relationships among demersal fishes in a coastal area of the Gulf of Maine, USA. Fish. Bull. (U.S.) 79: 775-788.
- Hanke, A.R., F.H. Page, and J. Neilson. 2000. Distribution of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) eggs and larvae on the Scotian Shelf. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2308: iii + 140 p.
- Hardy, J.D., Jr. 1978. Development of fishes of the Mid-Atlantic Bight: An atlas of egg, larval and juvenile stages. Vol. 2: Anguillidae through Syngnathidae. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Biol. Serv. Prog. FWS/OBS-78/12. 458 p.
- Helser, T.E. and J.K.T. Brodziak. 1996. Influence of temperature and depth on distribution and catches of yellowtail flounder, Atlantic cod, and haddock in NEFSC bottom trawl surveys. U. S. Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., Northeast Fish. Sci. Cent., Ref. Doc. 96-05e. 16 p.

- Heyerdahl, E.G. and R. Livingstone, Jr. 1982. Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*. In: Grosslein, M.D., Azarovitz, T.R., editors. Fish distribution. MESA New York Bight Atlas Monograph 15. N.Y. Sea Grant Institute. Albany, NY. p. 70-72.
- Hunt, J.J., W.T. Stobo, and F. Almeida. 1999. Movement of Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, tagged in the Gulf of Maine area. Fish. Bull. (U.S.) 97: 842-860.
- Hurley, P.C.F. and S.E. Campana. 1989. Distribution and abundance of haddock, *Melanogrammus aeglefinus*, and Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, eggs and larvae in the water off southwest Nova Scotia, Canada. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 46 (Suppl. 1): 103-112.
- Hutchings, J.A. and R.A. Myers. 1994. Timing of cod reproduction: interannual variability and the influence of temperature. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 108: 21-31.
- Hutchings, J.A., R.A. Myers, and G.R. Lilly. 1993. Geographic variation in the spawning of Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, in the northwest Atlantic. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 50: 2457-2467.
- Imsland, A.K. and Ó.D.B. Jónsdóttir. 2003. Linking population genetics and growth properties of Atlantic cod. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 13: 1-26.
- Iversen, S.A. and D.S. Danielssen. 1984. Development and mortality of cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) eggs and larvae in different temperatures. In: Dahl, E., Danielssen, D.S., Moksness, E., Solemdal, P., editors. The propagation of cod, *Gadus morhua* L. Part 1. Institute Marine Research Flødevigen Biological Station. Arendal, Norway. p. 49-66.
- Jean, Y. 1965. Seasonal distribution of cod, *Gadus morhua* L., along the Canadian Atlantic coast in relation to water temperature. Int. Comm. Northwest Atl. Fish. (ICNAF) Spec. Pub. No. 6.
- Jury, S.H., J.D. Field, S.L. Stone, D.M. Nelson and M.E. Monaco. 1994. Distribution and abundance of fishes and invertebrates in North Atlantic estuaries. ELMR Rep. No. 13. NOAA/NOS Strategic Environmental Assessments Division, Silver Spring, MD. 221 p.
- Kane, J. 1984. The feeding habits of co-occurring cod and haddock larvae from Georges Bank. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 16: 9-20.
- Keats, D.W. 1990. A nocturnal inshore movement of juvenile cod *Gadus morhua* L. in eastern Newfoundland. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 139: 17-173.
- Keats, D.W. and D.H. Steele. 1992. Diurnal feeding of juvenile cod (*Gadus morhua*) which migrate into shallow water at night in eastern Newfoundland. J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci. 13: 7-14.
- Keats, D.W., D.H. Steele and G.R. South. 1987. The role of fleshy macroalgae in the ecology of juvenile cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) in inshore waters off eastern Newfoundland. Can. J. Zool. 65: 49-53.
- Klein-MacPhee, G. 2002. Atlantic cod/*Gadus morhua* Linnaeus 1758. In: Collette, B.B., Klein-MacPhee, G., editors. Bigelow and Schroeder's fishes of the Gulf of Maine. 3rd Edition. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press. p. 228-235.
- Kohler, A.C. and D.N. Fitzgerald. 1969. Comparisons of food of cod and haddock in the Gulf of St. Lawrence and on the Nova Scotia Banks. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 26: 1273-1287.
- Lage, C., K. Kuhn, and I. Kornfield. 2004. Genetic differentiation among Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) from Browns Bank, Georges Bank, and Nantucket Shoals. Fish. Bull. (U.S.) 102: 289-297.
- Langton, R.W. 1982. Diet overlap between Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, silver hake, *Merluccius bilinearis* and 15 other northwest Atlantic finfish. Fish. Bull. (U.S.) 80: 745-760.
- Langton, R.W. and R.E. Bowman. 1980. Food of fifteen northwest Atlantic gadiform fishes. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS SSRF-740. 23 p.
- Laurel, B.J., R.S. Gregory, and J.A. Brown. 2003. Predator distribution and habitat patch area determine predation rates on Age-0 juvenile cod *Gadus* spp. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 251: 245-254.
- Laurel, B.J., R.S. Gregory, J.A. Brown, J.K. Hancock, and D.C. Schneider. 2004. Behavioural consequences of density-dependent habitat use in juvenile cod *Gadus morhua* and *G. ogac*: the role of movement and aggregation. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 272: 257-270.
- Laurence, G.C. 1978. Comparative growth, respiration, and delayed feeding abilities of larval cod (*Gadus morhua*) and haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) as influenced by temperature during laboratory studies. Mar. Biol. 50: 1-7.
- Laurence, G.C. and C.A. Rogers. 1976. Effects of temperature and salinity on comparative embryo development and mortality of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) and haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus* (L.)). J. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer 36: 220-228.
- Lilly, G.R. and D.G. Parsons. 1991. Distributional patterns of the northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in the northwest Atlantic as inferred from stomach contents of cod (*Gadus morhua*). Council Meeting of ICES, LaRochelle (France), 26 Sept.-4 Oct. 1991. 15 p.
- Lindholm, J.B., P.J. Auster, and L.S. Kaufman. 1999. Habitat-mediated survivorship of juvenile (0-year) Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua*. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 180: 247-255.
- Link, J.S. and F.P. Almeida. 2000. An overview and history of the food web dynamics program of the Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Woods Hole, Massachusetts. NOAA Tech. Mem. NMFS-NE-159.

- Link, J.S. and L.P. Garrison. 2002. Trophic ecology of Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* on the northeast US continental shelf. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 227: 109-123.
- Lough, R.G. and G.R. Bolz. 1989. The movement of cod and haddock larvae onto the shoals of Georges Bank. *J. Fish. Biol.* 35 (Suppl. A): 71-79.
- Lough, R.G., L.J. Buckley, F.E. Werner, J.A. Quinlan, and K. Pehrson Edwards. 2005. A general biophysical model of larval cod (*Gadus morhua*) growth applied to populations on Georges Bank. *Fish. Oceanogr.* 14: 241-262.
- Lough, R.G., E.M. Caldarone, T.K. Rotunno, E.A. Broughton, B.R. Burns, and L.J. Buckley. 1996. Vertical distribution of cod and haddock eggs and larvae, feeding and condition in stratified and mixed waters on southern Georges Bank, May 1992. *Deep-Sea Res. II.* 43: 1875-1904.
- Lough, R.G., C.G. Hannah, P.L. Berrien, D. Brickman, J. Loder, and J.A. Quinlan. 2002. Spawning pattern variability and its effect on retention, larval growth, and recruitment in Georges Bank cod and haddock. *ICES C.M.* 2002/P: 15.
- Lough, R.G. and J.P. Manning. 2001. Tidal-front entrainment and retention of fish larvae on the southern flank of Georges Bank. *Deep-Sea Res. II.* 48: 631-644.
- Lough, R.G. and D.G. Mountain. 1996. Effect of small-scale turbulence on feeding rates of larval cod and haddock in stratified water on Georges Bank. *Deep-Sea Res. II.* 43: 1745-1772.
- Lough, R.G. and D.C. Potter. 1993. Vertical distribution patterns and diel migrations of larval and juvenile haddock *Melanogrammus aeglefinus* and Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua*. *Fish. Bull. (U.S.)* 91: 281-303.
- Lough, R.G., W.G. Smith, F.E. Werner, J.W. Loder, F.H. Page, C.G. Hannah, C.E. Naimie, R.I. Perry, M. Sinclair, and D.R. Lynch. 1994. Influence of wind-driven advection on interannual variability in cod egg and larval distributions on Georges Bank: 1982 vs 1985. *ICES Mar. Sci. Symp.* 198: 356-378.
- Lough, R.G., P.C. Valentine, D.C. Potter, P.J. Auditore, G. R. Bolz, J.D. Neilson, and R.I. Perry. 1989. Ecology and distribution of juvenile cod and haddock in relation to sediment type and bottom currents on eastern Georges Bank. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 56: 1-12.
- MacDonald, J.S., M.J. Dadswell, R.G. Appleby, G.D. Melvin, and D.A. Methven. 1984. Fishes, fish assemblages, and their seasonal movements in the lower Bay of Fundy and Passamaquoddy Bay, Canada. *Fish. Bull. (U.S.)* 82: 121-139.
- Marak, R.R. 1960. Food habits of larval cod, haddock and coalfish in the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank area. *J. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer.* 25: 147-157.
- Markle, D.F. and L.-A. Frost. 1985. Comparative morphology, seasonality, and a key to the planktonic fish eggs from the Nova Scotian shelf. *Can. J. Zool.* 63: 246-257.
- Mayo, R. 1995. Atlantic cod. In: Conservation and Utilization Division, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, editors. Status of the fishery resources off the northeastern United States for 1994. NOAA Tech. Mem. NMFS-NE 108. p. 44-47.
- McKenzie, R.A. 1956. Atlantic cod tagging off the southern Canadian mainland. *Fish. Res. Board Can. Bull.* 105. 93 p.
- McLaren, I.A. and P. Avendaño. 1995. Prey field and diet of larval cod on Western Bank, Scotian Shelf. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 52: 448-463.
- McLaren, I.A., P. Avendaño, C.T. Taggart, and S.E. Lochmann. 1997. Feeding by larval cod in different water-masses on Western Bank, Scotian Shelf. *Fish. Oceanogr.* 6: 250-265.
- Methven, D.A. and J.F. Piatt. 1989. Seasonal and annual variation in the diet of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in relation to the abundance of capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) off eastern Newfoundland, Canada. *J. Cons. Ciém.* 45(2): 223-225.
- Miller, T.J., T. Herra, and W.C. Leggett. 1995. An individual-based analysis of the variability of eggs and their newly hatched larvae of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) on the Scotian Shelf. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 52: 1083-1093.
- Minet, J.P. and J.B. Perodou. 1978. Predation of cod, *Gadus morhua*, on capelin, *Mallotus villosus*, off eastern Newfoundland and in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. *Int. Comm. Northwest Atl. Fish. (ICNAF) Res. Bull.* 13: 11-20.
- Mountain, D.G. and S.A. Murawski. 1992. Variation in the distribution of fish stocks on the northeast continental shelf in relation to their environment, 1980-1989. *ICES mar. Sci. Symp.* 195: 424-432.
- Mountain, D., P. Berrien, and J. Sibunka. 2003. Distribution, abundance and mortality of cod and haddock eggs and larvae on Georges Bank in 1995 and 1996. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 263: 247-260.
- Murawski, S.A. and J.T. Finn. 1988. Biological bases for mixed-species fisheries: species co-distribution in relation to environmental and biotic variables. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 45: 1720-1735.
- Myers, R.A. and K. Drinkwater. 1989. The influence of Gulf Stream warm core rings on recruitment of fish in the northwest Atlantic. *J. Mar. Res.* 47: 635-656.
- [NEFMC] New England Fishery Management Council. 1993. Final amendment #5 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan incorporating the supplemental environmental impact statement. Vol. I. September 1993. NEFMC. [Saugus, MA.] 361 p.

- [NEFMC] New England Fishery Management Council. 1996. Final amendment #7 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan incorporating the supplemental environmental impact statement. Vol. I. February 1996. NEFMC. [Saugus, MA.]
- [NEFMC] New England Fishery Management Council. 2003. Final amendment #13 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan incorporating the supplemental environmental impact statement and an initial regulatory analysis. Vol. II. December 2003. NEFMC. [Newburyport, MA.]
- O'Brien, L., J. Burnett, and R.K. Mayo. 1993. Maturation of nineteen species of finfish off the northeast coast of the United States, 1985-1990. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS 113. 66 p.
- O'Brien, L. 1999. Factors influencing the rate of sexual maturity and the effect on spawning stock for Georges Bank and Gulf of Maine Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* stocks. J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci. 25: 179-203.
- O'Brien, L., P.J. Rago, R.G. Lough, and P. Berrien. 2003. Incorporating early-life history parameters in the estimation of the stock-recruitment relationship of Georges Bank Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci. 33: 191-205.
- Odense, P., A. Bordeleau, and R. Guilbault. 1966. Tolerance levels of cod (*Gadus morhua*) to low salinity. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 23: 1465-1467.
- Page, F.H., R. Losier, and P. Berrien. 1998. Spawning time of haddock and cod on Georges Bank as indicated by the MARMAP ichthyoplankton data set. Canadian Stock Assessment Secretariat Research Document 97/130. Fisheries and Oceans, Ottawa, Canada. 26 p.
- Page, R.H., M. Sinclair, C.E. Naimie, J.W. Loder, R.J. Losier, P.L. Berrien, and R.G. Lough. 1999. Cod and haddock spawning on Georges Bank in relation to water residence times. Fish. Oceanogr. 8: 212-226.
- Pentilla, J.A. and V.M. Gifford. 1976. Growth and mortality rates for cod from the Georges Bank and Gulf of Maine areas. Atl. Fish. Res. Bull. 12: 29-36.
- Perry, R.I. and J.D. Neilson. 1988. Vertical distributions and trophic interactions of Age 0 Atlantic cod and haddock in mixed and stratified waters of Georges Bank. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser. 49: 199-214.
- Pörtner, H.O., B. Berdal, R. Blust, O. Brix, A. Colosimo, B. De Wachter, A. Giuliani, T. Johansen, T. Fischer, R. Knust, G. Lannig, G. Naevdal, A. Nedenes, G. Nyhammer, F.J. Sartoris, I. Serendero, P. Sirabella, S. Thorkildsen, and M. Zakhartsev. 2001. Climate induced temperature effects on growth performance, fecundity and recruitment in marine fish: developing a hypothesis for cause and effect relationships in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) and common eel pout (*Zoarces viviparus*). Cont. Shelf Res. 21: 1975-1997.s
- Rau, N. 1974. Eggs and larvae of cod and haddock, temperatures and salinities on Browns Bank, Georges Bank and Nantucket Shoals, 26 February-11 March 1973 Int. Comm. Northwest Atl. Fish. (ICNAF) Res. Doc. 74/61. 7 p.
- Reid, R., F. Almeida, and C. Zetlin. 1999. Essential fish habitat source document: fishery independent surveys, data sources, and methods. NOAA Tech. Mem. NMFS-NE-122. 39 p.
- Robichaud, D.A., R.W. Elner, and R.F.J. Bailey. 1991. Differential selection of crab *Chionoecetes opilio* and *Hyas* spp. as prey by sympatric cod *Gadus morhua* and thorny skate *Raja radiata*. Fish. Bull. (U.S.) 89: 669-680.
- Rose, G.A. 1993. Cod spawning on a migration highway in the northwest Atlantic. Nature 366: 458-461.
- Rose, G.A. and W.C. Leggett. 1988. Atmosphere-ocean coupling and Atlantic cod migrations: effects of wind-forced variations in sea temperatures and currents on nearshore distributions and catch rates of *Gadus morhua*. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 45: 1234-1243.
- Rose, G.A. and W.C. Leggett. 1989. Interactive effects of geophysically-forced sea temperatures and prey abundance on mesoscale coastal distributions of a marine predator, Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 46: 1904-1913.
- Rothschild, B.J. and L.J. Shannon. 2004. Regime shifts and fishery management. Prog. Oceanogr. 60: 397-402.
- Ruzzante, D.E., C.T. Taggart, and D. Cook. 1998. A nuclear basis of shelf-and bank-scale population structure in northwest Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*): Labrador to Georges Bank. Mol. Ecol. 7: 1663-1668.
- Sameoto, D., J. Neilson, and D. Waldron. 1994. Zooplankton prey selection by juvenile fish in Nova Scotia Shelf basins. J. Plankton Res. 16: 1003-1019.
- Schroeder, W.C. 1930. Migration and other phases in the life history of the cod off southern New England. Bull. U.S. Bur. Fish. 46: 136.
- Scott, J.S. 1982a. Depth, temperature and salinity preferences of common fishes of the Scotian Shelf. J. Northwest Fish. Sci. 3: 29-39.

- Scott, J.S. 1982b. Selection of bottom type by groundfishes of the Scotian Shelf. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 39: 943-947.
- Scott, W.B. and M.G. Scott. 1988. Atlantic fishes of Canada. *Can. Bull. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 219: 731 p.
- Serchuk, F.M., M.D. Grosslein, R.G. Lough, D.G. Mountain, and L. O'Brien. 1994. Fishery and environmental factors affecting trends and fluctuations in the Georges Bank and Gulf of Maine Atlantic cod stocks: an overview. *ICES Mar. Sci. Symp.* 198: 77-109.
- Sherman, K. and J.P. Wise. 1961. Incidence of the cod parasite *Lernaeocera branchialis* L. in the New England area and its possible use as an indicator of cod populations. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 6: 61-67.
- Sherman, K., R. Maurer, R. Bryon, and J. Green. 1981. Relationship between larval fish communities and zooplankton prey species in an offshore spawning ground. *Rapp. P.-v. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer.* 178: 289-294.
- Sherman, S.A., K. Stepanek, J. Sowles, D.E. Grout, and R. Tetrault. 2005. Final report, fall 2003 and spring 2004 Maine – New Hampshire inshore trawl survey. Submitted to the Northeast Consortium. Maine Dep. Mar. Resources Res. Ref. Doc. 05/02.
- Smith, P.C., C.N. Flagg, R. Limeburner, C. Fuentes-Yaco, C. Hannah, R.C. Beardsley, and J. D. Irish. 2003. Scotian Shelf crossovers during winter/spring 1999. *J. Geophys. Res.* 108, No. C11.
- Smith, W.G., P. Berrien, D.G. McMillan, and A. Wells. 1981. Distribution, abundance and production of Atlantic cod and haddock larvae off northeastern United States in 1978-79 and 1979-80. *ICES C.M.* 1981/G: 52. 16 p.
- Smith, W.G., M. Pennington, P. Berrien, J. Sibunka, M. Konieczna, M. Baranowski, and E. Meller. 1979. Annual changes in the distribution and abundance of Atlantic cod and haddock larvae off the northeastern United States between 1973-74 and 1977-78. *ICES C.M.* 1979/6. 47 p.
- Stone, S.L., T.A. Lowery, J.D. Field, C.D. Williams, D.M. Nelson, S. H. Jury, M. E. Monaco, and L. Andreasen. 1994. Distribution and abundance of fishes and invertebrates in Mid-Atlantic estuaries. *ELMR Rep. No. 12*. NOAA/NOS Strategic Environmental Assessments Division, Silver Spring, MD. 280 p.
- Suthers, I.M., K.T. Frank, and S.E. Campana. 1989. Spatial comparison of recent growth in postlarval Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) off southwestern Nova Scotia: inferior growth in a presumed nursery area. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 46 (Suppl. 1): 113-124.
- Swain, D.P. and A.F. Sinclair. 2000. Pelagic fishes and cod recruitment dilemma in the Northwest Atlantic. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 57: 1321-1325.
- Tatyankin, Y. 1972. The distribution of juvenile cod (*Gadus morhua*), pollock (*Pollachius virens*) and haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) under temperature gradient conditions. *J. Ichthyol.* 12: 1002-1011.
- Tremblay, M.J. and M. Sinclair. 1985. Gulf of St. Lawrence cod: age-specific geographic distributions and environmental occurrences from 1971 to 1981. *Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 1387. 47 p.
- Trippel, E.A., M.J. Morgan, A. Frechet, C. Rollet, A. Sinclair, C. Annand, D. Beanlands, and L. Brown. 1997. Changes in age and length at sexual maturity of northwest Atlantic cod, haddock and pollock stocks, 1972-1995. *Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 2157. 132 p.
- Tupper, M. and R.G. Boutilier. 1995. Effects of habitat on settlement, growth and postsettlement survival of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 52: 1834-1841.
- Tyler, A.V. 1971. Periodic and resident components in communities of Atlantic fishes. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 28: 935-946.
- Tyler, A.V. 1972. Food resource division among northern marine demersal fishes. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 29: 997-1003.
- Valerio, P.F., S.V. Goddard, M.H. Kao, and G.L. Fletcher. 1992. Survival of northern Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) eggs and larvae when exposed to ice and low temperature. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 49: 2588-2595.
- Valentine, P.C. and R.G. Lough. 1991. The influence of geologic and oceanographic environmental factors on the abundance and distribution of fisheries resources of the northeastern United States continental margin. *U.S. Geol. Surv. Misc. Invest. Ser. Open-File Rep.* 91-439. 25 p.
- Werner, F.E., F.H. Page, D.R. Lynch, J.W. Loder, R.G. Lough, R.I. Perry, D.A. Greenberg, and N.M. Sinclair. 1993. Influences of mean advection and simple behavior on the distribution of cod and haddock early life stages on Georges Bank. *Fish. Oceanogr.* 2: 43-64.
- Werner, F.E., S. Murawski, and K. Brander. 1999. Report of the workshop on ocean climate of the NW Atlantic during the 1960s and 1970s and consequences for gadoid populations. *ICES Rapport des Recherches Collectives No 234*.
- Whitehead, P.J.P., M.-L. Bauchot, J.-C. Hureau, J. Nielsen, E. Tortonese eds. 1986. *Fishes of the North-eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean*. Vol.2. UNESCO, Paris, France. 1007 p.
- Wigley, S.E. and W.L. Gabriel. 1991. Distribution of sexually immature components of 10 northwest Atlantic groundfish species based on Northeast Fisheries Center bottom trawl surveys, 1968-86. *NOAA Tech. Mem. NMFS-F/NEC-80*. 15 p.
- Wise, J.P. 1958. The world's most indigenous cod. *J. du Cons.* 23: 208-212.

- Wise, J.P. 1963. Cod groups in the New England area. Fish. Bull. (U.S.) 63: 189-203.
- Witman, J.D. and K.P. Sebens. 1992. Regional variation in fish predation intensity: a historical perspective in the Gulf of Maine. *Oecologia* 90: 305-315.
- Wigley, S.E. and F.M. Serchuck. 1992. Spatial and temporal distribution of juvenile cod *Gadus morhua* in the Georges Bank-southern New England region. Fish. Bull. (U.S.) 90: 599-606.

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

Additional references that may be useful but were not cited in this document.

- Anderson, J.T. and E.L. Dalley. 1997. Spawning and year-class strength of northern cod (*Gadus morhua*) as measured by pelagic juvenile cod surveys, 1991-1994. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54 (Suppl. 1): 158-167.
- Anderson, J.T. and E.L. Dalley. 2000. Interannual differences in hatching times and growth rates of pelagic juvenile cod in Newfoundland waters. *Fish. Res.* 46: 227-238.
- Anderson, J.T., E.L. Dalley, and J.E. Carscadden. 1995. Abundance and distribution of pelagic 0-group cod (*Gadus morhua*) in Newfoundland waters: inshore versus offshore. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 52: 115-125.
- Atkinson, D.B., G.A. Rose, E.F. Murphy, and C.A. Bishop. 1997. Distribution changes and abundance of northern cod (*Gadus morhua*), 1981-1993. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54 (Suppl. 1): 132-1138.
- Beaugrand, G., K.M. Brander, J.A. Lindley, S. Souissi, and P.C. Reid. 2003. Plankton effect on cod recruitment in the North Sea. *Nature* 426: 661-664.
- Bickman, D. 2003. Controls on the distribution of Browns Bank juvenile haddock. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 263: 235-246.
- Bradbury, I.R., P.V.R. Snelgrove, and S. Fraser. 2000. Transport and development of eggs and larvae of Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, in relation to spawning time and location in coastal Newfoundland. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 57: 1761-1772.
- Bradbury, I.R., P.V.R. Snelgrove, and S. Fraser. 2001. The influence of temperature on advective loss of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) eggs from the inshore environment. *Fish. Oceanogr.* 10: 342-352.
- Brander, K. 1993. Comparison of spawning characteristics of cod (*Gadus morhua*) stocks in the North Atlantic. *Northwest Atl. Fish. Organ. (NAFO) Sci. Coun. Stud.* 18: 13-20.
- Brander, K. 2000. Effects of environmental variability on growth and recruitment in cod (*Gadus morhua*) using a comparative approach. *Oceanologica Acta* 23: 485-496.
- Brodziak, J.K.T., W.J. Overholtz, and P.J. Rago. 2001. Does spawning stock affect recruitment of New England groundfish? *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 58: 306-318.
- Browman, H.I., J-F. St-Pierre, A.B. Skiftesvik, and R.G. Racca. 2003. Behavior of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) larvae: an attempt to link maternal condition with larval quality. In: Browman, H.I., Skiftesvik, A.B., editors. The big fish bang. Proceedings of the 26th Annual Larval Fish Conference. Inst. Mar. Res., Bergen, Norway. p. 71-95.
- Buckley, L.J., E.M. Caldarone, and R.G. Lough. 2004. Optimum temperature and food-limited growth of larval Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) and haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) on Georges Bank. *Fish. Oceanogr.* 13: 134-140.
- Buckley, L.J. and R.G. Lough. 1987. Recent growth, biochemical composition, and prey field of larval haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) and Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) on Georges Bank. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 44: 14-25.
- Buckley, L.J., R.G. Lough, M.A. Peck, and F.E. Werner. 2000. Comment: Larval Atlantic cod and haddock growth models, metabolism, ingestion, and temperature effects. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 57: 1957-1960.
- Buckley, L.J., A.S. Smigielski, T.A. Halavik, B.R. Burns, and G.C. Laurence. 1993. Growth and survival of the larvae of three temperate marine fishes at discrete prey densities. II. Cod (*Gadus morhua*), winter flounder (*Pseudopleuronectes americanus*), and silver hake (*Merluccius bilinearis*). In: Walther, B.T., Fyhn, H.J., editors. Physiological and biochemical aspects of fish development. Norway: Univ. Bergen. p. 183-195.
- Burton, M.P.M., R.M. Penney, and S. Biddiscombe. 1997. Time course of gametogenesis in northwest Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54 (Suppl. 1): 122-131.
- Campana, S.E. 1996. Year-class strength and growth rate in young Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 135: 21-26.
- Campana, S.E., G.A. Chouinard, J.M. Hanson, and A. Frechet. 1999. Mixing and migration of overwintering Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) stocks near the mouth of the Gulf of St. Lawrence. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 56: 1873-1881.
- Campana, S.E., R.K. Mohn, S.J. Smith, and G.A. Chouinard. 1996. Spatial implications of a temperature-based growth model for Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) off the eastern coast of Canada. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 53: 2912-2914.

- Casas, J.M. and J. Paz. 1996. Recent changes in the feeding of cod (*Gadus morhua*) off the Flemish Cap, Newfoundland 1989-1993. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 53: 750-756.
- Chabot, D. and J. Dutil. 1999. Reduced growth of Atlantic cod in non-lethal hypoxic conditions. J. Fish. Biol. 55: 472-491.
- Chambers, R.C. and K.G. Waiwood. 1996. Maternal and seasonal differences in egg sizes and spawning characteristics of captive Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 53: 1986-2003.
- Chen, Y. and L.G.S. Mello. 1999. Growth and maturation of cod (*Gadus morhua*) of different year classes in the Northwest Atlantic, NAFO subdivisions 3Ps. Fish. Res. 42: 87-101.
- Chouinard, G. and A. Fr chet. 1994. Fluctuations in the cod stocks of the Gulf of St Lawrence. ICES Mar. Sci. Symp. 198: 121-139.
- Clemmesen, C., V. Buhler, G. Carvalho, R. Case, G. Evans, L. Hauser, W.F. Hutchinson, O.S. Kjesbu, H. Mempel, E. Moksness, H. Otteraa, H. Paulsen, A. Thorsen, and T. Svaasand. 2003. Variability in condition and growth of Atlantic cod larvae and juveniles reared in mesocosms: environmental and maternal effects. J. Fish. Biol. 62: 706-723.
- Colbourne, E., B. de Young, and G.A. Rose. 1997. Environmental analysis of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) migration in relation to the seasonal variations on the Northeast Newfoundland Shelf. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 54 (Suppl. 1): 149-157.
- Colbourne, E. and E.F. Murphy. 2000. Recent trends in bottom temperatures and distribution and abundance of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in NAFO Divisions 3 LNO during the spring and autumn. Northwest Atl. Fish. Organ. (NAFO) Sci. Counc. Res. Doc. 00/20. 30 p.
- Comeau, L.A., S.E. Campana, and G.A. Chouinard. 2002. Timing of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) seasonal migrations in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence: interannual variability and proximate control. ICES J. Mar. Res. 59: 333-351.
- Cote, D., L. Ollerhead, R. Gregory, D. Scruton, and R. McKinley. 2002. Activity patterns of juvenile Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in Buckley Cove, Newfoundland. Hydrobiologia 483: 121-127.
- Cote, D., L. Ollerhead, R. Gregory, D. Scruton, and R. McKinley. 2003. Microhabitat use of juvenile Atlantic cod in a coastal area of Newfoundland determined by 2d telemetry. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 265: 227-234.
- Dalley, E.L. and J.T. Anderson. 1997. Age-dependent distribution of demersal juvenile Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in inshore/offshore Northeast Newfoundland. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 54 (Suppl. 1): 168-176.
- Davidson, F.J.M. and B. de Young. 1995. Modelling advection of cod eggs and larvae on the Newfoundland Shelf. Fish. Oceanogr. 4: 33-51.
- Despatie, S.P., M. Castonguay, D. Chabot, and C. Audet. 2001. Final thermal preferendum of Atlantic cod: effect of food ration. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 130: 263-275.
- Dutil, J.D., M. Castonguay, D. Gilbert, and D. Gascon. 1999. Growth, condition, and environmental relationships in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the northern Gulf of St Lawrence and implications for management strategies in the Northwest Atlantic. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 56: 1818-1831.
- Dutil, J.D. and Y. Lambert. 2000. Natural mortality from poor condition in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 57: 826-836.
- Fogarty, M.J. and S.A. Murawski. 1998. Large-scale disturbance and the structure of marine systems: fishery impacts on Georges Bank. Ecol. Appl. 8: S6-S22.
- Fogarty, M.J., R.A. Myers, and K.G. Bowen. 2001. Recruitment of cod and haddock in the North Atlantic: a comparative analysis. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 58: 952-961.
- Fordham, S.E. and E.A. Tripple. 1999. Feeding behavior of cod (*Gadus morhua*) in relation to spawning. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 15: 1-9.
- Frank, K.T. and D. Brickman. 2000. Allee effects and compensatory population dynamics within a stock complex. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 57: 513-517.
- Frank, K.T. and D. Brickman. 2001. Contemporary management issues confronting fisheries science. J. Sea Res. 45: 173-187.
- Frank, K.T., K.F. Drinkwater, and F.H. Page. 1994. Possible causes of recent trends and fluctuations in Scotian Shelf/Gulf of Maine cod stocks. ICES Mar. Sci. Symp. 198: 110-120.
- Fu, C., R. Mohn, and L.P. Fanning. 2001. Why the Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) stock off eastern Nova Scotia has not recovered. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 58: 1613-1623.
- Green, J.M. and J.S. Wroblewski. 2000. Movement patterns of Atlantic cod in Gilbert Bay, Labrador: evidence for bay residency and spawning fidelity. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 80: 1077-1085.
- Hannah, C.G., J.A. Shore, and J.W. Loder. 2000. The drift-retention dichotomy on Browns Bank: a model study of interannual variability. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 57: 2506-2518.
- Hanson, J.M. 1996. Seasonal distribution of juvenile Atlantic cod in the southern Gulf of St Lawrence. J. Fish. Biol. 49: 1138-1152.
- Hanson, J.M. and G.A. Chouinard. 2002. Diet of Atlantic cod in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence as an index of ecosystem change, 1959-2000. J. Fish. Biol. 60: 902-922.
- Herbinger, C.M., R.W. Doyle, C.T. Taggart, S.E. Lochmann, A.L. Brooker, J.M. Wright, and D. Cook. 1997. Family relationships and effective population size in a natural cohort of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) larvae. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 54 (Suppl. 1): 11-18.

- Hoag, H. 2003. Atlantic cod meet icy death. *Nature* 422: 792.
- Hunt, J.J. 1996. Rates of sexual maturation of Atlantic cod in NAFO Division 5Ze and commercial fishery implications. *J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci.* 18: 61-75.
- Hunt von Herbing, I. 1999. Ontogeny of feeding structures in larval fish with different life histories: Atlantic cod and winter flounder. *Am. Zool.* 39: 97A.
- Hunt von Herbing, I. and R.G. Boutilier. 1996. Activity and metabolism of larval Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) from Scotian Shelf and Newfoundland source populations. *Mar. Biol.* 124: 607-617.
- Hunt von Herbing, I., R.G. Boutilier, T. Miyake, and B.K. Hall. 1996. Effects of temperature on morphological landmarks critical to growth and survival in larval Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Mar. Biol.* 124: 593-606.
- Hunt von Herbing, I., T. Miyake, B.K. Hall, and R.G. Boutilier. 1996. Ontogeny of feeding and respiration in larval Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* (Teleostei, Gadiformes): I. Morphology. *J. Morph.* 227: 15-35.
- Hunt von Herbing, I., T. Miyake, B.K. Hall, and R.G. Boutilier. 1996. Ontogeny of feeding and respiration in larval Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* (Teleostei, Gadiformes): II. Function. *J. Morph.* 227: 37-50.
- Hutchings, J.A. and R.A. Myers. 1993. Effect of age on the seasonality of maturation and spawning of Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, in the northwest Atlantic. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 50: 2468-2474.
- Incze, L.S., P. Aas, and T. Ainaire. 1996. Distributions of copepod nauplii and turbulence on the southern flank of Georges Bank: implications for feeding by larval cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Deep-Sea Res.* II 43: 1855-1873.
- Jordaan, A. and J.A. Brown. 2003. The risk of running on empty: the influence of age on starvation and gut fullness in larval Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 60: 1289-1298.
- Jordaan, A. and L.J. King. 2003. Determining the optimal temperature range for Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) during early life. In: Browman, H.I., Skiftesvik, A.B., editors. *The big fish bang. Proceedings of the 26th Annual Larval Fish Conference.* Inst. Mar. Res., Bergen, Norway. p. 45-62.
- Kiceniuk, J.S. and E. Colburne. 1997. Relating oxygen levels in the Newfoundland offshore waters to the physiology of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54 (Suppl. 1): 81-87.
- Lambert, Y. and J.D. Dutil. 1997. Condition and energy reserves of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) during the collapse of the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence stock. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54: 2388-2400.
- Laprise, R. and P. Pepin. 1995. Factors influencing the spatial-temporal occurrence of fish eggs and larvae in a northern, physically dynamic coastal environment. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 122: 73-92.
- Lawson, G.L. and G.A. Rose. 2000. Small-scale spatial and temporal patterns in spawning of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in coastal Newfoundland waters. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 57: 1011-1024.
- Leising, A.W. and P.J.S. Franks. 1999. Larval Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) and haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) growth on Georges Bank: a model with temperature, prey size, and turbulence forcing. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 56: 25-36.
- Lindholm, J.B., P.J. Auster, L.S. Kaufman, and M. Ruth. 1998. Post-settlement survivorship of juvenile Atlantic cod and the design of marine protected areas. In: Dorsey, E.M., Pederson, J., editors. *Effects of fishing gear on the sea floor of New England.* Boston: Conservation Law Foundation. p. 123-128.
- Lochmann, S.E., C.T. Taggart, D.A. Griffin, K.R. Thompson, and G.L. Maillet. 1997. Abundance and condition of larval cod (*Gadus morhua*) at a convergent front on Western Bank, Scotian Shelf. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54: 1461-1479.
- Lomond, T.M., D.C. Schneider, and D.A. Methven. 1998. Transition from pelagic to benthic prey for age group 0-1 Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*. *Fish. Bull. (U.S.)* 96: 908-911.
- Lynch, D.R., C.V.W. Lewis, and F.E. Werner. 2001. Can Georges Bank larval cod survival on a calanoid diet? *Deep-Sea Res.* II 48: 609-630.
- Manning, J.P., R.G. Lough, C.E. Naimie, and J.H. Churchill. 2001. Modelling the effect of a slope-water intrusion on advection of fish larvae in May 1995 on Georges Bank. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 58: 985-993.
- Marteinsdottir, G. and A. Steinarsson. 1998. Maternal influence on the size and viability of Iceland cod *Gadus morhua* eggs and larvae. *J. Fish. Biol.* 52: 1241-1258.
- McIntyre, T.M. and J.A. Hutchings. 2003. Small-scale temporal and spatial variation in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) life history. *Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.* 60: 1111-1121.
- Meekan, M.G. and L. Fortier. 1996. Selection for fast growth during the larval life of Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* on the Scotian Shelf. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 137: 25-37.
- Mertz, G. and R.A. Myers. 1994. Match/mismatch predictions of spawning duration versus recruitment variability. *Fish. Oceanogr.* 3: 236-245.
- Methven, D.A. and D.C. Schneider. 1998. Gear-independent patterns of variation in catch of juvenile Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in coastal habitats. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 55: 1430-1442.

- Methven, D.A., D.C. Schneider, and G.A. Rose. 2003. Spatial pattern and patchiness during ontogeny: post-settled *Gadus morhua* from coastal Newfoundland. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 60: 38-51.
- Morgan, M.J., E.M. Deblois, and G.A. Rose. 1997. An observation on the reaction of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in a spawning shoal to bottom trawling. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54 (Suppl. 1): 217-223.
- Morgan, M.J. and E.A. Tripple. 1966. Skewed sex ratios in spawning shoals of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *ICES J. Mar. Res.* 53: 820-826.
- Morgan, M.J., C.E. Wilson, and L.W. Crim. 1999. The effect of stress on reproduction in Atlantic cod. *J. Fish. Biol.* 54: 477-488.
- Morse, W.W. 1979. An analysis of maturity observations of 12 groundfish species collected from Cape Hatteras North Carolina to Nova Scotia in 1977. U.S. Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., Northeast Fish. Cent., Sandy Hook Lab. Rep. 79-32. 20 p.
- Morse, W.W. 1989. Catchability, growth, and mortality of larval fishes. *Fish. Bull. (U.S.)* 87: 417-446.
- Mousseau, L., L. Fortier, and L. Legendre. 1998. Annual production of fish larvae and their prey in relation to size-fractionated primary production (Scotian Shelf, NW Atlantic). *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 55: 44-57.
- Murawski, S.A., J.-J. Maguire, R.K. Mayo, and F.M. Serchuk. 1997. Groundfish stocks and the fishing industry. In: Boreman, J, Nakashima, B.S., Wilson, J.A., Kendall, R.L., editors. Northwest Atlantic groundfish: perspectives on a fishery collapse. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD. p. 71-94.
- Myers, R.A., B.R. MacKenzie, K.G. Bowen, and N.J. Barrowman. 2001. What is the carrying capacity for fish in the ocean? A meta-analysis of population dynamics of North Atlantic cod. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 58: 1464-1476.
- Myers, R.A., G. Mertz, and P.S. Fowlow. 1997. Maximum population growth rates and recovery times for Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*. *Fish. Bull. (U.S.)* 95: 762-772.
- Nelson, J.A., Y. Tang, and R.G. Boutilier. 1996. The effects of salinity change on the exercise performance of two Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) populations inhabiting different environments. *J. Expl. Biol.* 199: 1295-1309.
- Nielsen, E.E., M.M. Hansen, C. Schmidt, D. Meldrup, and P. Gronkjaer. 2001. Population of origin of Atlantic cod. *Nature* 413: 272.
- O'Brien, L. 1998. Factors influencing rates of maturation in the Georges Bank and Gulf of Maine Atlantic cod stocks. Northwest Atl. Fish. Organ. (NAFO) Sci. Coun. Res. Doc. No. 98/104. 34 p.
- Olsen, E.M., M. Heino, G.R. Lilly, M.J. Morgan, J. Bratley, B. Ernande and U. Dieckmann 2004. Maturation trends indicative of rapid evolution preceded the collapse of northern cod. *Nature* 428: 932-935.
- Ouellet, P. 1997. Characteristics and vertical distribution of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) eggs in the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence, and the possible effect of cold water temperature on recruitment. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54: 211-223.
- Ouellet, P., Y. Lambert, and M. Castonguay. 1997. Spawning of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence: study of adult and egg distributions and characteristics. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54: 198-210.
- Peck, M.A., L.J. Buckley, E.M. Caldarone, and D.A. Bengtson. 2003. Effects of food consumption and temperature on growth rate and biochemical-based indicators of growth in early juvenile Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* and haddock *Melanogrammus aeglefinus*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 251-243.
- Pepin, P. and J.A. Helbig. 1997. Distribution and drift of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) eggs and larvae on the northeast Newfoundland Shelf. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54: 670-685.
- Pepin, P., D.C. Orr, and J.T. Anderson. 1997. Time to hatch and larval size in relation to temperature and egg size in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54 (Suppl. 1): 2-10.
- Pinsent, D.L. and D.A. Methven. 1997. Protracted spawning of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in Newfoundland waters: evidence from otolith structure. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54 (Suppl. 1): 19-24.
- Planque, B. and T. Frédou. 1999. Temperature and the recruitment of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 56: 2069-2077.
- Purchase, C.F. and J.A. Brown. 2001. Stock-specific changes in growth rates, food conversion efficiencies, and energy allocation in response to temperature change in juvenile Atlantic cod. *J. Fish. Biol.* 58: 36-52.
- Puvanendran, V. and J.A. Brown. 1998. Effect of light intensity on the foraging and growth of Atlantic cod larvae: interpopulation differences? *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 98: 207-214.
- Puvanendran, V. and J.A. Brown. 2002. Foraging, growth and survival of Atlantic cod larvae reared in different light intensities and photoperiods. *Aquaculture* 214: 131-151.
- Puvanendran, V., L.L. Leader, and J.A. Brown. 2002. Foraging behavior of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) larvae in relation to prey concentration. *Can. J. Zool./Rev. Can. Zool.* 80: 689-699.
- Rakitin, A., M.M. Ferguson and E.A. Trippel. 1999. Sperm competition and fertilization success in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*): effect of sire size and condition factor on gamete quality. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 56: 2315-2323.
- Rideout, R.M., M.P.M. Burton, and G.A. Rose. 2000. Observations on mass atresia and skipped spawning in northern Atlantic cod, from Smith sound, Newfoundland. *J. Fish. Biol.* 57: 1429-1440.

- Robichaud, D. and G.A. Rose. 2001. Multiyear homing of Atlantic cod to a spawning ground. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 58: 2325-2329.
- Rose, G.A. and D.W. Kulka. 1999. Hyperaggregation of fish and fisheries: how catch-per-unit-effort increased as the northern cod (*Gadus morhua*) declined. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 56 (Suppl.): 118-127.
- Rose, G.A., B. de Young, D.W. Kulka, S.V. Goddard, and G.L. Fletcher. 2000. Distribution shifts and overfishing the northern cod (*Gadus morhua*): a view from the ocean. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 57: 644-663.
- Rowe, S. and J.A. Hutchings. 2001. Patterns and processes of mating in Atlantic cod. *J. Fish. Biol.* 59 (Suppl. A): 379.
- Ruzzante, D.E., C.T. Taggart, D. Cook, and S. Goddard. 1996. Genetic differentiation between inshore and offshore Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) off Newfoundland: microsatellite DNA variation and antifreeze level. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 53: 634-645.
- Ruzzante, D.E., C.T. Taggart, D. Cook, and S. Goddard. 1997. Genetic differentiation between inshore and offshore Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) off Newfoundland: a test and evidence of temporal stability. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54: 2700-2708.
- Ruzzante, D.E., J.S. Wroblewski, C.T. Taggart, R.K. Smedbol, D. Cook, and S.V. Goddard. 2000. Bay-scale population structure in coastal Atlantic cod in Labrador and Newfoundland, Canada. *J. Fish. Biol.* 56: 431-447.
- Saborido-Rey, E., O.S. Kjesbu, and A. Thorsen. 2003. Buoyancy of Atlantic cod larvae in relation to developmental stage and maternal influences. *J. Plankton Res.* 25: 291-307.
- Sclafani, M., G. Stirling, and W.C. Leggett. 1997. Osmoregulation, nutritional effects and buoyancy of marine larval fish: a bioassay for assessing density changes during the earliest life history stages. *Mar. Biol.* 129: 1-9.
- Sclafani, M., G. Stirling, and W.C. Leggett. 2000. Osmotic condition, buoyancy change and mortality in larval cod *Gadus morhua*. A bioassay for assessing near-term mortality. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 193: 157-166.
- Sclafani, M., C.T. Taggart, and K.R. Thompson. 1993. Condition, buoyancy and the distribution of larval fish: implications for vertical migration and retention. *J. Plankton Res.* 15: 413-435.
- Schneider, D.C., T. Bult, R.S. Gregory, D.A. Methven, D.W. Ings, and V. Gotceitas. 1999. Mortality, movement, and body size: critical scales for Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the Northwest Atlantic. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 56 (Suppl. 1): 180-187.
- Shackell, N.L., W.T. Stobo, K.T. Frank, and D. Brickman. 1997. Growth of cod (*Gadus morhua*) estimated from mark-recapture programs on the Scotian Shelf and adjacent areas. *ICES J. Mar. Res.* 54: 383-398.
- Shore, J.A., C.G. Hannah, and J.W. Loder. 2000. Drift pathways on the western Scotian Shelf and its environs. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 57: 2488-2505.
- Smith, S.J. and F.H. Page. 1996. Associations between Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) and hydrographic variables: implications for the management of the 4VsW cod stock. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 53: 597-614.
- Sundby, S. 2000. Recruitment of Atlantic cod stocks in relation to temperature and advection of copepod populations. *Sarsia* 85: 277-298.
- Suthers, I.M. and S. Sundby. 1996. Role of the midnight sun: comparative growth of pelagic juvenile cod (*Gadus morhua*) from the Arcto-Norwegian and a Nova Scotian stock. *ICES J. Mar. Res.* 53: 827-836.
- Swain, D.P. 1999. Changes in the distribution of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the southern Gulf of St Lawrence - effects of environmental change or change in environmental preferences? *Fish. Oceanogr.* 8: 1-17.
- Taggart, C.T., J. Anderson, C. Bishop, E. Colbourne, J. Hutchings, G. Lilly, J. Morgan, E. Murphy, R. Myers, G. Rose, and P. Shelton. 1994. Overview of cod stocks, biology, and environment in the Northwest Atlantic region of Newfoundland, with emphasis on northern cod. *ICES Mar. Sci. Symp.* 198: 140-157.
- Taggart, C.T., K.R. Thompson, G.L. Maillet, S.E. Lochmann, and D.A. Griffin. 1996. Abundance and distribution of larval cod (*Gadus morhua*) and zooplankton in a gyre-like water mass on the Scotian Shelf. In: *Survival strategies in early life stages of marine resources. Proceedings of an international workshop, Yokohama, Japan, 11-14 October 1994.* Brookfield, VT: A.A. Balkema. p. 155-174.
- Trippel, E.A. 1998. Egg size and viability and seasonal offspring production of young Atlantic cod. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 127: 339-359.
- Werner, F.E., R.I. Perry, R.G. Lough, and C.E. Naimie. 1996. Trophodynamic and advective influences on Georges Bank cod and haddock. *Deep-Sea Res. II.* 43: 1793-1822.
- Werner, F.E., B.R. MacKenzie, R.I. Perry, R.G. Lough, C.E. Naimie, B.O. Blanton, and J.A. Quinlan. 2001. Larval trophodynamics, turbulence, and drift on Georges Bank: a sensitivity analysis of cod and haddock. *Sci. Mar.* 65 (Suppl. 1): 99-115.

Table 1. Age and length at 50% maturity for two stocks of Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*.

Data for 1994 are from Mayo (1995). Similar results were obtained in a Canadian study for zones near U.S. waters (Trippel *et al.* 1997). Recent data are from L. O'Brien, (NOAA Fisheries, NEFSC, Woods Hole Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA, pers.comm.), pooled for the period 2000-2002.

1994	Georges Bank	Georges Bank	Gulf of Maine	Gulf of Maine
	Males	Females	Males	Females
Age at 50% Maturity	1.9 years	1.7 years	2.3 years	2.1 years
Length at 50% Maturity	41 cm	39 cm	36 cm	32 cm
2000-2002	Georges Bank	Georges Bank	Gulf of Maine	Gulf of Maine
	Males	Females	Males	Females
Age at 50% Maturity	2.1 years	2.1 years	2.9 years	2.6 years
Length at 50% Maturity	42.2 cm	43.3 cm	44.0 cm	43.3 cm

Table 2. Food habits of Atlantic cod.

Source	Study Area and Food Habits
LARVAE	
Bainbridge and McKay (1968)	Greenland: Larvae (3-10 mm) mostly eat nauplii and copepodites of the copepods <i>Calanus</i> and <i>Temora</i> . Also euphausiids.
Kane (1984)	Georges Bank: Larvae eat nauplii and copepodites of <i>Pseudocalanus</i> mostly; some <i>Calanus</i> eggs and nauplii.; some <i>Oithona</i> copepodites.
Marak (1960)	Georges Bank, Gulf of Maine: Larvae eat most abundant prey. 4-18 mm eat mostly larval copepods; 18+ mm eat mostly adult copepods.
McLaren and Avendano (1995)	Scotian Shelf (Western Bank): Larvae predominant prey: 2 species of the copepod <i>Pseudocalanus</i> .
McLaren <i>et al.</i> (1997)	Scotian Shelf (Western Bank): Early larvae feed predominantly on nauplii and copepodites of <i>Pseudocalanus</i> (mostly <i>P. newmani</i>); fewer <i>Centropages</i> , <i>Oithona</i> , and <i>Paracalanus</i> .
Lough and Mountain (1996)	Georges Bank: Primarily nauplii and copepodites of <i>Pseudocalanus</i> and <i>Oithona</i> ; some <i>Calanus</i> nauplii.
Lough <i>et al.</i> (1996)	Georges Bank: Early larvae prey on nauplii and copepodites of <i>Pseudocalanus</i> .
Sherman <i>et al.</i> (1981)	Georges Bank: Larvae eat nauplii and copepodites of <i>Pseudocalanus</i> mostly; some <i>Calanus</i> nauplii.
JUVENILES AND ADULTS	
Bowman (1975)	Gulf of Maine: Primary item: herring. Also redfish, mackerel, cod, and red and rock crabs.
Bigelow and Schroeder (1953); Klein-MacPhee 2002	Gulf of Maine: Mollusks most important. Also other invertebrates.
Casas and Paz (1994)	Flemish Cap: Invertebrates (crustaceans and polychaetes) dominant in juvenile diets; adults consume mostly fish, mainly redfish (<i>Sebastes</i> sp.).
Casas <i>et al.</i> (1991)	Flemish Cap: Hyperiid amphipods main item in juvenile cod; as size increases, shift to fish as food item. Most important fish prey are juvenile redfish (<i>Sebastes</i> sp.). Rate of cannibalism very low.
Hacunda (1981)	Central Maine coast: Crustaceans most important, especially amphipods, <i>Unciola</i> , <i>Leptocheirus</i> , and decapods <i>Crangon</i> , <i>Cancer</i> .
Keats <i>et al.</i> (1987)	Conception Bay, Newfoundland: < 12.5 cm ate mostly small zooplankton; > 12.5 cm ate mostly benthic organisms, in areas with thick macroalgal cover. Latter not used as food source, however.
Keats and Steele (1992)	Newfoundland (eastern): Juveniles (Age 0 and 1) feed mostly during daylight and most prey was planktonic.
Kohler and Fitzgerald (1969)	Gulf of St. Lawrence, offshore Nova Scotian Banks: Small cod ate mostly crustaceans, switch to fish diet as they grow. Species taken depends on relative abundance of prey. Herring most important in GOSL, sand lance on Nova Scotian Banks. Some seasonal variation within areas and by depth.
Langton (1982)	Northwest Atlantic: Initially crustaceans, switch to fishes with growth. Overlaps with white hake (<i>Urophycis tenuis</i>) and, at smaller sizes, with haddock (<i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>).
Langton and Bowman (1980)	Gulf of Maine: Diet by weight (%) - Pisces 69.5, Clupeidae 23.3, Crustacea 26.1, other decapods 14.1, Mollusca 0.7, Echinodermata 0.4.

Table 2 Cont'd.

Source	Study Area and Food Habits
JUVENILES AND ADULTS (cont'd.)	
Lilly and Parsons (1991)	Northeast Newfoundland: Northern shrimp (<i>Pandalus borealis</i>) identified as important food item of cod throughout shrimp's range.
Link and Garrison (2002)	Northeast U.S. Continental Shelf: Summary of 25 year time series of food habits data. Cod have omnivorous diet, prefer sand lance, <i>Cancer</i> crabs, and herring.
Methven and Piatt (1989)	Newfoundland: Capelin very important diet item. When abundance is high, occurrences in cod stomachs high; when abundance low, occurrences in cod stomachs low.
Minet and Perodou (1978)	SW Newfoundland and NE Gulf of St. Lawrence: Capelin and crustaceans most important components. In some areas, larger cod ate more herring, redfish, and American plaice.
Perry and Neilson (1988)	Georges Bank: Late pelagic juveniles. Calanoid copepods numerically most abundant, mysiid <i>Neomysis americana</i> biomass most important; harpacticoid <i>Tisbe</i> , some <i>Pagurus</i> larvae.
Robichaud <i>et al.</i> (1991)	Cape Breton I., Nova Scotia: Cod fed on snow crabs (<i>Chionecetes</i> sp.) and toad crabs (<i>Hyas</i> spp.), with the latter selected somewhat more often.
Sameoto <i>et al.</i> (1994)	Scotian Shelf: Late pelagic juveniles. <i>C. finmarchicus</i> copepodite IV-V, <i>Pseudocalanus</i> female, and <i>Temora</i> male preferred prey. (Emerald and Lahave Basin). Small euphausiids (<i>Meganyctiphanes norvegica</i>) significant part of diet at night, 20 m.
Tyler (1972)	Passamaquoddy Bay: Winter - <i>Meganyctiphanes</i> , <i>Mysis</i> , <i>Pandalus</i> ; summer - <i>Meganyctiphanes</i> , <i>Clupea</i> , <i>Pandalus</i> .
Whitehead <i>et al.</i> (1986)	Northeastern Atlantic: Diet variable: fishes - herring, capelin, haddock, codling; invertebrates - euphausiids, hyperiids, amphipods, polychaetes.
Witman and Sebens (1992)	Gulf of Maine: Cod fed heavily on tethered brittle stars in this experiment.

Table 3. Minor diet items of Atlantic cod.

Based on the NEFSC Food Habits database from 1973-1990. Listed below are items occurring at 1-5 percent frequency. See Figure 3 for items occurring more frequently. Methods for sampling, processing, and analysis of samples differed between the time periods [see Reid *et al.* (1999) for details].

1973-1980: Diet Item	Percent Frequency	1981-1990: Diet Item	Percent Frequency
Polychaeta	4.70	Euphausiidae	4.68
<i>Unciola irrorata</i>	4.70	Decapoda (shrimp)	3.92
<i>Eualus pusiolus</i>	4.50	Paguridae	3.77
Trematoda	4.35	Ophiuroidea	3.64
<i>Pagurus acadianus</i>	3.49	<i>Cancer</i> sp.	3.24
Gastropoda	3.24	Bivalvia	2.81
Decapoda (crab)	3.03	<i>Cancer irroratus</i>	2.54
<i>Ophiopholis aculeata</i>	2.98	Gastropoda	2.26
Pandalidae	2.88	<i>Merluccius bilinearis</i>	2.26
<i>Pandalus montagui</i>	2.53	Gammaridea	2.11
<i>Ammodytes</i> sp.	2.53	Crustacea	1.63
Caprellidae	2.43	Mollusca	1.63
Cancridae	2.43	<i>Cancer borealis</i>	1.61
Decapoda	2.38	Isopoda	1.61
Paguridae	2.33	<i>Crangon septemspinosa</i>	1.56
Cephalapoda	2.22	Rock	1.45
Lysianassidae	2.18	Aphroditidae	1.44
<i>Cancer borealis</i>	2.18	Pectinidae	1.15
Ophiuroidea	2.12		
Aphroditidae	2.07		
<i>Pagurus</i> sp.	2.07		
Sand	2.07		
<i>Aeginna longicornis</i>	1.97		
Holothuroidea	1.87		
<i>Pontogeneia inermis</i>	1.82		
Cirolanidae	1.82		

Table 3 Cont'd.

1973-1980: Diet Item	Percent Frequency	1981-1990: Diet Item	Percent Frequency
<i>Hyas</i> sp.	1.72		
<i>Axius serratus</i>	1.52		
Bivalvia	1.52		
<i>Politolana polita</i>	1.47		
Pectinidae	1.47		
<i>Pandalus borealis</i>	1.32		
<i>Neomysis americana</i>	1.32		
Calanoida	1.32		
<i>Gastropoda operculum</i>	1.32		
Copepoda	1.26		
<i>Anonyx sarsi</i>	1.16		
Crangonidae	1.11		
Mollusca	1.11		
Clupeidae	1.11		
<i>Syrrhoe crenulata</i>	1.01		
Euphausiidae	1.01		

Table 4. Distribution of life history stages of Atlantic cod in representative estuaries between Maine and Chesapeake Bay.

Occurrences are not quantitative and may be based on a single, or very few, specimens. Estimates of relative abundance from Jury *et al.* (1994) and Stone *et al.* (1994).

Estuary	Eggs	Larvae	Juveniles	Adults
Passamaquoddy Bay	None	Common	Common	Common
Englishman, Machias Bays	Common	Common	Abundant	Common
Narraguagus Bay	Common	Common	Abundant	Common
Blue Hill Bay	Common	Common	Abundant	Common
Penobscot Bay	None	Common	Common	Common
Muscongus Bay	Rare	Rare	Common	Common
Damariscotta Bay	Rare	Rare	Common	Common
Sheepscot River	Abundant	Abundant	Common	Abundant
Kennebec/Androscoggin Rivers	None	None	Common	Common
Casco Bay	Common	Common	Common	Common
Saco Bay	Common	Common	Common	Common
Wells Harbor	Rare	Rare	Rare	None
Great Bay	Common	Common	Rare	Rare
Merrimack River	Rare	Rare	Rare	Rare
Massachusetts Bay	Common	Common	Common	Common
Boston Harbor	Common	Common	Common	Common
Cape Cod Bay	Common	Common	Common	Common
Waquoit Bay	Rare	Rare	Rare	None
Buzzards Bay	Common	Common	Common	Common
Narragansett Bay	Rare	Rare	Rare	Rare
Long Island Sound	Rare	Rare	Rare	Rare
Connecticut River	None	None	None	None
Gardiners Bay	Rare	Rare	Rare	Rare
Great South Bay	None	None	None	None
Hudson River/Raritan Bay	None	Rare	None	None
Barneгат Bay to Chesapeake Bay	None	None	None	None

Table 5. Summary of life history and habitat parameters for Atlantic cod.

Based partially on data contained in Appendix 1, Table of Habitat Parameters. This table is the same as that in the first Atlantic cod EFH source document (Fahay *et al.* 1999); more recent studies were reviewed (see Appendix 1), but no new information of relevance to this table was found.

Life History Stage	Spatial and Temporal Distribution	Temperature	Salinity	Depth/ Substrate/ Vegetation	Diel/ Light/ Vertical	Predator/ Prey
Eggs ¹	Pelagic. Bays, harbors, offshore banks. Spawning begins in fall, peaks winter and spring.	Most 2.0-8.5°C for incubation. 12.0°C upper limit. Mortality independent of temperature.	Most 32-33 ppt. Eggs sink in spring freshets. Inverse relationship with mortality, 26-36 ppt.	Usually < 70 m.	Near surface unless salinities low. Eggs in poor condition may sink.	--
Larvae ²	Pelagic. Most over Georges Bank, perimeter of Gulf of Maine, southern New England, continental shelf. Densest in spring.	Most 4-8°C in winter-spring, 7-12°C in summer-fall.	Most 32-33 ppt.	--	Youngest from surface to 75 m. Move deeper with age. Migrate vertically in reaction to light.	Growth strongly correlated with zooplankton volume. Yolk sac larvae vulnerable to zooplankton predators.
Juveniles ³	Mostly in shoal waters, coastal or offshore banks, during summer. Deeper water in winter.	6-20°C. More tolerant of extremes than adults. Temperature preferences differ winter-summer.	30-35 ppt.	'Cobble' preferred over finer grains. Uses vegetation for predator avoidance. Survival may be enhanced in structurally complex habitats.	Some changes in vertical distribution, day/night (see Appendix 1).	Avoid predation by seeking refuge in structured habitats.
Adults ⁴	Seasonal migrations except in Gulf of Maine. Most dense Massachusetts Bay, northeast Georges Bank, Nantucket Shoals.	Generally < 10°C, varies seasonally.	Wide range of oceanic salinities. Mortality < 2.3 ppt.	Rocky, pebbly, gravelly. Avoid finer sediments.	Usually on bottom during day, may move up into water column at night.	Varied diet. Predation by large sharks, spiny dogfish, and, as juveniles, older cod.

¹ Bonnet (1939); Bigelow and Schroeder (1953); Laurence and Rogers (1976); Hardy (1978).

² Rau (1974); Hardy (1978); Bailey (1984); Suthers *et al.* (1989).

³ Bigelow and Schroeder (1953); Hardy (1978); MacDonald *et al.* (1984); Clark and Green (1990); Gotceitas and Brown (1993).

⁴ Bigelow and Schroeder (1953); Beamish (1966); Odense *et al.* (1966); Hardy (1978); Scott (1982b); Cohen *et al.* (1990).




Figure 1. The Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua* (from Goode 1884).




Figure 2. Distribution of Atlantic cod stocks in the North Atlantic showing principle spawning sites.
Source: Brander (1994).

Diet Composition of Major Prey Items




Figure 3. Percent by weight of the major prey items in the diet of three size categories of Atlantic cod. From specimens collected during NEFSC bottom trawl surveys from 1973-2001 (all seasons). For details on NEFSC diet analysis, see Link and Almeida (2000).




Figure 4. Overall distribution and abundance of Atlantic cod in the northwest Atlantic Ocean. Based on research trawl surveys conducted by Canada (DFO) and the United States (NMFS) from 1975-1994 (http://www-orca.nos.noaa.gov/projects/ecnasap/ecnasap_table1.html).




Figure 5. Distributions and abundances of Atlantic cod eggs collected during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys.
For all available months and years from 1978 to 1987 combined.




Figure 5. Cont'd.

From MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys, January through April, 1978-1987.




Figure 5. Cont'd.

From MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys, May through August, 1978-1987.




Figure 5. Cont'd.
 From MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys, September through December, 1978-1987




Figure 6. Distributions and abundances of Atlantic cod eggs collected during GLOBEC Georges Bank ichthyoplankton surveys.
For all available years (February-July, 1995; January-June, 1996-1999) combined.





Figure 6. Cont'd.
From GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys, January and February, for all available years combined.




Figure 6. Cont'd.

From GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys, March and April, for all available years combined.




Figure 6. Cont'd.

From GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys, May and June, for all available years combined.




Figure 7. Distributions and abundances of Atlantic cod larvae collected during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys.

For all available months and years from 1977 to 1987 combined.




Figure 7. Cont'd.

From MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys, January through April, 1977-1987.




Figure 7. Cont'd.

From MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys, May through August, 1977-1987.




Figure 7. Cont'd.

From MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys, September through December, 1977-1987.




Figure 8. Distributions and abundances of Atlantic cod larvae collected during GLOBEC Georges Bank ichthyoplankton surveys.

For all available years (February-July, 1995; January-June, 1996-1999) combined.




Figure 8. Cont'd.

From GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys, January and February, for all available years combined.




Figure 8. Cont'd.

From GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys, March and April, for all available years combined.




Figure 8. Cont'd.

From GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys, May and June, for all available years combined.




Figure 8. Cont'd.
From GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys, July 1995.




Figure 9. Topographic map of Georges Bank showing gravel distribution, from Valentine and Lough (1991).





Figure 10. Distribution and abundance of recently-settled juvenile cod on eastern Georges Bank in relation to sediments. Source: Valentine and Lough (1991).






Figure 11. Seasonal distributions and abundances of juvenile Atlantic cod collected during NEFSC bottom trawl surveys.
 From NEFSC winter bottom trawl surveys (1964-2003, all years combined). Distributions are displayed as presence only.




Figure 11. Cont'd.

From NEFSC spring bottom trawl surveys (1968-2003, all years combined). Survey stations where juveniles were not found are not shown.




Figure 11. Cont'd.

From NEFSC summer bottom trawl surveys (1963-1995, all years combined). Distributions are displayed as presence only.




Figure 11. Cont'd.

From NEFSC fall bottom trawl surveys (1963-2003, all years combined). Survey stations where juveniles were not found are not shown.




Figure 12. Distribution and abundance of Atlantic cod along the coasts of Maine and New Hampshire. From the Maine – New Hampshire spring 2001-2004 and fall 2000-2003 inshore groundfish trawl surveys. For details on the survey, see Sherman *et al.* (2005).




Figure 13. Length frequency plots for Atlantic cod caught along the Maine and New Hampshire coasts, by season/year. Based on the Maine – New Hampshire inshore groundfish trawl survey for spring 2001-2004 and fall 2000-2003. Source: Sherman *et al.* (2005).




Figure 14. Regional catch-per-unit-effort of Atlantic cod caught along the Maine and New Hampshire coasts, by season/year. Based on the Maine – New Hampshire inshore groundfish trawl survey for spring 2001-2004 and fall 2000-2003. Region 1 = NH–Southern ME; Region 2 = Casco Bay–Midcoast ME; Region 3 = Penobscot Bay, ME; Region 4 = Jerico–Frenchmens Bay, ME; Region 5 = Downeast ME. Source: Sherman *et al.* (2005).




Figure 15. Seasonal distributions and abundances of juvenile Atlantic cod in Massachusetts coastal waters. From spring Massachusetts inshore bottom trawl surveys (1978-2003, all years combined). Survey stations where juveniles were not found are not shown.




Figure 15. Cont'd.

From fall Massachusetts inshore bottom trawl surveys (1978-2003, all years combined). Survey stations where juveniles were not found are not shown.




Figure 16. Seasonal distributions and abundances of adult Atlantic cod collected during NEFSC bottom trawl surveys. From NEFSC winter bottom trawl surveys (1964-2003, all years combined). Distributions are displayed as presence only.




Figure 16. Cont'd.

From NEFSC spring bottom trawl surveys (1968-2003, all years combined). Survey stations where adults were not found are not shown.




Figure 16. Cont'd.

From NEFSC summer bottom trawl surveys (1963-1995, all years combined). Distributions are displayed as presence only.




Figure 16. Cont'd.

From NEFSC fall bottom trawl surveys (1963-2003, all years combined). Survey stations where adults were not found are not shown.




Figure 17. Seasonal distributions and abundances of adult Atlantic cod in Massachusetts coastal waters. From spring Massachusetts inshore bottom trawl surveys (1978-2003, all years combined). Survey stations where adults were not found are not shown.




Figure 17. Cont'd.

From fall Massachusetts inshore bottom trawl surveys (1978-2003, all years combined). Survey stations where adults were not found are not shown.




Figure 18. Monthly distributions of Atlantic cod eggs collected during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys relative to water column temperature and bottom depth. For all available months and years from 1978-1987 combined. Open bars represent the proportion of all stations which were surveyed, while solid bars represent the proportion of the sum of all standardized catches (number/10 m²). Note that the bottom depth interval changes with increasing depth.




Figure 19. Distributions of Atlantic cod eggs collected during GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys relative to water column temperature.
 From GLOBEC Georges Bank surveys (February-July, 1995; January-June, 1996-1999) by month for all available years combined. Light bars represent the proportion of all stations surveyed, while dark bars represent the proportion of the sum of all standardized catches (number/10m²).




Figure 20. Distributions of Atlantic cod eggs collected during GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys relative to bottom depth.

From GLOBEC Georges Bank surveys (February-July, 1995; January-June, 1996-1999) by month for all available years combined. Light bars represent the proportion of all stations surveyed, while dark bars represent the proportion of the sum of all standardized catches (number/10m²). Note that the bottom depth intervals change with depth.




Figure 21. Monthly distributions of Atlantic cod larvae collected during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys relative to water column temperature and bottom depth. For all available months and years from 1977-1987 combined. Open bars represent the proportion of all stations which were surveyed, while solid bars represent the proportion of the sum of all standardized catches (number/10 m²). Note that the bottom depth interval changes with increasing depth.




Figure 22. Distributions of Atlantic cod larvae collected during GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys relative to water column temperature.

From GLOBEC Georges Bank surveys (February-July, 1995; January-June, 1996-1999) by month for all available years combined. Light bars represent the proportion of all stations surveyed, while dark bars represent the proportion of the sum of all standardized catches (number/10m²).




Figure 23. Distributions of Atlantic cod larvae collected during GLOBEC ichthyoplankton surveys relative to bottom depth.

From GLOBEC Georges Bank surveys (February-July, 1995; January-June 1996-1999) by month for all available years combined. Light bars represent the proportion of all stations surveyed, while dark bars represent the proportion of the sum of all standardized catches (number/10m²). Note that the bottom depth intervals change with depth.

Atlantic Cod
NEFSC Bottom Trawl Survey
Spring 1968 - 2003
Juveniles (<35 cm)




Figure 24. Distributions of juvenile Atlantic cod and trawls from NEFSC bottom trawl surveys relative to bottom water temperature, depth, and salinity.

Based on NEFSC spring bottom trawl surveys (temperature and depth: 1968-2003, all years combined; salinity: 1991-2003, all years combined). Light bars show the distribution of all the trawls, dark bars show the distribution of all trawls in which Atlantic cod occurred and medium bars show, within each interval, the percentage of the total number of Atlantic cod caught. Note that the bottom depth interval changes with increasing depth.

Atlantic Cod
NEFSC Bottom Trawl Survey
Fall 1963 - 2003
Juveniles (<35 cm)






Figure 24. Cont'd.

Based on NEFSC fall bottom trawl surveys (temperature and depth: 1963-2003, all years combined; salinity: 1991-2003, all years combined). Light bars show the distribution of all the trawls, dark bars show the distribution of all trawls in which Atlantic cod occurred and medium bars show, within each interval, the percentage of the total number of Atlantic cod caught. Note that the bottom depth interval changes with increasing depth.




Figure 25. Distributions of juvenile Atlantic cod and trawls in Massachusetts coastal waters relative to bottom water temperature and depth.

Based on spring Massachusetts inshore bottom trawl surveys (1978-2003, all years combined). Light bars show the distribution of all the trawls, dark bars show the distribution of all trawls in which Atlantic cod occurred and medium bars show, within each interval, the percentage of the total number of Atlantic cod caught.

Atlantic Cod
Massachusetts Inshore Trawl Survey
Fall 1978 - 2003
Juveniles (<35 cm)





Figure 25. Cont'd.

Based on fall Massachusetts inshore bottom trawl surveys (1978-2003, all years combined). Light bars show the distribution of all the trawls, dark bars show the distribution of all trawls in which Atlantic cod occurred and medium bars show, within each interval, the percentage of the total number of Atlantic cod caught.




Figure 26. Distributions of adult Atlantic cod and trawls from NEFSC bottom trawl surveys relative to bottom water temperature, depth, and salinity.

Based on NEFSC spring bottom trawl surveys (temperature and depth: 1968-2003, all years combined; salinity: 1991-2003, all years combined). Light bars show the distribution of all the trawls, dark bars show the distribution of all trawls in which Atlantic cod occurred and medium bars show, within each interval, the percentage of the total number of Atlantic cod caught. Note that the bottom depth interval changes with increasing depth.

Atlantic Cod
NEFSC Bottom Trawl Survey
Fall 1963 - 2003
Adults (≥ 35 cm)




Figure 26. Cont'd.

Based on NEFSC fall bottom trawl surveys (temperature and depth: 1963-2003, all years combined; salinity: 1991-2003, all years combined). Light bars show the distribution of all the trawls, dark bars show the distribution of all trawls in which Atlantic cod occurred and medium bars show, within each interval, the percentage of the total number of Atlantic cod caught. Note that the bottom depth interval changes with increasing depth.

Atlantic Cod
Massachusetts Inshore Trawl Survey
Spring 1978 - 2003
Adults (≥ 35 cm)




Figure 27. Distributions of adult Atlantic cod and trawls in Massachusetts coastal waters relative to bottom water temperature and depth.

Based on spring Massachusetts inshore bottom trawl surveys (1978-2003, all years combined). Light bars show the distribution of all the trawls, dark bars show the distribution of all trawls in which Atlantic cod occurred and medium bars show, within each interval, the percentage of the total number of Atlantic cod caught.

Atlantic Cod
Massachusetts Inshore Trawl Survey
Fall 1978 - 2003
Adults (≥ 35 cm)




Figure 27. Cont'd.

Based on fall Massachusetts inshore bottom trawl surveys (1978-2003, all years combined). Light bars show the distribution of all the trawls, dark bars show the distribution of all trawls in which Atlantic cod occurred and medium bars show, within each interval, the percentage of the total number of Atlantic cod caught.

Appendix 1. Table of habitat parameters for Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*.

This table is separated into four parts based on life history stage. Abbreviations: SS = Scotian Shelf; Nfld = Newfoundland; GOSL = Gulf of St. Lawrence; PB = Passamaquoddy Bay; GOM = Gulf of Maine; GB = Georges Bank; Mass Bay = Massachusetts Bay; SNE = southern New England (Nantucket Shoals to Hudson Canyon); MAB = Mid-Atlantic Bight.

SPAWNING/EGGS

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Currents/Circulation	Light/Vertical
Anderson and de Young (1995)	Northeastern Nfld shelf	Studied vertical distribution and relative condition of eggs.	Temperature has effect on vertical distribution	Salinity (water density) has effect on vertical distribution	---	Eggs in poor condition found deeper in water column.
Bigelow and Schroeder (1953)	GOM	Pelagic. Spawn Mass Bay 3-10 miles from shore Nov.-Apr.; Ipswich Bay Feb-May; west coast Maine Mar.-May (into mid-summer). Also Isles of Shoals, Casco Bay, Sheepscot River. Always < 50 fm.	Bottom temperatures 0.6-8.9°C for spawning (2.2-5.6°C in Mass Bay). 5.0-8.3°C optimum for hatching. High mortalities at 0°C.	Sink in spring freshets	Drift southwest following coastline, 10-30 days	Near surface if salinities high
Bonnet (1939)	Lab study	Ipswich Bay. Spawns at yearly minimum temperature (March)	0.5-3.0°C. 12°C upper limit for development.	---	Eggs spawned in Ipswich bay would drift 120 miles before larvae settled to bottom.	---
Brander and Hurley (1992)	SS	Spring spawning proceeds from southwest to northeast along shelf.	---	---	Spawning matches production of copepods.	---
Cohen <i>et al.</i> (1990)	North Atlantic	Most productive area in western North Atlantic is eastern half of GB and Grand Banks, followed by southwest GOM.	0-12°C with most 0-6°C. GOM stock spawns in colder water than others.	---	---	Spawn near bottom, unless temperatures unsuitable, then migrate into water column.
Colton (1978)	GOM	Spawn in Nantucket Shoals and Mass Bay, Jan.-Apr. (peak Jan.). Also Georges and Browns Banks, Ipswich Bay, southwest GOM.	---	---	---	---
Fish (1928)	Mass Bay, southwest GOM	Peak spawning in Mass Bay in January	10.1°C (Nov.) to 0°C (Jan.).	---	Advection out of Mass Bay by currents.	---
Hanke <i>et al.</i> (2000)	SS, eastern GOM, Bay of Fundy; 1975-1997	Evidence for a spring and fall spawning, but with regional differences. In March-April spawning observed off southwestern Nova Scotia including Browns Bank, GB, and the Emerald/Western/Sable Island Bank area. Spawning occurs again in November/December on GB and entire Nova Scotia coast, west of Grand Manan and on Western/Sable Island/Banquereau Bank.	---	---	---	---

Appendix 1. cont'd.

SPAWNING/EGGS

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Currents/Circulation	Light/Vertical
Hardy (1978)	GB, GOM	Pelagic. Spawn in inlets, bays, harbors, coastal and offshore banks. Usually < 73 m.	0-6°C for spawning. 2.0-8.5°C optimum for incubation	Spawning salinity thru range 10.0-35.5 ppt. Eggs sink in spring freshets. High mortality at low salinities (9.9-12.5 ppt).	---	Upper 10 m. Sink with age.
Lough <i>et al.</i> (1994)	GB, January-June 1982 vs. 1985	Particles tracked from Northeast Peak spawning in monthly mean flow fields and the 1982 and 1985 wind stresses from Feb through May.	---	---	Greater losses of particles in surface layers < 25 m along southern flank and Northeast Peak when wind stress along-shelf to the northeast. Results consistent with greater losses in 1982 associated with strong northeast wind in April.	Particles released in six horizontal layers.
Lough <i>et al.</i> (1996)	GB, May 1992	Vertical distribution of eggs at mixed and stratified sites on southern flank.	Mixed site: 4-7°C. Stratified site: 4-10°C.	---	Inferred southwest transport along southern flank.	At shoal site, eggs distributed through water column. At stratified site, eggs most abundant in surface 20 m with maximum density just above base of thermocline at 20-10 m.
Lough <i>et al.</i> (2002)	GB, January-May 1977-1987	Modeling specific year, weekly transport and retention of eggs from Northeast Peak and Western GB spawning areas.	---	---	Specific year flow fields estimated from January to July.	Considerable wind loss of particles at surface; retention consistent at depth (30 m). High recruitment occurred during years of high retention.
Miller <i>et al.</i> (1995)	SS, Oct.-May, 1991-1993	Peak spawning during fall.	Temperature (more than season) exerts most influence on egg size (and hatchling size).	---	---	---
Mountain <i>et al.</i> (2003)	GB, monthly surveys January-July 1995, 1996	Peak egg abundance in February-March on Northeast Peak of GB	---	---	Advection of egg cohorts consistent with mean climatological pattern. Seasonal egg mortality rates 12-14% d ⁻¹ .	---

Appendix 1. cont'd.

SPAWNING/EGGS

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Currents/Circulation	Light/Vertical
O'Brien <i>et al.</i> (2003)	GB, 1978-2000	Egg survivorship was significantly related to age diversity of repeat spawners, spatial distribution of eggs, and bottom temperature.	---	---	---	---
Page <i>et al.</i> (1999)	GB, bi-monthly	Inferred mean spawning locations compared with patterns in particle residence times and locations.	---	---	Spawning occurs at times and locations characterized by model residence times >35 days: Northeast Peak during March-April.	Particles released at 1, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 m depth in mean climatological bi-monthly flow fields.
Rau (1974)	Browns Bank, GB, Nantucket Shoals, February-March 1973	Most eggs found over central and northeast GB.	Most collected at 3-5°C.	Most collected at 32-33 ppt.	---	---
Valerio <i>et al.</i> (1992)	Nfld	Studied freezing resistance of eggs and larvae. No antifreeze proteins detected.	If chorion intact, capable of undercooling to -4.0°C. Froze at -4.1 to -17.0°C.	---	---	---
Werner <i>et al.</i> (1993)	GB, March-April	Modeling mean March-April transport of eggs from Northeast Peak.	---	---	Eggs in surface advected off bank, but below surface transported to southwest and retained on-bank if shoalward of 70-m isobath.	Vertical position of eggs specified in simulations based on day and night field observations.

Appendix 1. cont'd.

LARVAE

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Currents/ Circulation	Light/ Vertical	Predators/ Prey (See Food Habits tables also)
Garrison <i>et al.</i> (2000)	GB, April-May 1990, 1994, 1995.	Spatial overlap of cod larvae and herring and mackerel predators on southern flank determined.	Larvae occurred mostly in well-mixed water where mean temperature < 7°C.	Mean range: 32.2-32.7 psu	Intrusions of Scotian Shelf water and Slope water increased spatial overlap of predators.	---	Atlantic herring and Atlantic mackerel as they migrate northward in the spring and overlap with patches of larvae on the southern flank of GB.
Hanke <i>et al.</i> (2000)	SS, 1975-1982.	Composite data from several programs. Fall and spring spawning populations observed, progressively older larvae found on western SS.	---	---	---	---	---
Laurence (1978)	Laboratory study	Growth rates increase with increasing temperatures.	4°C: 4.15%/d. 7°C: 6.67%/d. 10°C: 8.75%/d.	---	---	---	---
Lough and Bolz (1989)	GB, April, May 1981, May 1983	Consistent cross-shelf age gradient with older larvae found nearer the shoals.	---	---	Average shoalward age gradient consistent with near-bottom cross-isobath current of ca. 1 cm s ⁻¹ .	Retention of larvae on the shoals enhanced by residing nearer to bottom in waters < 70 m.	---
Lough and Potter (1993)	GB, spring and summer 1981-1986	Vertical distribution patterns of eggs, larvae, and juveniles described from spawning to settlement.	Range: 4-14°C.	Range: 32.5-33.2 ppt	---	Larvae distributed throughout mixed water column, but concentrated within or above thermocline when strongly stratified. Older larvae deeper by day and shoaler by night indicating diel vertical migration.	---

Appendix 1. cont'd.

LARVAE

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Currents/ Circulation	Light/ Vertical	Predators/ Prey (See Food Habits tables also)
Lough and Manning (2001)	GB, May 1997	Model simulation of larvae near the developing tidal front on southern flank.	Surface signature of tidal front 7°C.	Sigma-t values given.	Complex frontal circulation of converging and diverging cells.	Vertical positioning important. Larvae caught in near surface jet transported southwest along flank, while larvae near bottom advected shoalward across the front.	---
Lough and Mountain (1996)	GB, April-May 1981; May 1983.	Effects of small-scale turbulence on larval feeding in well-mixed and stratified water on southern flank.	Turbulence minimal at or below pycnocline (ca. 25 m).	---	Higher turbulence near surface due to wind mixing and at depth due to shear in the tidal current near bottom.	Maximum feeding occurs at low to intermediate turbulence levels where prey is >10-20 prey l ⁻¹ ; i.e., near pycnocline.	See Food Habits table.
Lough <i>et al.</i> (1994)	GB, January-June 1982 vs. 1985	Particles tracked from Northeast Peak spawning in monthly mean flow fields and the 1982 and 1985 wind stresses from Feb through May.	---	---	Greater losses of particles in surface layers < 25 m along southern flank and Northeast Peak when wind stress along-shelf to the northeast.	Particles released in six horizontal layers.	---
					Results consistent with greater losses in 1982 associated with strong northeast wind in April.		
Lough <i>et al.</i> (1996)	GB, May 1992	Vertical distribution of larvae at mixed and stratified sites on southern flank.	Mixed site: 4-7°C. Stratified site: 4-10°C.	---	Inferred southwest transport along southern flank.	At shoal site, larvae distributed through water column. At stratified site, larvae most abundant in surface 20 m with maximum density just above base of thermocline at 20-10 m.	---

Appendix 1. cont'd.

LARVAE

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Currents/ Circulation	Light/ Vertical	Predators/ Prey (See Food Habits tables also)
Lough <i>et al.</i> (2005)	GB, May 1993, 1994	Biophysical 1-D growth model used to compare field derived growth rates (RNA-DNA based) at stratified sites on southern flank. Model includes effect of light on larval feeding response.	Thermocline near 20 m. Temperatures above 20 m, 7-9°C; below 20 m, 6-7°C.	---	Higher turbulence near surface due to wind mixing and at depth due to shear in the tidal current near bottom.	Vertical growth profiles resulted from depth-dependent food limitation and prey selectivity coupled with greater metabolic costs induced by higher temperatures in May 1994. Minimum light level for feeding typically near 60 m depth, so that most of water column had non-limiting feeding. Possible feeding inhibition in surface 10 m due to high light levels.	---
Mountain <i>et al.</i> (2003)	GB, monthly surveys January-July 1995, 1996.	Peak abundance in March-April on southern flank GB.	---	---	Movement of larval cohorts between surveys consistent with mid-depth climatological flow fields around GB.	Larvae concentrated in middle and upper part of water column.	---
Myers and Drinkwater (1989)	MAB, GB, Grand Banks	Examined effect of warm core ring activity on recruitment success in 17 groundfish stocks, 1973-1986.	---	---	Increased ring activity reduced recruitment in all stocks except GB cod.	Rings presumably entrained larvae of most stocks offshore.	---

Appendix 1. cont'd.

LARVAE

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Currents/ Circulation	Light/ Vertical	Predators/ Prey (See Food Habits tables also)
Perry and Neilson (1988)	GB	Studied diel vertical distributions of cod and haddock late larvae in isothermal and stratified sites.	Thermocline may limit nightly upward migration.	---	---	Near bottom during day, in midwater at night. Migrations in reaction to light levels. Late larval haddock did not change depth as much as cod larvae.	---
Rau (1974)	Browns Bank, GB, Nantucket Shoals, February-March 1973	Most larvae (2-7 mm) between northeast GB and Nantucket Shoals.	Most collected 3-5°C.	Most collected 32-33 ppt.	---	---	---
Suthers <i>et al.</i> (1989)	SS	Recent growth in presumed inshore nursery area was less than in offshore waters, based on examination of birthdate distributions.	Temperature only rarely correlated with growth.	---	---	---	Growth rate strongly correlated with zooplankton biomass.
Werner <i>et al.</i> (1993)	GB	Examined tidal currents, wind stress, Scotian Shelf inflow, advection and vertical distribution of larvae on Northeast Peak. Spawning shoalward of 70 m isobath enhances eventual retention of larvae on Georges Bank.	---	---	Larvae in surface layers subject to off-shelf advection via Ekman transport. Downwelling near shelf break allows larvae to avoid advection.	---	---

Appendix I. cont'd.

JUVENILES

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Substrate/Vegetation	Currents/Circulation	Light/Diel	Predators/Prey (See Food Habits tables also)
Clark and Green (1990)	Conception Bay, Nfld	Studied diel, depth, seasonal movements in Broad Cove. Seasonal change in diel behavior due to disappearance of shallow (< 30 m) summer thermocline.	Summer: day: 4.1-4.6°C; night: 10-12°C. Fall: stayed in warmer water.	---	Summer: wide-ranging (> 3 km/day), between deep, cold and shallow, warmer water. Fall: small home ranges over sand in shallows; resting areas over rocks in shallows.	---	Summer: day, inactive; night, active. Fall: day, active; night, inactive.	Active periods coincide with feeding.
Fraser <i>et al.</i> (1996)	Laboratory Study	Studied interactions of 0+, 1+, and 3+ (predator) cod and their reactions to two different substrate types: sand/cobble and sand/gravel.	---	---	Some habitat segregation between Age 0+ and Age 1+, except when Age 3+ present, then both hid in cobble.	---	---	When predator present, 0+ and 1+ cod used same refuge (cobble).
Gotceitas and Brown (1993)	Laboratory study	Studied substrate preference with and without a predator (e.g., a larger cod) present.	---	---	Cobble preferred over finer grained substrates when predator present. After predator leaves, larger juveniles return to fine grains, smaller remain in cobble.	---	---	Fewer juveniles succumb to predation in cobble than in finer grained substrates.
Gotceitas <i>et al.</i> (1994)	Trinity Bay, Nfld and laboratory studies, 1993	Nearshore bay, various substrates. July-mid-December.	---	---	Predator absent: preferred finer grains and avoided vegetation. Predator present: preferred cobble and hide in vegetation.	---	---	See Substrate/Vegetation column
Gotceitas <i>et al.</i> (1995)	Nfld	Studied reactions of 0+ cod to predator in combinations of substrates and artificial 'kelp'.	---	---	With no predator, 0+ prefer fine grain substrates, avoid 'kelp'. When predator present, 'kelp' provides protection from predation.	---	---	Juveniles select refuge type (cobble or 'kelp') when predator present.
Gotceitas <i>et al.</i> (1997)	Nfld	Studied vegetated and non-vegetated habitats, plus several bottom substrates with and without predator using SCUBA and seines.	---	---	Eelgrass used as nearshore nursery by 0+ cod. For refuge from predation and when combined with cobble, stem density was important.	---	---	Predator absent: 0+ used sand and gravel. Predator present: 0+ hid in cobble or eelgrass.
Grant and Brown (1998a)	Nfld	Studied diel distribution in eelgrass habitat and diet differences between 0+ and 1+ cod.	---	---	After settlement in grass beds, Age 0+ change habits on diel basis.	---	Age 0+ in water column during day, disperse to bottom at night. Older year classes do opposite.	Age 0+ feed mostly on zooplankton during day; Age 1+ mostly on benthos and fish at night.

Appendix 1. cont'd.

JUVENILES

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Substrate/ Vegetation	Currents/ Circulation	Light/Diel	Predators/ Prey (See Food Habits tables also)
Grant and Brown (1998b)	Nfld	Studied encounters between just-settled juveniles and older cod (predators) in eelgrass and no-eelgrass habitats in Trinity Bay.	---	---	After settlement, juveniles display preference for eelgrass beds, but remain localized over grass and no-grass habitats for several weeks, perhaps through first winter.	---	Juveniles aggregate in grass beds during day, disperse at night. Different pattern by older cod results in reduced encounters.	Risk of cannibalism high in coastal habitats. Localized movements and preference for grass beds are mechanisms to avoid predation.
Gregory and Anderson (1997a)	Placentia Bay, Nfld. April, October / November 1995	Submersible and QTC View acoustical seabed classification system for habitat use by age 1-4 juveniles. Occurred most abundantly at 60-120 m.	5.5°C at surface, declining to minus 1.0°C at 75 m.	---	Substrate selection was age specific. Age 1 cod found primarily in areas with gravel substrate and low relief. Age 2-4 cod found mostly associated with coarse substrate and high relief. Macroalgae cover substrate not selected by either group.	Slight tidal current (max 0.1 to 0.2 m s ⁻¹).	Suggest diel movements do not occur among juveniles at spring water temperatures (~1.0°C).	Predator avoidance behavior indicates young mottled individuals rely on crypsis, whereas older uniform-colored individuals associated with a specific physical feature.
Hardy (1978)	Northwest Atlantic	Coastal waters, rock pools, shallow inlets, river mouths, harbors. Leave coastal areas by mid-June (Massachusetts). 0+ average 35 m (range 8-42 m); 1+ range 73-274 m.	Range 6-20°C	From < 31.3 to 35.0 ppt.	---	---	---	---
Keats (1990)	Bonavista Bay, Nfld	Examined diel depth distributions of juveniles.	---	---	---	---	Arrive in shallow water at dusk, remain until pre-dawn, then migrate into deeper water.	
Keats and Steele (1992)	Bonavista Bay, Nfld. May-August 1986	Described in Keats (1990).	---	---	---	---	Juveniles move into shallow water at night and mostly rest near bottom.	Diet consists mostly of planktonic prey taken during daytime.
Keats <i>et al.</i> (1987)	Conception Bay, Eastern Nfld	Observations of juveniles in macroalgal habitat and adjacent sea-urchin dominated 'barrens'.	---	---	More abundant in macroalgal areas, used as cover, than in 'barrens'.	---	Diel not tested	Epiphytic food source not utilized.

Appendix 1. cont'd.

JUVENILES

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Substrate/Vegetation	Currents/Circulation	Light/Diel	Predators/Prey (See Food Habits tables also)
Laurel <i>et al.</i> (2003)	Bonavista Bay, Nfld. Summer/autumn 1999 and 2000	Various sizes of artificial eelgrass mats deployed with tethered age 0-yr cod to monitor local predation rates.	---	---	Artificial eelgrass mats of 5 sizes.	---	---	Predation rates negatively correlated with patch size, suggesting that larger patches reduced predator foraging ability. However, high predator densities in largest eelgrass mat resulted in higher rates of predation than expected.
Laural <i>et al.</i> (2004)	Bonavista Bay, Nfld 1996, 1998-2001.	Shallow coastal areas < 6 m depth with substrate varying from mud to bedrock, often associated with vegetative cover, eelgrass being the most common. Bi-weekly seine surveys, mark-recapture and lab experiments conducted.	---	---	Cod initially settle in August/September, and again in October, mostly associated with eelgrass but periodically over sand as density in eelgrass increased. Cod formed tighter aggregations over sand than eelgrass. Mark-recapture experiments indicated movement between sites. Habitat suitability dynamic rather than fixed variable.	---	---	Eelgrass supports higher densities of prey such as pelagic and epiphytic zooplankton.
Lindholm <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Aquarium experiments on predation of 0-year juveniles by 3+ cod over 5 seafloor habitats.	Habitats vary in complexity to mimic the range of impacts of mobile fishing gear given a gradient in fishing effort.	Aquarium maintained at 8-10°C.	---	Experimental habitats: sand, cobble, minimum density short sponge, maximum density short sponge, and tall sponge. Significant decrease in 0-yr mortality with epifaunal density compared to flat sand. Epifaunal density found to be more significant than epifaunal height in reducing 0-yr mortality.	---	12 h light/dark regime.	---
Lough <i>et al.</i> (1989)	GB	Descend to bottom at 4-6 cm. 0+ (newly settled) fish dense on northeastern GB, 70-100 m depth during summer.	---	---	Pebble-gravel deposits.	Fall, transported southeastward by gyre.	Migrate into lower water column at night to feed on invertebrates.	Coloration mimics substrate, reduces vulnerability to predation.

Appendix 1. cont'd.

JUVENILES

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Substrate/ Vegetation	Currents/ Circulation	Light/Diel	Predators/ Prey (See Food Habits tables also)
MacDonald <i>et al.</i> (1984)	Bay of Fundy and PB	Juveniles in PB in winter, close to beach in summer. (See "Adults")	0-6°C in winter; 8-13°C in summer.	30-31 ppt winter; 31-32 ppt summer.	Mud, gravel, rock in winter; sand, mud, rock in summer.	---	---	---
Murawski and Finn (1988)	GB	Evaluated species co-occurrences relative to temperature and depth preferences and spatial distribution by species and age. Overlap with silver hake, mostly in fall. See also "Adults"	YOY means: winter: 2.9°C, spring: 5.3°C, summer: 9.9°C, fall: 9.3°C.	---	YOY means: winter: 56 m, spring: 60 m, summer: 71 m, fall: 71 m.	---	---	---
Tatyankin (1972)	Barents Sea, 1967-1969 (laboratory study)	Determined preferred temperatures in gradient tank. In general, lower temperatures selected in winter, higher in summer. Older age classes preferred colder temperatures than younger.	Age 0+, summer: 7-11 °C. Age 1, winter: 3-6°C. Age 1+, fall: 5-8°C. Age 2, winter: 2-7°C.	---	---	---	---	---
Tupper and Boutilier (1995)	St. Margaret's Bay, Nova Scotia	Studied survival and 0+ densities in four different bottom habitats (sand, seagrass, cobble, rock-reef).	---	---	Settlement equal among habitats, but subsequent densities highest in structurally complex habitat types.	---	---	Higher survival and densities appear to be related to shelter opportunities and reduced predation.

Appendix I. cont'd.

JUVENILES

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Substrate/Vegetation	Currents/Circulation	Light/Diel	Predators/Prey (See Food Habits tables also)
Wigley and Serchuk (1992)	GB, SNE. 1982-1986. Commercial landings data and spring and fall research-vessel data.	Ages 1-4 distributed at different depths in spring (57, 58, 68, 86 m, respectively). During autumn age 3 fish co-occurred with age 1-2 fish at 86 m. 0-group fish mean depth at 69 m. Seasonal shift in age 2 fish. Both the Nantucket Shoals and GB populations move to deeper water in the summer/fall along the 100 m contour, the western side of the Great South Channel, and the Northern Edge and Northeast Peak areas. Distribution patterns delineated more by depth than temperature. 0-group in autumn distinct from all other age-groups with respect to temperature and depth.	Mean temperatures for all age groups 5.3°C in spring and 9.2°C in autumn. Mean temperature for 0-group 10.0°C. Seasonal shifts most likely associated with temperature.	---	---	---	---	Fall occurrence of some age-3 fish with ages 1-2 may be related to diet.

Appendix 1. cont'd.

ADULTS

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Depth/ Substrate/ Vegetation	Currents/ Circulation	Light/ Diel/ Vertical	Predators/ Prey (See Food Habits tables also)
Bigelow and Schroeder (1953)	GOM	Non-migratory in GOM. Surface to 250 fm, but few > 100 fm. Most 5-75 fm. Usually within 1 fm of bottom. As shallow as 7 fm in summer, 3 fm in winter.	0-12.8°C. Prefer < 10.0 °C.	---	Mostly rocky, pebbly, sandy, or gravelly bottoms.	---	---	Large sharks and spiny dogfish.
Colvocoresses and Musick (1984)	MAB, continental shelf	Analyzed faunal associations, and zones occupied seasonally. Occurs with <i>Pseudopleuronectes americanus</i> and <i>Hemirhamphus americanus</i> .	Boreal species, spring, < 10°C. "Relatively absent" during fall.	---	< 100 m.	---	---	---
Helser and Brodziak (1996)	GOM, GB, SNE, MAB	Demonstrated seasonal differences in depth and bottom temperature preferences.	Spring: < 4.9 °C; Fall: weaker association with temperatures.	---	Spring: < 72 m; Fall: weaker association with depth	---	---	---
Jean (1965)	GOSL; SS	GOSL: 35-145 m in summer; 130-180 m in winter. SS: 65-110 m in summer; 90-135 m in winter.	GOSL: 0-6°C in summer; 1-3 °C in winter. SS: 1-8°C in summer; 2-4 °C in winter.	---	---	---	---	---
Link and Garrison (2002)	SS, GOM, GB, SNE, MAB. Spring and fall research survey cruises 1973-1998. 25-yr time series of food habits data.	Omnivorous diet shifted significantly over 3 decades concurrent with forage species abundance and distribution.	---	---	---	---	---	Cod are opportunistic feeders, prefer sand lance, Cancer spp., crabs and herring, regardless of abundance or overlap. Early juveniles consumed more pelagic than benthic invertebrates, medium cod consumed benthic invertebrates and fish, and larger cod consumed larger amounts of fish. Cannibalism increased with size.

Appendix 1. cont'd.


ADULTS

Authors	Study Area and Period	Habitat (Spatial and Temporal)	Temperature	Salinity	Depth/ Substrate/ Vegetation	Currents/ Circulation	Light/ Diel/ Vertical	Predators/ Prey (See Food Habits tables also)
MacDonald <i>et al.</i> (1984)	Bay of Fundy and PB	Adults in PB summer; GOM, SS winter. (See "Juveniles").	8-13°C summer; 4-8°C winter.	31-32 ppt in summer; 31-32 ppt in winter.	Mud, rock in summer.	---	---	---
Mountain and Murawski (1992)	SS, GOM, GB, SNE, MAB. Spring NEFSC research survey cruises 1963-1990.	Significant correlation between GB weighted mean catch and areal average temperature, but unable to determine if distributional change either a north-south shift or change in water depth.	Significant decadal changes in spring temperatures from cold 1960's to warmer 1970's and intermediate 1980's. Interannual variations of $\pm 2-4^\circ\text{C}$ observed in all shelf regions.	---	---	---	---	---
Murawski and Finn (1988)	GB	Evaluated species co-occurrences relative to temperature and depth preferences and spatial distribution by species and age. Overlap with silver hake, mostly in fall. Also see "Juveniles"	Age 1+ means in winter: 4.2°C ; spring: 5.4°C ; summer: 8.0°C ; fall: 9.3°C .	---	Age 1+ means in winter: 88 m; spring: 67 m; summer: 72 m; fall: 84 m.	---	---	---
Odense <i>et al.</i> (1966)	Bay of Chaleur (laboratory study)	Studied tolerance to low salinity.	$5-6^\circ\text{C}$ (not manipulated).	First mortalities when salinities reached 2.7 ppt; complete mortality at 2.3 ppt.	---	---	---	---
Rose and Leggett (1988)	GOSL	Onshore movements and inshore abundance of cod were affected by winds, upwellings, and downwellings.	Cod usually located where temps -0.5 to 8.5°C .	---	---	When alongshore winds create temperature changes, cod numbers decrease.	---	---
Rose and Leggett (1989)	GOSL	Cod were aggregated within narrow temperature range, unless prey present, then found in wider range.	Without prey, usually between 0 and 5°C .	---	---	---	---	When capelin present, range - 0.5 to 8.5°C .
Scott (1982a)	SS, Bay of Fundy	Determined preferred depths, temperatures, and salinities for several groundfish species. Compared to other gadoids, cod prefers shallower, colder, less saline waters.	$0-13^\circ\text{C}$ (mean 4.9°C). Preferred temperature showed increase northeast to southwest, means 3.2 to 7.8°C .	31-34 ppt (mean 32.8 ppt).	27-366 + m, (mean 95 m). Preferred range 37-90 m.	---	---	---
Tyler (1971)	PB compared to bays south. Analyzed regular and periodic components in fish community.	Cod was member of 'regular' community (present throughout year), but most abundant March-April.	As annual temperature fluctuations increase (in southern bays), fewer 'regular' species.	$29.5-29.6$ ppt in Mar-Apr.; 32.3 ppt in Sept.	Sampled brown mud bottom, sloping from 38-55 m.	---	---	---



3

Sally McGee
 Chair, Habitat/MPA/Ecosystem Committee
 New England Fishery Management Council
 50 Water Street, Mill 2
 Newburyport, MA 01950



September 4, 2007

Re: New England Fishery Management Council consideration of Habitat Area of Particular Concern in the Great South Channel

Dear Ms. McGee,

Oceana encourages the New England Fishery Management Council to designate a Habitat Area of Particular Concern (HAPC) in the Great South Channel at its September, 2007 meeting. Through its many incarnations and modifications, the HAPC alternative for this area has continued to focus on the western side of the channel and its value as important juvenile cod EFH, a cod nursery.

To demonstrate our basis for supporting this area as a key cod nursery for the region, Oceana has prepared the attached report detailing 1) the value of complex habitat to cod survival, 2) the presence of this kind of rare habitat within the proposed HAPC in the Great South Channel, and, 3) NMFS trawl survey data that shows a dense concentration of juvenile cod in this area throughout the trawl survey time series.

The current alternative overlaying newly defined juvenile cod EFH within the alternative 8 polygon was widely supported by the habitat Plan Development Team at its August meeting. The PDT membership supported this designation without exception or objection. The group cited the Great South Channel as one of three areas of high importance to juvenile cod in the region along with the Northern Edge of Georges Bank and the Western Gulf of Maine and noted that it should receive recognition equal to the recognition given to those areas. The PDT cited the area for HAPC designation as a 'cluster area' for juvenile cod based on 'uniqueness of function' and its 'distinctive features' including complex bottom and epifauna. As one of the members of the team expressed it at the meeting:

'Yes the area is definitely hard bottom. There are descriptions of complex epifauna. Horse mussels, stalked sea anemones, sponges....'

Collectively this information augments the information that has been prepared to date analyzing this area as an HAPC and clearly indicates the need for designation. We support the PDT conclusion that this area fully satisfies the criteria to be designated, especially as the area has now been approved by the Council's scientific advisors.

Oceana supports the current modification to the Great South Channel HAPC and believes that this proposed designation should receive the support of the habitat committee.

Thank you,

Gib Brogan
 Oceana
 Wayland, MA

The Role of Healthy Habitat in Cod Recruitment: A 'Bottleneck' to Recovery?

Since 1991, recruiting year classes for Georges Bank cod have all been below the long term average and the 2000 and 2001 year classes were the lowest in the time series. The NEFSC spring and autumn bottom trawl survey indices continued to remain near record lows in 2002.

—GARM II (2005) discussion of Georges Bank Cod, p. 2-2

OVERVIEW

New England stocks of Atlantic cod have suffered from decades of overfishing beginning with foreign fishing and continuing after the 1976 Magnuson-Stevens Act. Both Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank cod populations have failed to recover despite a series of stringent regulations on directed cod catch throughout the region.

The most recent stock assessment (GARM II) in 2005 showed both stocks of cod to be overfished and that overfishing was continuing to occur. In basic terms, there were too few fish for the stocks to be considered healthy and too many from both stocks of cod were being caught each year. Even more alarming is the fact that the already depleted biomass of the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank cod stocks decreased 21.1% and 24.9% respectively in just 3 years between 2001 and 2004.

There are a wide range of reasons that cod have not recovered in New England but two primary causes are at the root of the problem: chronic overfishing and poor survival of juveniles.

OVERFISHING

The principal cause of the plight of New England cod is the fact that the New England groundfish fishery has caught too much of both stocks of cod to allow them to recover. Although a series of increasingly more conservative target catch levels have been developed by the New England Fishery Management Council, the fishery routinely exceeds these levels each year without recourse. The result is that chronic overfishing continues to drive Atlantic cod to the brink of economic extinction.

To address the problem of overfishing, the Magnuson-Stevens Reauthorization Act of 2007 added strict mandates to keep catch (landings plus bycatch) within scientifically-approved levels and to ensure accountability of all fisheries including the New England cod fishery.

Abiding by these strict new requirements and reducing the number of fish caught each year may not be enough to keep rebuilding on its intended path- too few juvenile fish are surviving to replenish the stocks.

RECRUITMENT LEVELS

Another root cause of cod's failure to recover in New England is the poor survival rates of juvenile cod in the last decade. Juvenile cod are simply not surviving to become the next generation and build the stocks to healthy levels. Two recent assessments (Mayo and Col, 2006; and O'Brien et al, 2006) from the Northeast Fisheries Science Center identify poor juvenile survival as a cause for Atlantic cod's failure to recover.

Healthy Habitat

Juvenile cod are known to rely heavily on structurally-complex gravel habitat with emergent epifauna (sponges, tube worms, bryozoans, etc) for food and predation avoidance. It is believed that the heterogeneous habitat created by emergent epifauna creates a nursery area for juvenile cod. Scientific work in both field and laboratory settings has highlighted the value of gravel and cobble to juvenile cod survival and linked post-settlement survivorship of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) with the complexity of the seafloor to which fish settle. The availability of suitable habitat has been suggested to be an important limiting factor, or 'bottleneck', to benthic recruitment on Georges Bank (Lough et al. 1989).

Among other things field and laboratory studies have found that:

- recently-settled, 0-group, juvenile cod were primarily found in pebble-gravel habitat at 70-100 m depths on eastern Georges Bank. They hypothesized that the gravel enhanced survival through predator avoidance. The authors considered increased prey abundance to be another explanation for the abundance of juvenile cod on gravel. (Lough et al. (1989)).
- cod survival and juvenile post-settlement densities were highest in more complex habitats. Juvenile survival was highest on rock reef and cobble and that cod settling on a rocky reef inhabited crevices in the reef and defended territories around the crevices.. Tupper and Boutillier (1995 a and b)
- juvenile cod survive best on gravel habitat, especially where structure-forming invertebrates such as sponges, tube worms, and other attached species increase the complexity of the seabed (USGS, Fact Sheet, FS 061-01, 2001)
- in the presence of a predator, juvenile cod choose cobble if available, and the cobble reduced predations. (Gotceitas and Brown, 1993)

Collectively, these studies show that habitat complexity significantly affects cod survival rates from the post-settlement pelagic stage well into the demersal juvenile stage and suggests that survivorship is greater in habitats of higher complexity (e.g., pebble-cobble substratum with emergent marine life > pebble-cobble > sand) where cover provides shelter from predators.

Degradation of Complex Habitat Decreases Recruitment of Juvenile Cod

Gravel and cobble hard bottom habitat is highly susceptible to long-term damage by bottom-tending mobile gear. The reports of the NRC and other groups have repeatedly affirmed that mobile bottom-tending fishing gears can cause lasting damage to hard bottom habitats that may take tens of years to recover (NRC, 2002).

Specific to Atlantic cod, Lindholm et al. (1999) found that the presence of sponge significantly reduced predation of juvenile Atlantic cod compared to sand habitats and concluded that alteration of seafloor habitat by fishing, which removes sponge cover, could lower survival rates for juvenile cod.

Damage of habitat by mobile fishing gears significantly reduces its benefits for juvenile cod, and has been suggested to be a possible 'bottleneck' to Georges Bank juvenile cod survival and recruitment. (Lough et al. 1989).

The end result is that conserving healthy hard bottom habitat is essential to improve cod recruitment. This is a vital step to complement the end of overfishing of cod which collectively will improve the likelihood of rebuilding overfished Atlantic cod stocks.

The Great South Channel

The Great South Channel is a deepwater gully that cuts between Nantucket Shoals and Cape Cod to the west and the massive plateau that is Georges Bank.

On the deep seafloor of the Great South Channel lie gravel, cobble, and hard bottom pavement habitats that are rare in the New England marine ecosystem (Workshop on the Effects of Fishing Gear on Marine Habitats off the Northeastern United States, October 23-25, 2001, Boston, Massachusetts, Appendix F.).

Gravel and Cobble Habitat Hold Juvenile Cod

Perhaps as a result of the rare gravel, cobble and hard bottom habitat contained in the area, the nearly forty years of trawl surveys data has shown this area to contain a dense concentration of juvenile cod in both fall and spring surveys data (see appendix A) which further supports that the area is important for juvenile cod to survive and its role in the ecosystem.

Designation of Cod Nursery EFH in the Great South Channel as HAPC and Subsequent Effective Management Will Increase the Efficacy of the Rebuilding Efforts for Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank Atlantic Cod stocks.

To craft effective long-term management actions to rebuild healthy stocks of Atlantic cod, New England's ocean managers must shepherd recovering cod stocks through each stage of their lifecycle rather than focusing almost exclusively on the catch of adult fish.

The 1996 SFA very clearly laid out requirements for identification, conservation and enhancement of Essential Fish Habitat to include:

WORKS CITED

"...waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity (Magnuson-Stevens Act, 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq)."

The works referenced herein demonstrate that areas in New England such as the Great South Channel contain significant densities of gravel, cobble and other hard bottom habitat. These areas are an important subset of Atlantic cod EFH and should receive designation that recognizes that fact. The cited papers also support actions by managers to provide special management attention to those areas.

The proposed modification of the previously introduced HAPC in the Great South Channel fully satisfies the requirements of the National Marine Fisheries Service and the New England Fishery Management Council for designation as an HAPC.

Approving the Great South Channel HAPC designation provides a proactive step for the Council to take to conserve the essential elements of the regional marine ecosystem. HAPC designation and subsequent reasonably protective management measures will enhance juvenile survival, move toward an end of overfishing and enhance the recovery of Atlantic cod stocks in the Gulf of Maine and on Georges Bank.

'The gravel habitat appears to favor the survival of recently-settled juveniles through predator avoidance and/or increased food availability associated with the frontal system.'

-Atlantic Cod EFH Source Document, 2004, p. 5

Goltertas, V. and J. A. Brown. 1993. Substrate selection by juvenile Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*): effects of predation risk. *Oecologia* 93:31-37.

Lindholm, J.B., P.J. Auster, and L.S. Kaufman. 1999. Habitat-mediated survivorship of juvenile (0-year) Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 180: 247-255.

Lough, R.G., P.C. Valentine, D.C. Potter, P.J. Audire, G.R. Bolz, J.D. Neilson, and R.I. Perry. 1989. Ecology and distribution of juvenile cod and haddock in relation to sediment type and bottom currents on eastern Georges Bank. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 56: 1-12.

Mayo, R. L.A. Col. 2005. The 2005 Assessment of the Gulf of Maine Atlantic Cod Stock, NMFS, Woods Hole Lab., 166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA 02543 March 2006

National Research council. 2002 Effects of Trawling and Dredging on Seafloor Habitat. Committee on Ecosystem Effects of Fishing: Phase 1 – Effects of Bottom Trawling on Seafloor Habitats. Washington, DC: National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences

NOAA Technical Memorandum, NMFS-NE-190, November 2004. Essential Fish Habitat Source Document: Atlantic Cod, *Gadus morhua*. Life History and Habitat Characteristics

O'Brien L, Shepherd N, Col L. 2006. Assessment of the Georges Bank Atlantic Cod Stock for 2005. Northeast Fish. Sci. Cent. Ref. Doc. 06-10;

Tupper, M. and R. G. Boutilier. 1995a. Size and priority at settlement determine growth and competitive success of newly settled Atlantic cod. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 118:295-300.

Tupper, M. and R. G. Boutilier. 1995b. Effects of habitat on settlement, growth, and postsettlement survival of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 52:1834-1841.

Workshop on the Effects of Fishing Gear on Marine Habitats off the Northeastern United States, October 23-25, 2001, Boston, Massachusetts, Appendix F, <http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/crd/crd0201/0201appf.htm>

Appendix A - Maps of Juvenile cod abundance in Fall and Spring National Marine Fisheries Service surveys. Reference: EFH Source Document, NMFS-NE-190, 2004.




Figure 11. Cont'd
From NEFSC spring bottom trawl surveys (1968-2003, all years combined). Survey stations where juveniles were not found are not shown.




Figure 11. Cont'd
From NEFSC fall bottom trawl surveys (1968-2003, all years combined). Survey stations where juveniles were not found are not shown.




Figure A7. Trends in stock biomass and recruitment for Georges Bank Atlantic cod, 1978-2004.
Horizontal line is the average recruitment for the time series.
SSBmsy=216,780mt (Not on graph)




Figure F8. Trends in recruitment (age 1) and biomass for Gulf of Maine cod.
SSBmsy=82,830 mt (Not on graph)



Oceana campaigns to protect and restore the world's oceans. Our teams of marine scientists, economists, lawyers and advocates win specific and concrete policy changes to reduce pollution and to prevent the irreversible collapse of fish populations, marine mammals and other sea life. Global in scope and dedicated to conservation, Oceana has campaigners based in North America, Europe and South America. More than 300,000 members and e-activists in over 150 countries have already joined Oceana. For more information, please visit www.oceana.org.

13 September 2007

Mr. John Pappalardo, Chairman NEFMC
New England Fishery Management Council
50 Water Street, Mill 2
Newburyport, MA 01950
Phone: (978) 465-0492
Email: jpappalardo@nefmc.org, pfiorelli@nefmc.org



Dear Mr. Pappalardo and members of the NEFMC:

I am writing on behalf of the Conservation Law Foundation to urge you to take very seriously the need to recognize a major Habitat Areas of Particular Concern within the Great South Channel – both to aid the recovery of dwindling Atlantic Cod populations and in recognition of the high value of this habitat area for regional marine biological diversity and ecosystem health.

A number of proposals have been advanced for HAPC designation, most recently *Alternative 8 modified* recommended by the Program Development Team. The recommendation of the PDT is based on a considerable effort to examine not just current and historical distributions of juvenile cod, but also a careful analysis of a number of key habitat attributes known to be important for young cod and other species. This modified proposal is substantially reduced in areal extent over the original GSC proposal. We strongly support designation of a substantial part of the GSC as HAPC. While the modified proposal will not offer as much ecological benefit as the original, we feel that a very strong case has been advanced in support of *Alternative 8 modified* as presented in the 30 August 2007 memorandum from the Habitat Plan Development Team. We urge you to designate this alternative as HAPC without any further reductions in area or fragmentation.

While the list of criteria for HAPC designation includes juvenile cod EFH, it is also important to remember that there are other criteria including “importance of historic or current ecological function.” CLF recently completed a scientific analysis with WWF-Canada, and a large number of the region’s scientists, to identify areas of high ecological significance within the Gulf of Maine, Georges Bank and the Scotian Shelf. The historic and current ecological import of the Great South Channel is enormous. The GSC provides important habitat for many different components of the ecosystem including a diversity of whales, dolphins, and fish. Our analyses illustrated the role of this area for three endangered species of whales and for a variety of demersal fishes.

In May 2005, we submitted an HAPC proposal to the council (Crawford and Fleming). In that proposal we examine the distributions of juvenile fishes belonging to several over-fished stocks, and these analyses also pointed to the high ecological value of this area.

The economic value of the current fisheries in the GSC is admittedly high, but what is the value of the integrity of the ecosystem overall, or the present value of a restored Atlantic cod population that will only emerge if the juvenile fishes that grow in the habitats of the GSC are allowed to mature before moving on to other areas? We believe that the answers to these latter questions are self-evident and should be the basis for Council action. The Conservation Law Foundation strongly supports the designation of a substantial HAPC in the GSC. We urge you not to further reduce the scale of the HAPC and to resist pressure to consider alternatives based on smaller fragments of this important area.

Sincerely,

John D. Crawford, PhD
Senior Scientist

KELLEY DRYE

COLLIER SHANNON

DIRECT LINE: (202) 342-8648

EMAIL: dfrulla@kelleydrye.com

September 13, 2007

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Mr. John Pappalardo, Chair
New England Fishery Management Council
50 Water Street, Mill 2
Newburyport, MA 01950

Ms. Sally McGee, Chair
Habitat/MPA/Ecosystems Committee
New England Fishery Management Council
50 Water Street, Mill 2
Newburyport, MA 01950

Re: HAPC proposal for the Great South Channel

Dear Mr. Pappalardo and Ms. McGee:

We represent the Fisheries Survival Fund ("FSF"), an association whose participants include the bulk of the Atlantic full-time, limited access scallop fishery permit holders. On behalf of the FSF, we appreciate the opportunity to provide the following comments on the Proposed Habitat Area of Particular Concern ("HAPC") for the Great South Channel.

The Atlantic scallop industry recognizes Phase 1's importance and understands that decisions made in this phase of the omnibus amendment process, in which essential fish habitat ("EFH") and HAPC for all New England region managed species are designated, will have significant ramifications when the Council takes up management measures in Phase 2. Occasional protestations to the contrary, an HAPC designation have the very real potential to limit or otherwise adversely affect the scallop area management regime under Amendment 10 to the Atlantic Scallop Fishery Management Plan. During Phase 1 of the Omnibus EFH Amendment process, FSF has provided citation to some of the leading scientific research that has been conducted with respect to the description of benthic habitat in the Georges Bank and Great South Channel areas and of the impacts of mobile gear, including scallop dredges.

Mr. John Pappalardo
Ms. Sally McGee
September 13, 2007
Page Two

SUMMARY OF FSF'S POSITION

Our letter addresses the June Council proposal to designate as HAPC the newly-designated essential fish habitat area for juvenile cod in the Great South Channel. Even as narrowed (modestly) by the Habitat PDT, this sweeping proposal would designate the area in the Channel between the 30 and 120 meter contours as HAPC.

FSF strongly opposes this proposed designation. It is unsupported by the available scientific information and could very well have dramatic adverse scallop management consequences. These are prime, historic fishing grounds. Indeed, the August 30, 2007, memorandum from the Habitat PDT to the Habitat Committee ("PDT Memorandum") explains, "Alternative 8 (Great South Channel HAPC) alone generated over \$80 million in revenues, by far the largest of any HAPC and more than all other HAPCs combined." PDT Memorandum, at 7.

The potential impact of HAPC designations on the overall very successful Amendment 10 area management regime cannot be ignored. Accordingly, and as NMFS regulations require, any HAPC area ultimately designated should be tailored precisely to valid and demonstrated legitimate regulatory purposes and should be no broader than reasonably necessary to achieve these purposes. This is what Congress meant when it required protection of EFH only "to the extent practicable." 16 U.S.C. § 1853(a)(7).

The analyses supporting an HAPC designation should also be rigorous and science-based. Such rationales have not been, and cannot be, advanced to support this proposed HAPC, based on the available information.

Counter-productively, moreover, if these areas are closed as HAPC, there will likely be adverse, if unintended, consequences on groundfish habitat as trawlers and scallopers are displaced from deeper, less complex areas in the Channel (*i.e.*, those areas deeper than the 50-meter contour on the western side of the Channel) to other, perhaps more sensitive areas.

As FSF has stated in earlier comments presented on May 21, 2007, if the Council feels compelled to designate part of the Channel as HAPC, despite previous conclusions by the Habitat PDT that no such area can reliably be identified, then the Council should look well inside the 50-meter contour on the west side of the Channel. Such an approach would represent a somewhat less arbitrary sub-set of the area currently under consideration.

Mr. John Pappalardo
Ms. Sally McGee
September 13, 2007
Page Three

We attach as Exhibit A, a chart containing a proposed area for an HAPC, if indeed the Council is determined to establish such an area. The area is inside the 50-meter contour on the west side of the Channel and includes the vast bulk of the demonstrably "hard bottom" areas of the Channel.

That area inside 50 meters has also had relatively higher survey catches of young cod (to the extent the Channel is surveyed). See PDT Memorandum, at 10-12 (Maps 7-9). In addition, these shallower areas are subject to relatively lower levels of natural disturbance (such as the strong current that runs through deeper areas of the Channel).¹ FSF submits this chart in the full expectation that the Council will reconsider and refine habitat closed areas in Phase 1. (FSF had expected that all these boundaries would have been reconsidered from a scientific and cumulative benefit and burden perspective in Phase 1. Such an analysis has not happened, and now there is the potential that virtually all Georges Bank and adjacent scallop grounds could be included in a groundfish closed/scallop access area, an existing habitat closed area, or an HAPC.)

RATIONALE FOR FSF'S POSITION

The Council's proposal to designate the juvenile cod EFH areas in the Channel as HAPC is incongruous as it sweeps in highly dynamic deeper water areas of the Channel. Prior discussions regarding how to divide the Channel by depth contour for HAPC purposes had, by contrast, focused on designating the shallower areas, where the bottom is demonstrated to be "harder" and more complex.

The Council's decision to consider this 30-120 meter alternative was, moreover, a remarkable turn-around. The Habitat Committee had unanimously recommended not to designate any HAPC in the Great South Channel. The Committee's decision was, in turn, based at least in part on the Habitat PDT's advice that it lacked information to determine any appropriate HAPC in the Channel. In yet another remarkable turn-around, the Habitat PDT, last month, decided to forward the proposal for a deep-water Channel HAPC.

The PDT did not, however, offer a rationale for the new HAPC proposal that has a level of rigor consistent with the import of an HAPC designation. Rather, the recommended area is based upon a presence/absence data for juvenile and young of the year cod. Presence/absence may be acceptable for an EFH designation, but it is highly problematic as the rationale for an HAPC. Furthermore, the presence/absence information is, itself, skewed. Very few, if any, surveys used

¹ The chart shows the 50 meter contour in dark blue. Other depths are listed in fathoms. The shaded area on the chart includes the ten-minute squares containing juvenile code EFH, but the area will need to be cropped on the east side by the juvenile cod EFH text description, which controls the HAPC area. The shaded area also includes a portion of an existing Habitat Closed Area.

Mr. John Pappalardo
Ms. Sally McGee
September 13, 2007
Page Four

to determine presence/absence were conducted in the hard-bottom areas in the shallower areas of the Channel (inside approximately inside 30 meters), so the survey information creates a misleading impression that younger cod are relatively more present in the deeper areas than in the shallower, more hard-bottom areas. Subsequent to the PDT meeting, the PDT Memorandum added the callous argument the Channel was an important habitat area because that was where fishermen fish. PDT Memorandum, at 14.

In addition, the Habitat PDT offered other overly-broad rationales for the equally overly-broad HAPC proposal. First, it observed that the "Channel" was, in its entirety, unique and worthy of protection as HAPC, without tying this observation to the available information regarding the various conditions in different parts of the Channel (bottom type, benthic composition, currents, and the like). *See* PDT Memorandum, at 15. Second, the Habitat PDT claimed that the Channel was especially sensitive to anthropogenic effects, such as fishing, because it had been fished heavily for decades and would continue to be fished. PDT Memorandum, at 15. In contrast, the fact that the Channel has been fished heavily, and remains productive, seems to support the opposite proposition, namely that the area is resilient—especially given that it is a high current, ever-changing area where species selecting that area are well-adapted to disturbance. *See* PDT Memorandum, at 14 ("Tidal and storm currents may range from moderate to strong, depending on location and storm activity. (Valentine, pers. comm.)"). A high energy area such as this is an area where fishing has much less of an adverse impact on habitat.

For its part, the Habitat PDT did not offer the Habitat Committee or Council much, if anything, in the way of a more refined area for consideration. "More refined" means narrower and more precise, because, designating the wrong places can lead to closures of prime fishing grounds, while effort is displaced onto more vulnerable bottom, causing a net loss of habitat value." Trevor Kenchington *et al.*, "Great South Channel HAPC Proposal, at 2 (undated) (emphasis added). The HAPC designation process "requires the identification of the area(s) of **most valuable or vulnerable** habitat for designation as HAPCs." *Id.* (emphasis added).

Notably, moreover, as explained above, the survey information that was assembled for the PDT's consideration, and that should be part of the Council's decision-making binder, does show decreasing catches of small (juvenile and young of the year) cod near and outside the 50 meter depth contour. But, the Habitat PDT's analysis did not descend to that level of detail.

Further, within the overly broad area proposed as HAPC, the University of Massachusetts School of Marine Sciences and Technology (SMAST) video survey information reveals "a clear division in substrate composition along the 50 m depth contour with more cobble and boulder substrates west of this line." Harris & Stokesbury, "Review of substrate composition and megafauna in the proposed Juvenile Cod HAPC in the Great South Channel," at 15 (undated). Significantly, the

Mr. John Pappalardo
Ms. Sally McGee
September 13, 2007
Page Five

Council invited this submission from Harris & Stokesbury last year in connection with Council review of publicly nominated HAPC proposals.² The SMAST high density video survey information is the most detailed available.

Juvenile cod appear to favor such areas with cobble and boulders. In addition, "In Massachusetts waters, young-of-the-year cod are known to be particularly abundant in shallow inshore waters, particularly inside the 20-meter contour in the spring but extending down perhaps 40 meters by the fall." Kenchington, at 2.

In contrast, "[s]ince scallops typically occupy areas of medium to coarse sand, granules and small pebbles, rather than cobbles, the scallop community can serve as a first indicator of areas in the Channel that should be outside the HAPC." *Id.* at 3. Scallop abundance, and scallop fishing in this area, generally occurs seaward of 50 meters. HAPC should thus be inside the 50 meter contour, and could be more tailored at 40 meters.

REAL-WORLD IMPACTS OF OVERLY BROAD HAPC DESIGNATION

FSF fears that overly broad designations of historically fished scallop grounds as HAPC could lead to inexorable pressure on the New England Council and the National Marine Fisheries Service to unjustifiably close³ such areas to scallop dredges, with attendant long-term losses to scallop area management and the scallop fishery.⁴ The Council's choices thus could have real-world scallop conservation and scallop fishery economic ramifications.

² We attach hereto as Exhibit B a copy of an August 13, 2007, paper by Harris & Stokesbury that presents the exact same video survey information as the prior, Council-solicited paper but overlays the new proposed HAPC boundary and other applicable management areas onto the SMAST benthic maps. This paper should provide the Committee and Council with a better visual context to evaluate the proposed HAPC area.

³ In fact, FSF and Associated Fisheries of Maine, Inc. submitted a joint letter on October 11, 2005, urging the Council to defer final action on HAPC proposals until the Council was ready to act on Phase 2. Unless these processes are linked, these organizations fear that the fact of a pre-existing HAPC designation would be used as a rationale to justify a closure—the most onerous measure available to protect a habitat area—without consideration of the other issues attendant to the choice of EFH management measures, such as their practicability and impacts on relevant fisheries and communities.

⁴ The impacts of scallop fishing on the scallop resource in these historical scallop fishing areas can be accommodated very well under current management methods. Scallop dredging has occurred in the Great South Channel for many decades, if not a century. Indeed, much more intense scallop fishing activity occurred prior to the limited access program and management regime established by Amendments 4 and then 10 to the Scallop FMP, yet these areas remain among the most productive for scallops in the world.

Mr. John Pappalardo
Ms. Sally McGee
September 13, 2007
Page Six

As you know, scallops are a relatively sedentary species. Thus, the fishery must have at least periodic access to areas where large aggregations of relatively large scallops can be found to optimize yield per recruit and continue to maintain a productive, well-managed, and healthy fishery. In fact, the New England Fishery Management Council's Scallop Amendment 10 area management regime was designed precisely for the purpose of optimizing yield per recruit through such rotational fishing techniques. Thus, any permanent closure of a historic scallop bed or fishing area (they tend to coincide), will represent a long-term loss to the Amendment 10 rotational area management regime that the Council overwhelmingly adopted, as well as to the scallop fishery.

For its part, the proposed HAPC area for the Great South Channel would sit atop some of the historically most productive scallop and fin fishing grounds in the world—and, as explained, include much of the remaining consistently productive “open area” scallop grounds in New England. As a so-called “open area,” the Channel is one of the few places in New England where scallop (and many groundfish) vessels can use their unrestricted DAS. Also, as a near-shore area, it is of prime importance to smaller General Category scallop vessels. As such, an accessible Channel is key to meeting the conservation objectives of spreading open area scallop DAS and General Category scallop fishing over a wider range, preventing both localized and open area overfishing. This is no small matter, and the Council faces no mean decision.

CONCLUSION

If a Channel HAPC is to be selected despite the dearth of information to support such a designation (as the Habitat PDT has explained), the available information shows that any such HAPC should be located in the inshore areas, west of the 50 meter contour line. If the Council prefers to consider coordinates, instead of depth contour lines, the FSF has presented a more refined HAPC alternative as Exhibit A. The FSF proposal would protect the complex habitat in the Channel that is generally aggregated in the areas shallower than 50 meters, while not being overly protective of areas that are important fishing grounds and that do not have complex habitat.

We look forward to continuing our discussion on this critical issue at the Habitat Committee and Council meetings next week. In the meantime, please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or require additional information.

KELLEY DRYE

COLLIER SHANNON

Mr. John Pappalardo
Ms. Sally McGee
September 13, 2007
Page Seven


Sincerely,



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'David E. Frulla', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

David E. Frulla
Shaun M. Gehan
Andrew E. Minkiewicz

Enclosures

EXHIBIT A






NEFSC 1981-2006 SCALLOP AND GROUND FISH SURVEY

STATION BEGIN HAUL POSITIONS

AND STATIONS WITH CATCH OF COD <15 cm IN THE GREAT SOUTH CHANNEL

- Station Begin Haul Position
- Station with Cod <15cm
- 50 meter contour
- Proposed Juvenile Cod HAPC
- Groundfish Closed Areas
- State 3 mile line




Data Provided By NEFSC Resource Survey Branch
Plot Created By Coonamessett Farm

NEFSC 1981-2006 SCALLOP AND GROUND FISH SURVEY

STATION BEGIN HAUL POSITIONS AND STATIONS WITH CATCH OF COD 15-37 cm IN THE GREAT SOUTH CHANNEL

- Station Begin Haul Position
- Station with Cod 15-37cm
- 50 meter contour
- Proposed Juvenile Cod HAPC
- Groundfish Closed Areas
- State 3 mile line



Data Provided By NEFSC Resource Survey Branch
Plot Created By Coonamessett Farm

EXHIBIT B

Proposed juvenile cod EFH in relation to substrate and
macrobenthos of the Great South Channel.



Bradley P. Harris and Kevin D. E. Stokesbury

Department of Fisheries Oceanography
School for Marine Sciences and Technology
University of Massachusetts
New Bedford, MA
508-910-6359
bharris@umassd.edu

13 August 2007

Objective

The objective of this report is to facilitate *visualization* of the Alternative 3E Juvenile Cod Essential Fish Habitat Areas (EFH) proposed in the Essential Fish Habitat Omnibus Amendment #2 in relation to depth, substrate, macrobenthos densities and distributions sampled in the SMAST video survey and to scallop management boundaries in the Great South Channel (GSC) and western Georges Bank. This report is not intended to evaluate, establish or refute the validity of the proposed EFH or to comment on the significance of any apparent relationships between EFH and our data. Further, the SMAST data presented here were not used in the designation of these EFH boundaries.

Background

In June 2007, the New England Fisheries Management Council (NEFMC) passed motion 4c - *to forward a modified HAPC Alternative 8 (Great South Channel) to include only areas that are included in the juvenile cod EFH Alternative 3E to the PDT and Habitat/MPA/Ecosystem Committee for review and recommendation and report to the Council at its September Council meeting: (Intent: to include the Alternative 8 decision in Phase 1 of the EFH Omnibus Amendment).* (Portland, Maine, June 19-21, 2007)

The Alternative 3E EFH designation for juvenile Atlantic cod on the continental shelf is based on the distribution of depths and bottom temperatures that are associated with high catch rates of juveniles in the 1963-2003 spring and fall NMFS trawl surveys or identified in the EFH Source Document for this species. This alternative is also based on the abundance of juveniles in the 1968-2005 spring and fall NMFS trawl surveys at the 90% cumulative percentage of catch level and includes inshore areas where juvenile Atlantic cod were determined to be present, based on 10% frequency of occurrence in state trawl surveys and ELMR information. In addition, 3E includes ten minute squares that were "filled in" along the MA, NH, and ME coasts, including the islands and portions of the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary (Essential Fish Habitat Omnibus Amendment #2 - STATUS QUO/NO ACTION ALTERNATIVES & PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES. Page 25).

The intent of the authors of the proposed EFH boundaries in Essential Fish Habitat Omnibus Amendment #2 is clarified in the following statements given in Appendix A: EFH DESIGNATION METHODOLOGIES.

EFH designations include a text description and a map for each life stage of each managed species. The maps produced as part of the exercise are approximate spatial representations of the EFH text descriptions and are used to inform the "geographic extent" item in the text description. (Essential Fish Habitat Omnibus Amendment #2, Appendix A. page A-33)

The map designations of essential fish habitat identify the geographic extent within which certain types of habitat are considered EFH. (Essential Fish Habitat Omnibus Amendment #2, Appendix A. page A-33)


In August 2006 we prepared a report (*Review of Proposed Juv Cod HAPC in GSC*) at the request of the NEFMC Habitat PDT. Several areas of the proposed HAPC (particularly area 5) referred to in that report overlap with the proposed EFH described in this report.

Methods

The SMAST video survey database was used to describe substrate compositions and the distribution of common macrobenthos in the Great South Channel (GSC) in the form of maps. The proposed EFH were overlaid on these maps.



The SMAST video survey employs a multistage centric systematic design with stations separated by 5.56 km, or by 1.57 km. The sampling pyramid supports three DeepSea® multi Seacam underwater cameras. This analysis is based on the large camera quadrat view. The large camera is mounted vertically on the pyramid at a height of 1575 mm above the pyramid's base provided a 2.841 m² quadrat of the sea floor, and all sea scallops in the view area were counted, including those along the edge of the quadrat image that were only partially visible. To correct for this edge effect, 56 mm, based on the average shell height of the sea scallops observed, was added to each edge of quadrat image, increasing the quadrat size to 3.235 m². For details on sampling design and data analysis see; Stokesbury (2002), Stokesbury et al. (2004), Stokesbury and Harris (2006).

The SMAST video survey pyramid uses three live feed underwater video cameras, a digital still camera and lights.





The SMAST video survey grids.



The upper panel shows the 5.56 km grid and the lower panel shows the 1.57 km grid overlaid on the 5.56 km grid in the GSC. Sampling stations are centered in each grid cell, and four replicate samples are taken at each station.



Proposed EFH 10 minute squares along with depth contours (20, 30, 50, 100, 200 and 500 m). EFH boundaries were mapped as received from NEFMC staff.



EFH in the GSC.




The proposed juvenile cod EFH in the GSC (shown with red outline) covers 4,100 km² and is comprised of 10 minute squares (≈ 260 km² each) cropped at 30 m depth. The proposed EFH squares west of Nantucket Shoals are not cropped at 30 m and included water depths to 0 m. The proposed EFH covers $\approx 40\%$ (≈ 440 km²) of the NLCA Scallop

Access Area (1150 km²). The proposed juvenile cod EFH covers \approx 80% (\approx 540 km²) of the CAI Scallop Access Area (680 km²).


Substrate Composition

Substrates were visually identified using texture, color, relief and structure in the video footage and still images, following the Wentworth scale. In the adjacent map, silt, sand and sand ripple were grouped as “sand”.

Digital still images of Sand, Granule/pebble, Cobble and Boulder substrates including particle size ranges.



Surficial substrate classification scheme.





Benthic substrates are scored by quadrat with sand = 10, granule/pebble = 100, cobble = 1000, and boulder = 10,000. The four quadrat scores are summed to provide a station substrate score (12,320). The station substrate score was log₁₀ transformed (4.0906). The station log₁₀ substrate score provides an index of substrate complexity while preserving the substrate information at the quadrat-level (Harris and Stokesbury 2005).

Substrate Mapping



The *Thiessen tool* (ArcInfo[®]) was used to create a grid of polygons each centered on a survey station. Each polygon was given the substrate attributes of the survey station it contained. This technique is simple and does not involve mathematical interpolation to create a surface for visualizing the data (Harris and Stokesbury 2005).

Georges Bank and GSC substrates.

The 5.56 grid substrate data are from the 2005 video survey. The 1.57 km grid substrate data are a composition of multiple surveys conducted between 2001 and 2006 with the most recent data used for each region.



Substrates with Juvenile Cod EFH 10 minute squares overlaid.



Macrobenthos

The macrobenthos were assessed based only on the 5.56 km grid survey (2005). The six most commonly observed macrobenthic species or species group were Hydrozoans and Bryozoans, Sea scallops, Stalk tunicates, Sea stars, Sponges and Hermit crabs (species groups are detailed below). The maps below show scallops, sea stars and hermit crabs per m² and the other macrobenthos by presence in survey quadrats (4 per station) (i.e. 2 = observed in 2 of 4 replicate samples).

Species Groups:

Sea scallops (*Placopecten magellanicus*)

Sea stars (*Solaster endeca*, *Crossaster papposus*, *Leptasterias polaris*, *Asterias* spp., *Henricia* spp.).



Hermit crabs (*Calcinus* spp., *Dardanus* spp., *Isocheles* spp., *Paruristes* spp., *Petrochirus* spp., *Aragiochirus* spp., *Cataguroides* spp., *Catapagurus* spp., *Discorpopagurus* spp., *Elassochirus* spp., *Enallopaguropsis* spp., *Haigia* spp., *Iridopagurus* spp., *Labidochirus* spp., *Manucoplanus* spp., *Nematopaguroides* spp., *Ostraconotus* spp., *Orthopagurus* spp., *Parapagurodes* spp., *Philochirus* spp., *Pylopagurus* spp., *Rhodochirus* spp., *Solenopagurus* spp., *Tomopagurus* spp.).

Hydrozoans and Bryozoans (*Flustra foliacea*, *Callopora aurita*, *Electra monostachys*, *Cribrilina punctata*, *Eucratea loricata*, *Tricellaria ternata*, *Eudendrium capillare*, *Sertularia cupressina*, *Sertularia argentea*).




Sponges (*Suberites ficus*, *Haliclona oculata*, *Halichondria panicea*, *Cliona celata*, *Polymastia robusta*, *Isodictya palmata*, *Microiona prolifera*).

Stalked Tunicate (*Boltenia ovifera*)

Sea scallops





Sea stars





N
Datum: NAD 1983
Projection: UTM, Zone 19 N



Hermit crabs





Hydrozoans and Bryozoans



Sponges



Stalked Tunicate



For further information contact the authors.

References

Harris, B. P. and Stokesbury K. D. E., 2005 Mapping Benthic Substrate and Macroinvertebrates along the Northwest Atlantic Ocean Continental Shelf with Underwater Video Surveys. ICES CM 2005/ L:39

Stokesbury, K. D. E., 2002. "Estimation of sea scallop abundance in closed areas of Georges Bank, USA." *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* **131**: 1081-1092.

Stokesbury, K. D. E., B. P. Harris, et al., 2004. "Estimation of sea scallop abundance using a video survey in off-shore USA waters." *Journal of Shellfish Research* **23**: 33-44.

Stokesbury, K. D. E., and B. P. Harris, 2006 Impact of a limited fishery for sea scallop, *Placopecten magellanicus*, on the epibenthic community of Georges Bank closed areas, *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 307:85-100.